### Sumario

#### ARTICULOS

Los retos demográficos actuales: población y territorio en España J. Leonardo Aurtenetxe y Garbiñe Henry

Para una crítica del Urbanismo Normal. La teoría de la apropiación del espacio en H. Lefebvre *Emilio M. Martínez* 

Las tesis filourbanas de H. Lefebvre Damià Mollà Beneyto

Planificación y Estado de Bienestar Xavier Paunero

Deliberate ambiguity in a finite environment: the urban ecology of artifical items *Abraham Akkerman* 

#### **INVESTIGACIÓN**

Los efectos de las infraestructuras sobre el espacio urbano J. Ramón Martínez Morada

El impacto medioambiental del ruido lúdico en el Casco Histórico de Alicante Antonio Durá Domenech et al.

**RINCÓN DE CITAS** 

LIBROS

## Revista de Estudios Urbanos



## Sociedad Urbana

# Revista de estudios urbanos



#### SOCIEDAD URBANA

Revista de Estudios urbanos es una revista dirigida a universidades, organismos nacionales e internacionales, profesionales y personas interesadas en el estudio de lo urbano. Su temática abarca distintos aspectos y perspectivas que contribuyen al análisis y al entendimiento de la dimensión urbana de nuestra sociedad.

> DIRECCION Emilio M. Martínez Tomás Mazón Antonio Aledo

CONSEJO ASESOR Benjamín Oltra Gianfranco Bettin Alfonso de Esteban Juan Monreal Juan Salcedo Jaime Martín Moreno José María Tortosa Eduardo Ruiz Abellán J. R. Navarro Vera Antonio Alaminos Jay D. Edwards

CONSEJO DE REDACCION M. Ángeles Casado Elena Jorge Aina López Cristina López Remedios Martínez Antonio Muñoz Antonio Sáez M<sup>a</sup> José Zapata

Sociedad Urbana, Revista de Estudios Urbanos se edita en el Departamento de Ciencias Sociales de la Universidad de Alicante con la ayuda de la Fundación Cultural CAM.

Distribución y venta: Secretariado de Publicaciones de la Universidad de Alicante (96-590 34 80) ISSN 1135-044X. Depósito legal A- 1030- 1994 Diseño de cubierta y logo: Emilio M. Martínez y Elena Jorge. Imprime: Imprenta GAMMA

#### ARTICULOS

Los retos demográficos actuales: población y territorio en España. J. Leonardo Aurtenetxe y Garbiñe Henry	1
Para una crítica del Urbanismo Normal.	
La teoría de la apropiación del espacio en H. Lefebvre.	
Emilio M. Martínez	43
Las tesis filourbanas de H. Lefebvre.	
Damià Mollà Beneyto	69
Planificación y Estado de bienestar: el caso Báltico.	
Xavier Paunero Amigo	81
Deliberate Ambiguity in a Finite Environment:	
the Urban Ecology of Artificial Items.	
Abraham Akkerman	103
	- 50

#### INVESTIGACION

Los efectos de las infraestructuras sobre el espacio urbano. J. Ramón Martínez Morales	117
El impacto medioambiental del ruido lúdico	
en el Casco Histórico de Alicante.	
Antonio Durà et al	133

#### LIBROS

#### **RINCON DE CITAS**

#### DELIBERATE AMBIGUITY IN A FINITE ENVIRONMENT: THE URBAN ECOLOGY OF ARTIFICIAL ITEMS

#### Abraham Akkerman\*

**RESUMEN**: Este artículo se centra en presentar las diferencias que pueden existir entre los usos preestablecidos para el mobiliario urbano a través de su diseño y los usos virtuales que reciben mediante la utilización del elemento por parte de la ciudadanía. Esta declarada ambigüedad puede ser cuantificada y formalizada abriendo nuevas expectativas a la actividad diseñadora del mobiliario urbano.

ABSTRACT: A distinction is made between visual declaration and virtual usage of artificial items within a physical environment, such as a street. Visual declaration is a formal pictorial designation, e.g. "decoration," of an item, such as a "planter." Virtual usage refers to the item when it is used in lieu of another item. The formal designation, "sitting," customarily designated to an item such as "bench," could also be a virtual usage of the item "planter." The question asked is, "What is the relationship between items, given their formal, visual declaration and their informal, virtual, usage?" An artificial item, according to its visual declaration, is referred to as a visual or real item. Each visual item has the property of being used as another item by virtue of its undeclared usage. Pending on the item's design and configuration, a visual item can be then substituted for another visual item. An artificial item, thus, attains deliberate ambiguity between its formal designation and its virtual usage. This ambiguity between visual declaration and virtual usage can be quantified. Within the full domain of n possible usages, this relationship can be conveniently presented in a nonnegative matrix. It is shown that the inverse of this matrix belongs to a class of well-known matrices. This being the case, the relationship between visual and virtual properties of items within the environment can be formalized. The formalization throws further light on the emerging opportunities in street design.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

We consider a set of artificial, physical items in a finite environment. The items under consideration are assumed to be visible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Department of Geography and The Regional and Urban Development Program. University of Saskatchewan. Canadá.

These items will be referred to as visual items (or simply as items), in contradistinction to items within the environment that may be hidden or unusable, and which are of no concern here. A pictorial designation of a visual item is defined as the item's declared, formal usage within the physical environment. We assume a one-to-one correspondence between an item and its visual declaration. Each visual item, then, has one and only one visual declaration. Each visual item, then, has one and additional, informal usage that corresponds to a formal pictorial designation of some other visual item. The possibility for an item to have a usage other than its formally declared usage, implies the potential for ambiguity in the design and spatial configuration of usable objects. The attempt here will be to formulate a systematic recognition of deliberate ambiguity which often is in the core of applied public art. Such recognition is of significance to urban design, and to the design of street furniture in particular.

The orderly treatment of ambiguity and imprecision in urban planning is of an emerging concern in expert systems and artificial intelligence (Akkerman, 1992; Kim, 1992). The following is an attempt to formalize these notions as applied to artificial objects in a streetscape, without loss of generality for other finite physical environments. The question addressed here is, "What is the relationship between items, given their formal, visual declaration and their informal, virtual, usage?" In a particular example, a street environment may include "bench," "street sign," "railing," "bollard" and "planter". Each item has a formal pictorial declaration in which, for example, "bench" is related to "sitting," a planter is related to "plant decoration" and "street sign" is related to "orientation". Pending on its design, however, a planter could have additional possible usages as well; for example "sitting" and "orientation."

In a more specific context, assume that within the environment a distribution of *desired* items by pictorial declaration exists. Thus, in a streetscape, there may be a need for 3 items for "sitting," 2 items for "orientation," 7 items for "plant decoration" etc. Given the fact that visual items possess categories of usage other than their pictorial declarations, the question may be asked, "What is the minimum number of physical items in the streetscape, satisfying the above condition?" It

will be shown in the following that the ambiguity relationship between the visual declaration of physical items, and the usage categories of items within a finite environment, can be presented as a formal numerical relation.

The formalized ambiguity relationship implies two methodological views unique to street and street-furniture design. The one is the observation of the streetscape as a stage-setting in a performing art, rather than as a static architectural construct. The design, or redesign, of streetscape and street furniture must recognize, or deliberately invite, the dynamics of alternative uses of artificial items within the streetscape. The other view is the deliberate choice of municipal hardware as an explicit streetscape feature. Both aspects of ambiguity in street design seem to emerge within the context of post-modernist architecture. But significantly, both aspects seem to offer an opportunity to mold or redefine the characteristics and the volume of population of pedestrians in our streets.

#### **II. VISUAL AND VIRTUAL ITEMS**

The approach developed here assumes an expert evaluation of visual items within a delineated, physical environment. All items are presumed to belong to one of n distinct categories, each category being the visual declaration of an item. Consider thus a delineated physical environment within which a finite number of physical items is present. The distribution of physical items by the n distinct categories is given by a row vector  $\mathbf{k}$ ,

 $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_1, ..., \mathbf{k}_i, ..., \mathbf{k}_n),$ 

 $\mathbf{k}_i$  denoting the number of physical items in category i, present in the environment.

The relationship between the categories of items is such that an item in a given category i *sometimes* serves as a virtual item in some other category j; i.e., the item i has virtual usage corresponding to item j (i, j = 1, ..., n). Such relationship could, in fact, be specified by

deliberate design of the item (for example, a planter deliberately designed to serve also as a bench) or by the spatial configuration of items within the environment (for example, a planter far away from a bench). In the context of public art, the design may entail specific intention by an artist and actual use (Pennartz, 1992). Here, the evaluation of the item's usage is assumed to be by actual observation or expert input. Thus, virtual usage corresponding to a category j of an item in category i, could be specified by some observed frequency of usage, or availability, of item i as item j. Such alternate usage or availability, therefore, also indicates a measure of deliberate ambiguity implicit in the item.

We shall denote a numeric measure of ambiguity for item i to be a virtual item j, as  $a_{ij}$ . Let the ambiguity  $a_{ij}$  of item i as item j, be implicit in the item's design and spatial configuration, and let  $a_{ij}$  be given by an expert as a nonnegative numerical value less or equal to 1. Since each physical item i has a defined formal usage given by its visual declaration, let the value  $a_{ii}$  be set to 1 as a convention. Any other number  $a_{ij}$  will be then usually 0 or a fraction of 1, denoting the relative usage of the physical item i as a virtual item j.

The numbers  $a_{ij}$  can be ordered in a square matrix A, referred to henceforth as the *ambiguity matrix*. Consider, then, the ambiguity matrix A, each element  $a_{ij}$  of which denotes the availability of item in category i as a virtual item in category j:

1 a <sub>21</sub>	a <sub>12</sub> 1	a <sub>13</sub> a <sub>23</sub>	9 9 0 9 9 0	a <sub>1n</sub> a <sub>2n</sub>	
			•		
<b>a</b> <sub>n1</sub>	a.,2	a.,3	• • • •	1	
48.8	112	45			

A =

Let the sum of elements  $a_{ij}$  in row i be  $s_i$ . The numbers  $s_i$  are of particular interest. A brief inspection shows that  $s_i$  is the number of all virtual items available from one physical item of category i within the

environment, and that  $s_i$  is equal or greater than 1. This means that for a desired distribution of virtual items in the environment, we will usually require a visual distribution that entails less items.

#### **III. AMBIGUITY AS A LINEAR PROBLEM**

Consider, in particular, the product  $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A})$ . A careful examination of **A** and **k** shows that  $(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A})$  is the distribution of virtual items within the environment, as given by the distribution **k** of the physical items. Let, then, **w** be a row vector of the distribution of *virtual* items within the environment. From the above considerations it follows that

$$\mathbf{k} \le \mathbf{w} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{w} \tag{2}$$

Since both k and w must be nonnegative, the feasibility of Eq. (2) is limited to those vectors w for which,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{k} \ge \mathbf{0} \tag{3}$$

The restriction of Condition 3 applies to any distribution w of virtual items since the distribution vector k must not attain negative values in any of its entries.

As a means describing the formal relationship between the distributions of visual and virtual items within the environment, Condition 3 would be prohibitive for a generic nonnegative matrix A. The matrix A in Eq. 2, however, has its diagonal elements 1 and its offdiagonal elements nonnegative, but smaller than 1. Matrices with their diagonal elements 1 are referred to as normalized matrices. Normalized matrices with small offdiagonal nonnegative elements, such as A, are found in many contexts, notably in the Leontieff input-output model. It is often the case that a nonnegative square matrix such as A (having

diagonal elements equal to or larger than 1, and very small nonnegative offdiagonal elements), has an inverse,  $A^{-1}$ , whose diagonal elements are all positive and whose offdiagonal elements are nonpositive, and equal or close to 0. Matrices with all their diagonal elements equal to or larger than 1, and all their offdiagonal elements nonpositive and equal or very close to 0, are referred to as nonsingular M-matrices.

The identification of the matrix  $A^{-1}$  as a nonsingular M-matrix gives it a definite pattern. As a nonsingular M-matrix,  $A^{-1}$  can be expressed in the form

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = s\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{D},\tag{4}$$

where,

 $\mathbf{D} \geq \mathbf{0}, s \geq r(\mathbf{B}) > \mathbf{0}$ 

which the following specifications: s is a scalar, I is the identity matrix, **D** is a nonnegative nonsingular matrix, and r(D) is the largest eigenvalue (known also as the Frobenius-Perron eigenvalue) of **D**.

The significant finding of the theory on nonnegative matrices is that Eq. 4 ensures that for each nonnegative vector k there exists exactly one nonnegative vector w,  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ , as given by the matrix equation of Condition 3 (Berman and Plemmons, 1979).

#### **IV. TARGET DISTRIBUTION OF VIRTUAL ITEMS**

Assume that  $A^{-1}$  is, indeed, an M-matrix as in Equation 4. If  $A^{-1}$  further acts also as a monotonous linear operator from w to k, then there is a feasible range of distribution vectors w of virtual items, whose corresponding distribution vectors k of real items, are nonnegative.

The possibility of a feasibility range of distributions w of virtual items that correspond to distributions  $\mathbf{k}$  of real items within the environment, has further implications. Of particular interest in street design is the minimum distribution  $\mathbf{k}$  of physical items, such as street-furniture, that achieves some desired overall usage. A desired usage can

108

be expressed as a target distribution w of virtual items. From Inequality 1 it is clear that the physical distribution vector k will usually be less than the virtual distribution vector w. From this perspective, the situation,  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{w}$  (i.e., where A is the identity matrix), is the worst case for the relationship between real and virtual items. This relationship, given by Eq. 3 and represented by a nonnegative matrix A, will be consistent with Inequality 1 if at least some off-diagonal elements of A are positive.

It is the virtual usage of items, such as street furniture in urban design, that ultimately should decide the inventory of physical items within a delimited space. Table 1 shows a sample ambiguity relationship between 10 street-furniture categories (Gibbons and Oberholzer, 1991) representing both visual and virtual items. For simplicity, it is assumed that all items within a category, throughout the streetscape, are of identical design. For further simplicity we also assume that the ambiguity relationships represented by the numbers  $a_{ij}$  do not change as a result of possible configuration change in street furniture throughout the streetscape.

Table 1, representing the matrix A, can be inverted in order to assess a possible distribution k of real items from a target distribution w of virtual items. Table 2 shows the values for  $A^{-1}$ . Based on these values, and assuming that A remains constant regardless of possible variation in configuration, a target distribution w could be feasible, i.e. it could yield a nonnegative distribution k of visual, real items. Two vectors w, representing approximate feasibility bounds (or their multiples) beyond which integer values w would be infeasible (i.e., w  $A^{-1}$  would yield negative values for k) are presented in Table 3. The matrix A as given by Table 1 is close to being a monotenous linear operator, and the values of w, along with the corresponding values of k, are the approximate extremes of the two corresponding feasibility ranges, with the category w<sub>i</sub> normalized to 1 for i=10.

From Eq. 4 it follows further that if  $A^{-1}$  is monotonous (or close to monotonous) then the higher is the value of the scalar  $s, s \ge r(D)$ , the larger also is the range of feasible solutions to

 $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{k} \cdot (s\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}),$ 

(5)

where, as before,

 $D \ge 0, s \ge r(B) > 0, w > 0.$ 

In such a case, however, the values of w and k also converge. Since A in Eq. 2 is a normalized matrix with its diagonal elements always 1, the meaning of Eqs. 4 and 7 is that the feasibility range for Eq. 2 increases as positive offdiagonal elements of A decrease. This corresponds to the observation of Tables 1, 2 and 3.

## IV. POSTMODERNIST URBAN DESIGN: UTILITY AS AN ORNAMENT

The ambiguity  $a_{ii}$  is always 1 by convention; but the lower the ambiguity  $a_{ij}$  is, and the closer it is to zero, the less choice there is to use an item i for an item j. Where such a choice decreases, any virtual distribution w is numerically close to a physical distribution k, by definition of the two distributions. The further apart are the two distributions from each other, the smaller is the feasibility range in Eq. 5. The challenge in the deliberate ambiguity of artificial objects is in their design (or their spatial configuration with each other) so that, within the narrow range of feasibility, they imply the desirable virtual distribution, w, under an optimal, physical distribution, k.

The formal exposition of ambiguity involves the full domain of n possible uses within a delineated environment. This appears to have two significant implications for contemporary urban design. First, a fundamental distinction between street furniture and most other forms of applied art must be made. While applied art is by and large static, the design of street furniture must take into consideration the physical movement of pedestrians. The Vitruvian comparison of the street to a theatre scene (Moughtin, 1991) is fundamental. If we accept the notion that walking, standing and sitting are perhaps the most primitive forms of a performing art, the street, with the pedestrians in it, must be perceived in a context different from that of most other applied art. Consideration of streetscapes that respects the variety among pedestrians, must also recognize their often deliberately ambiguous usage of street furniture. Street design thus becomes more analogous to a stage-setting in a performing art, than a static architectural construct.

The additional implication of ambiguity for urban design is in the specific category of use, "ornamentation." Within the scope of ambiguity introduced here, the notion of visual versus virtual items has a particularly interesting expression in post-modernist architecture. Frank Gehry's maverick design of his own home, deliberately exhibiting corrugated metals, plywood and chain-link fencing, is an excellent example. A utility item of a declared manufacturing purpose, such as plywood or industrial railing, emerges to fulfil the role of architectural ornamentation. And Antonio Gaudi's Unfinished Cathedral, the Segrada Familia, now the trade-mark of Barcelona's skyline, is perhaps the earliest example tracing the ambiguity notion between industrial utility and ornament back to the Art Nouveau era of the early 20-th century. The cranes used in the construction of the cathedral are now part of it.

The possibility for an item to serve as a surrogate for another item is a challenge that has been always addressed by designers (de Lucio-Meyer, 1973). Examples of ambiguous furniture design, in particular, are Jere Osgood's 1986 "Writing Desk," or Wendell Castle's 1987 "Time to Say Goodbye Clock." Some examples of ambiguous design of street items are sculptor Siah Armajani's pedestrian bridge in Minneapolis, which serves as a wonderful piece of public art, or Scott Burton's granite sculptures-benches overlooking New York Harbour from Battery Park. But the deliberate use of street hardware as an ornament in streetscape, is still not an acceptable standard in contemporary urban design. Recent calls to utilize the back alleys of some Canadian downtown areas as pedestrian routes, however, may offer a striking opportunity for precisely such deliberate ambiguous use. The street hardware in the backalleys of some downtown areas presents a hidden potential for playful, deliberate ambiguity.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

The ambiguity matrix A maps the potential of declared items in urban space, to serve as surrogates for other items. The closer A is to

the identity matrix I, the more resemblance there is between a desired set of functions in the environment, and an actual distribution of items: items formally declared fulfil their so declared function and no other, without ambiguity. On the other hand, with A attaining positive offdiagonal values, ambiguity is deliberately introduced into the environment indicating informal usage to the declared items.

From a practical perspective of usage and deliberate ambiguity, an urban designer might be interested in the narrow range of variation of numeric values in the vector w, which allow for feasible vectors k. From Eq. 7 it follows that A, as a measure of mutual ambiguity (and availability) between items, is most interesting with the largest possible offdiagonal entries.

In a sense, the distinction between virtual usage and declared usage of items can be seen analogous to the notion of slang as distinct from the lexical mode of spoken or written language. And pursuant to this analogy, the ambiguity notion can be further compared to humor. The city street, which has always been the source of new informal expressions in the spoken language, should also be a source of opportunity for an informal physical expression and visual pun. Within the notion of spatial ambiguity, the choreographic approach to streetscape as well as the instrumentalist approach that follows Gehry, both seem to offer an opportunity to redefine the characteristics, and the numbers, of the pedestrian population in our streets.

In spite, and perhaps because, of the difference between architecture and street design, the cited examples of deliberate ambiguity in applied art may herald the emergence of a post-modernist urban design, precisely within the image of Gehry's unpretentious architectural exhibitionism. Recent calls to utilize the back alleys of some Canadian downtown areas as pedestrian routes, for example, may offer a striking opportunity for the use of exposed pipes and other conveyor utilities as explicit streetscape features. In time of often superficial cries for sustainable urban development, nothing could elevate better a suppressed urban truth into the image of rediscovered beauty.

112

#### TABLE 1. SAMPLE AMBIGUITY, A, FOR SELECTED STREET FURNITURE

		T	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	BENCH	1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0.2	0	0
2	PLANTER	0.1	1	0.3	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0	0.2
3	LITTER BIN	0	0.1	1	0	0	0	0.2	0.1	0	0
4	BOLLARD	0	0.1	0	1	0.1	0	0.3	0.4	0	0
5	RAILING	0.2	0	0.1	0.1	1	0	0.1	0.1	0	0
6	GATEWAY	0	0	0	0	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0	0
7	LIGHTING	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0	0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0
8	SIGNAGE	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	1	0.1	0
9	CANOPY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	1	0
10	FOUNTAIN	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.2	0	1

TABLE 2. APPROXIMATION OF THE INVERSE A', SELECTED STREET FURNITURE

1.04	-0.07	-0.06	0.03	-0.00	0.03	-0.07	-0.19	0.03	0.01
-0.09	1.05	-0.29	0.04	0.01	-0.08	-0.02	-0.12	0.02	-0.20
0.04	-0.07	1.03	0.03	-0.00	0.04	-0.20	-0.09	0.03	0.01
0.10	-0.05	0.06	1.08	-0.11	0.08	-0.30	-0.42	0.07	0.01
-0.20	0.04	-0.09	-0.09	1.01	0.00	-0.03	-0.01	0.01	-0.00
0.04	0.01	0.01	0.03	-0.10	1.02	-0.10	-0.10	0.02	-0.00
-0.10	-0.08	0.04	-0.10	0.02	-0.09	1.06	-0.01	-0.10	0.01
-0.10	-0.07	-0.07	-0.11	0.02	-0.10	-0.02	1.11	-0.10	0.01
0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	-0.00	0.02	-0.10	-0.10	1.02	-0.00
0.01	0.02	0.01	-0.08	0.01	0.01	0.04	-0.17	0.01	0.99

Source: Table 1

TABLE 3. INTEGER PROPORTIONS OF FEASIBILITY BOUNDS FOR SELECTED FURNITURE DISTRIBUTION,  $w_{10}\,=\,1$ 

		Uppe	er Bound	Low	er Bound
		w	k	W	k
1	BENCH	11	10.317	1	0.645
2	PLANTER	6	4.417	2	1.324
3	LITTER BIN	1	0.105	1	0.707
4	BOLLARD	2	0.002	1	0.342
5	RAILING	11	8.698	2	1.662
6	GATEWAY	2	0.902	1	0.729
7	LIGHTING	4	2.257	1	0.558
8	SIGNAGE	2	0.023	1	0.479
9	CANOPY	2	1.772	1	0.896
10	FOUNTAIN	1	0.995	1	0.869

#### REFERENCES

-Akkerman A, 1992, "Fuzzy targeting of population niches in urban planning and the fractal dimension of demographic change" Urban Studies 29 1093-1114

-Berman A, Plemmons R J, 1979, Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences (Academic Press, New York) pp 132-164, 270-291

-de Lucio-Meyer J J, 1973, Visual Aesthetics (Harper & Row Publishers, London) -Gibbons J, Oberholzer B, 1991, Urban Streetscapes: A Workbook for Designers, (BSP Professional Books, London)

-Kim T J, 1992, "Expert Systems in urban planning: Future research directions", in *Expert Systems: Applications to Urban Planning* Eds T J Kim, L L Wiggins, J R Wright (Springer-Verlag, Berlin) pp 223-224

-Moughtin C, 1991, "The European city street, part 1: Paths and places" Town Planning Review 62 51-77

-Pennartz, P J J, 1992, "The symbolic infrastructure of an environmental art project" *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design* 19 205-220.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by Research Grant 410-91-2000 of the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.