In situ Raman spectroscopic evidence for oxygen reduction reaction intermediates at platinum single crystal surfaces
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Abstract

Developing an understanding of structure-activity relationships and reaction mechanisms of catalytic processes is critical to the successful design of highly efficient catalysts. As a
fundamental reaction in fuel cells, elucidation of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) mechanism at Pt(hkl) surfaces has remained a significant challenge for researchers. Here, we employ in situ electrochemical surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) and density functional theory (DFT) calculation techniques to examine the ORR process at Pt(hkl) surfaces. Direct spectroscopic evidences for ORR intermediates indicates that under acid conditions, the pathway of ORR at Pt(111) occurs through the formation of HO$_2^*$, while at Pt(110) and Pt(100) it occurs via the generation of OH*. However, we propose that the pathway of ORR under alkaline conditions at Pt(hkl) surfaces mainly occurs through the formation of O$_2^-$. Significantly, these results demonstrate that the SERS technique offers an effective and reliable way for real-time investigation of catalytic processes at atomically flat surfaces not normally amenable to Raman study.

In recent energy researches, significant focus has been placed on understanding the mechanism of catalytic reactions at the atomic level. The direct operando monitoring of surface catalytic reactions has always been a "holy grail" in electrochemistry and heterogeneous catalysis, and will aid significantly in the design and development of more highly efficient catalysts.\textsuperscript{1,2} As a classical catalytic reaction, the process and mechanism of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at platinum surfaces have been a focus of attention in the literature for a long time.\textsuperscript{3,4} Though lots of research groups have carried out experimental and theoretical studies to reveal the ORR mechanism, the detailed surface process is still not clear.

Generally, the mechanism of ORR process at platinum electrodes in acidic condition is
considered to occur by two main pathways: one involves oxygen being reduced directly via a
four-electron pathway into \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \); the other first reacts oxygen via a two-electron pathway to
hydrogen peroxide, followed by a two electron transfer reduction of the latter to water; hydrogen
peroxide also can directly diffuse into the solution as a final product, which then quickly
decomposes. However, some essential questions and uncertainties remain about ORR processes,
including slow kinetics, the origin of observed high overpotentials, and the rate determining
step.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^11\) The main reason is that as a multi-electron reaction, there are varieties of intermediates
(e.g., \( \text{OH}^* \), \( \text{O}_2^{2-} \), \( \text{O}_2^- \), \( \text{HO}_2^* \), etc.) that are generated during ORR process, and most of the
intermediates have a short life-time, low coverage and are also influenced by other co-adsorbed
species. Thus, the key factor to unravel the ORR mechanism is to develop an \emph{in situ} method to
identify the various reaction intermediates and their adsorbed configurations at platinum surfaces
during the ORR process. With their well-defined surface structures, optical and electric field
properties, and ability to be modeled at the atomic level, single crystal surfaces play a key role in
probing catalytic reaction mechanisms in surface science.\(^12\) However, most of the current
spectroscopic methods are not suitable for the single crystal studies in aqueous solution, especially
for the ORR reaction at Pt(hkl) electrode surfaces.\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^19\)

Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) is a powerful fingerprint spectroscopy that can be
used for \emph{in situ} investigation of trace chemical species and identification with single-molecule
sensitivity.\(^20\)\(^-\)\(^22\) However, its applications are generally restricted to ‘free-electron-like’ metals such
as Au, Ag and Cu that have non-smooth surfaces. To overcome the long-term limitation of SERS
on morphology and material generality, previously we developed a surface vibrational
spectroscopic method that was named Shell-Isolated Nanoparticle-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy
In SHINERS, an ultrathin and uniform silica shell coated onto a gold nanoparticle can efficiently enhance the Raman signal of molecules that are in located near the nanoparticle surface without any interference. It is possible to obtain Raman signals from any substrate and any material surface. A unique advantage of SHINERS is its particular applicability to explore the adsorption configuration and catalytic processes of probe molecules at single crystal surfaces.24-32

Here, we employ in situ electrochemical (EC)-SHINERS coupled with density functional theory (DFT) calculations to study the ORR process at Pt(hkl) electrode surfaces. We obtain direct spectral evidence that allows the ORR mechanism at these surfaces to be elucidated at a molecular and atomic level.

**SHINERS enhancement at Pt(hkl) surfaces**

For a clear understanding of the relationship between the shell-isolated nanoparticles (SHINs) enhancement and the electric field distribution, a 2 × 2 Au@SiO₂ nanoparticles (NPs) array was modeled on a perfectly smooth platinum substrate surface and simulated using a 3D-Finite-Difference Time-Domain (3D-FDTD) theoretical system. Fig. 1a shows the schematic diagram of in situ EC-SHINERS at low index Pt(hkl) surfaces. The SHINs used in this experiment had a gold nanoparticle core (~55 nm) with SiO₂ shell (~2 nm) (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 1), with the coverage of SHINs at the Pt(hkl) electrode surface at around 30% (Fig. 1c). The 3D-FDTD technique has been employed to model the SHINERS system effectively.33-36 The hot spots are mainly located around the particle-surface junctions under 638 nm excitation (Fig. 1d), and the average SERS enhancement factor of this configuration is about 1.0×10⁵ on the Pt(hkl)
Figure 1 | Schematic illustration of SHINERS study of ORR process and correlated characterization and 3D-FDTD results at Pt(hkl) surfaces. (a) The model of shell-isolated nanoparticles (Au@SiO₂ NPs, SHINs) at Pt(111) surface, and the mechanism of ORR process revealed by EC-SHINERS method. The silver-white, red, and white spheres represent Pt, O, and H atoms, respectively. The large golden spheres with transparent shells represent SHINs. The SHINs, when being excited by a laser, can generate strong electromagnetic fields to enhance the Raman signals of molecules adsorbed at the Pt(hkl) single crystal surface; (b) The transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of Au@SiO₂ nanoparticle; (c) Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of Pt(111) single crystal electrode surface modified with SHINs; (d) 3D-FDTD simulations of four SHINs NPs with a model of 2 × 2 array on a Pt substrate.

ORR processes at Pt(hkl) surfaces in acidic condition
First, we obtained the polarization curves of ORR process at three Pt($hkl$) rotating disk electrode surfaces in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ electrolyte solution saturated with O$_2$ respectively; the rotation rate was 1600 rpm. We can find that the ORR activity of Pt($hkl$) decreased in the sequence (111) > (110) > (100) in HClO$_4$ solution (Fig. 2a). The O$_2$ reduction current begins around 1.0 V and then quickly achieves its limiting diffusion current around 0.7 V following the negative swept direction (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 5). In limiting diffusion potential range (0.3 V ~ 0.7 V), the ORR activity of Pt($hkl$) is completely controlled by the mass transfer of oxygen. When potential decreases below 0.3 V, the limiting diffusion current begins to decrease due to hydrogen adsorption at Pt($hkl$) electrode surface. The adsorbed hydrogen increases the difficulty of breaking the O-O bond of oxygen molecules, as there are not enough adjacent vacancies for O$_2$ adsorption at Pt($hkl$) surfaces. As a result, the oxygen molecules will partly form H$_2$O$_2$. As can be observed in Fig. 2a, the onset potential of ORR for Pt(111) and Pt(110) is similar, while it is much lower for Pt(100). In previous works it was observed that the activity for the ORR increases as the number of (110) steps increases in stepped surfaces with (111) terraces, the activity for the ORR increases, being Pt(110) therefore more active than Pt(111). In this work this difference is less noticeable since the negative-going sweeps from 1.0 V are shown (in order to compare them with the spectroscopic results), and Pt(110) initially presents PtO species (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2). Therefore, in the negative-going sweeps the activity of Pt(110) is partially inhibited by the presence of this surface oxides. In the positive-going scans the surface oxides are not present, recovering then the previously reported activity. To explore the ORR mechanism at Pt($hkl$) surfaces, \textit{in situ} EC-SHINERS method was employed to evaluate the ORR system in the 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution. Since the electrode in the Raman cell is not able to rotate during the ORR
experiment, the limiting ORR diffusion current is somewhat different when compared to the rotating disk electrode (RDE) system. Nevertheless, the starting potential and the potential range of ORR without rotation were almost the same as the RDE system (Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Fig. 5-6).

Figure 2 | The electrochemical results of ORR process at Pt(hkl) surfaces in acidic condition, and correlated EC-SHINERS and DFT results of ORR at Pt(111) surface. (a) The polarization curves of ORR process at three Pt(hkl) rotating disk electrodes in oxygen saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ solutions, the rotation rate was 1600 rpm, and the scan rate was 50 mV/s; (b) EC-SHINERS spectra of ORR system at Pt(111) electrode surface in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution saturated with O₂; (c) Normalized EC-SHINERS intensities of stretching mode of O-OH around 732 cm⁻¹ at different potentials. The polarization curve of ORR process at Pt(111) surface in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution saturated with O₂, the rotation rate was 1600 rpm, the scan rate was 50 mV/s. The arrows in panel a, b, and c represent the potential scanning direction, and all the potentials are relative to RHE; (d) Side-view illustrations of HO₂* at
different stable adsorption configurations at Pt(111) surface on top site and bridge site adsorption structures. The silver-gray, red, and white spheres represent Pt, O, and H atoms, respectively.

The EC-SHINERS spectra of ORR at Pt(111) electrodes were obtained over the potential range from 1.1 V to 0.5 V. During the negative potential excursion, there was no observable Raman signal in the range of 400 to 1200 cm$^{-1}$ until 0.8 V except the peak at 933 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2b). The peak at 933 cm$^{-1}$ was attributed to the symmetric stretch mode of the perchlorate ion, $\nu_s$(ClO$_4^-$). As the potential decreased, another obvious Raman band around 732 cm$^{-1}$ in the acidic solution appeared when the potential arrived 0.8 V, which upon further increase to 0.6 V (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, a deuterium isotopic substitution measurement was carried out (Supplementary Note 5 and Supplementary Fig. 7). In deuterium isotopic experiment, the peaks around 732 cm$^{-1}$ were shifted to lower wavenumber around 705 cm$^{-1}$, which implied that the intermediates should be correlated with an “H” atom. While the first candidate considered of 732 cm$^{-1}$ involves the O-O stretching vibration of H$_2$O$_2$, this molecule is unlikely to be stable at the Pt(111) surface, and would be immediately oxidized or reduced further to oxygen or water. According to electrochemical results, we can confirm that the peak around 732 cm$^{-1}$ belongs to O-O stretching vibration of adsorbed HO$_2^*$ on Pt(111), which also can be considered as an important intermediate species of ORR process. DFT method was also employed to calculate the vibrational frequencies of HO$_2^*$ species at Pt(111) (Supplementary Note 12 and Supplementary Fig. 21). From the DFT results, we found that there were two different stable adsorption configurations of HO$_2^*$ at the Pt(111) surface, on top (t-b) site and bridge (b-b) site (Fig. 2d) (the distance of Pt-O were 2.008 Å and 2.020 Å, respectively) adsorption structures, and the correlated Raman frequency of O-O stretching
vibration for these two different structures were 839 cm\(^{-1}\) and 726 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. This means that the peaks around 732 cm\(^{-1}\) in our experiment can be assigned to the O-O stretching vibration of b-b adsorption structure of HO\(_2^*\).

In general, for the ORR process at the Pt(111) surface in an acidic solution, at high potentials, the oxygen reduces to water through the particular intermediates, and at low potentials the oxygen reduction to water was inhibited and stops at the peroxide stage (perhaps involving the same intermediates). To further understand the ORR mechanism at Pt(111) surface in acidic conditions, we tried to look at the ORR process from higher to lower potentials range compared the range of 1.1 V to 0.5 V at Pt(111) (Supplementary Note 6 and Supplementary Fig. 8a). At 1.2 V potential, there was an obvious Raman peak at around 571 cm\(^{-1}\) and its frequency shifted to lower wavenumber until at 0.9 V it was 567 cm\(^{-1}\). This peak was attributed to the Pt-O stretching mode.\(^{41}\) Meanwhile, the peak of HO\(_2^*\) at 732 cm\(^{-1}\) was observed when the potential arrived 0.75 V and its intensity increased until 0.6 V, after which is remained stable following further a potential decrease. Finally, the 732 cm\(^{-1}\) peak intensity decreased when the potential was below to 0.4 V, which correlated well with the ORR current results (Supplementary Fig. 8b).

According to electrochemical researches, Pt(111) is saturated with about 1/3 monolayer of OH at 0.8 V and will then decrease to zero at the upper end of the double layer region.\(^{42,43}\) However, we did not find the OH species at ~0.8 V during the ORR process at Pt(111) surface by SHINERS. Recent work shows that the O-H bond being nearly parallel with the Pt(111) surface at 0.8 V,\(^{44}\) while the SHINERS method requires a vibrational dipole component normal to the surface in order to undergo light absorption. This special structure of OH at Pt(111) surface may be the reason why we do not detect the OH adsorption at Pt(111) by SHINERS around 0.8 V. For the
ORR process, in the kinetic potential region, there will be a low concentration of available sites for forming adsorbed HO₂*, rendering the signal too weak to be observed. Following the potential sweeps in the negative direction, the coverage of OH drops rapidly, opening up more sites for O₂ to approach and form adsorbed HO₂*. Thus, the SHINERS spectra clearly show the formation of adsorbed HO₂* at 0.8 V. Reaching about 0.6 V, there is no longer adsorbed OH and the potential continues through the double layer region until somewhat less than 0.4 V, when underpotential deposited (UPD) H starts to block sites. The potential dependence of the current density, in Supplementary Fig. 8, illustrates that shortly after entering the diffusion-limited region and double layer region, there is surface congestion with adsorbed HO₂* intermediates.

In addition, we have carefully compared the electrochemical behaviors with and without SHINs on Pt(111), Pt(100), and Pt(110) single crystal surfaces during the ORR process (Supplementary Notes 2-3, Supplementary Fig. 3-4 and Supplementary Fig. 9). From the experimental results, we find that the SHINs only affect the spectroscopy, but not the activity of Pt(hkl) surfaces for ORR reaction. From theoretical and experiment results, we can assure that SHINERS method can identify different adsorption structure of surface adsorbate species. Combining with the electrochemical result, we assert that the HO₂* species is an important intermediate of the ORR process under acidic conditions. Moreover, we will further discuss about the ORR mechanism at the Pt(hkl) surface in the section of “Mechanism of ORR process at Pt(hkl) surfaces”.

Figure 3 | In situ EC-SHINERS results of ORR at Pt(100) and Pt(110) surfaces in acidic condition and DFT result of OH* at Pt(110) surface. (a) EC-SHINERS spectra of ORR at a Pt(100) electrode surface in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution; (b) EC-SHINERS spectra of ORR at a Pt(110) electrode surface in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution. The arrows in panel a and b represent the potential scanning direction, and all the potentials are relative to RHE; (c) Side-view illustrations of OH* and O* at a Pt(110) surface. The silver-gray, red, and white spheres represent Pt, O, and H atoms, respectively.

The crystallographic orientation and the surface structure of the single crystal electrode surface will greatly influence the reaction mechanism and reaction kinetics. Moreover, the ORR activity is also highly sensitive to the surface structure of the Pt(hkl) electrode. We therefore investigated the ORR processes at the other two low-index Pt(hkl) surfaces (i.e., Pt(110) and Pt(100)) in 0.1 M HClO₄ solution saturated with O₂. Interestingly, we observed different phenomenon at the three low-index Pt(hkl) surfaces from SHINERS experimental results. There were two Raman peaks, around 1030 cm⁻¹ and 1080 cm⁻¹, that appeared at Pt(100) following a decrease in potential, and the phenomenon at Pt(110) surface was similar with Pt(100) (Fig. 3), but their relative Raman intensity and onset potential were different. At the same time, the deuterium isotopic substitution measurement was taken into consideration as before (Supplementary Note 7 and Supplementary
Fig. 10). We did not observe any obvious shift in the 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) feature, but the peak at 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) shifted to a lower wavenumber around ~717 cm\(^{-1}\) during the D\(_2\)O experiment. Meanwhile, in the 18-O\(_2\) isotopic substitution experiment at Pt(110) surface (Supplementary Note 8 and Supplementary Fig. 11), we found that the peak around 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) did not show any obvious shift, while the peak around 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) was shifted to a lower wavenumber around 1072 cm\(^{-1}\), which further implied that the intermediates of 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) correlated with oxygen related species. According to the literature\(^{14,45}\), the peak around 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to the symmetric stretching vibrational mode of ClO\(_3\) in HClO\(_4\) molecule, and the band around 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to the platinum-hydroxide bending mode \(\delta_{\text{PtOH}}\) of OH\(^*\) (Fig. 3c).

The DFT calculated results showed that if only OH\(^*\) adsorbed at the Pt(110) surface, (Supplementary Note 13 and Supplementary Fig. 22) the Pt-OH bending would appear at 875 cm\(^{-1}\). But if OH\(^*\) adsorbed at an atop site with an atomic oxygen on the nearest neighbor, the adsorbed O\(^*\) atom plays a constructive role in bending the H atom (Fig. 3c). In this case, the Pt-OH bending vibration increases to 1078 cm\(^{-1}\), which correlates well with the experimental and reference results. From DFT calculation results, we also found that the HO\(_2\)^* species were not so stable at Pt(110) and Pt(100) surfaces, being easily dissociated to Pt-O and Pt-OH because of the lower coordination number of Pt in Pt(110) and Pt(100). On the other hand, the different ORR activity of Pt(100) and Pt(110) compared to Pt(111) maybe due to presence of OH\(^*\) on the surfaces, which can block the active site of platinum surfaces.

**ORR processes at Pt(hkl) surfaces in alkaline condition**
Under electrochemical conditions, the interfacial state of Pt(hkl) electrode surface should undergo some changes following the pH value increase. For example, the charge distribution and the adsorption state at the interface will change significantly. Since the ORR process is a typical electrode reaction to consume protons and generate OH*, the interface pH values will be changed as the reaction proceeds. During this process, the ORR reaction pathway, intermediates and their surface coverage rate at the electrode surface will be changed. Therefore, it is necessary to study the ORR mechanism at different pH values, which will give us more important information about the relationship between the interface structures and the reaction mechanism.\textsuperscript{15,17,46} We investigated the ORR process at a Pt(110) electrode surface in an alkaline solution similarly to the acid condition experiment (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Notes 9-10 and Supplementary Fig. 13-16). There was a broad Raman band around 1150 cm\textsuperscript{-1} that appeared when the potential was decreased to 0.65 V. With a further decrease in the potential, this peak became stronger until 0.35 V and then decreased.

\textbf{Figure 4 | EC-SHINERS study of ORR at Pt(hkl) surfaces in alkaline condition.} EC-SHINERS spectra of ORR at (a) Pt(110), (b) Pt(111) and (c) Pt(100) surfaces in 0.1 M NaClO\textsubscript{4} solution (pH~10.3) saturated with O\textsubscript{2}. The arrows represent the potential scanning direction, and all the potentials are relative to RHE.
To further investigate the effect of crystallographic orientation, comparative experiments were conducted at other two low-index Pt(hkl) single crystal surfaces, Pt(111) and Pt(100), under same identical condition (0.1 M NaClO₄ in H₂O with a pH~10.3). As Fig. 4b and 4c show, there was almost a similar phenomenon in Fig. 4a, just with a little difference in starting potentials of the peaks around 1150 cm⁻¹. This behavior indicated that there should with same intermediate species at three low-index Pt(hkl) surfaces during ORR process in alkaline condition. A deuterium isotopic substitution measurement was also carried out, and we found that the peaks around 1150 cm⁻¹ did not exhibit obvious shift in alkaline condition (Supplementary Fig. 17-18). Thus, the intermediate species around 1150 cm⁻¹ should be without “H”. Furthermore, the peak around 1150 cm⁻¹ was obviously shifted to a lower wavenumber (around 1120 cm⁻¹) in 18-O₂ isotopic substitution experiment at Pt(111) surface (Supplementary Note 11 and Supplementary Fig. 19), which confirmed that the intermediates around 1150 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to oxygen related species.

In the previous studies, researchers had found that the characteristic Raman peak of superoxide ion was around 1150 cm⁻¹ in the alkaline solution during the ORR process. Our DFT calculation also proved that the peak around 1150 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to O-O stretching vibration of superoxide ion O₂⁻ (Supplementary Tables 1-2, Supplementary Note 14 and Supplementary Fig. 24). In the calculation results, the adsorption of O₂⁻ with t-b site at Pt(110), Pt(100) and Pt(111), and their Raman frequencies were located in 1162 cm⁻¹, 1177 cm⁻¹ and 1182 cm⁻¹, respectively, which correlate very well with our experiment results. From the above information, we confirm that the superoxide species has been identified as an important intermediate of ORR reaction at Pt(hkl) surfaces in our research system.

A significant challenge for the ORR is that the reaction intermediates have a short lifetime and
thus are difficult to detect. Consequently, large concentrations of these intermediates are necessary for their spectroscopic observation. Such conditions are not accomplished until sufficiently low potentials are reached, when the reaction is fast enough to form large amounts of such intermediates, commensurate with their rate of consumption. It is not unusual that this situation should coincide with when the reaction starts to be diffusion-controlled, indicating that the reaction is very fast and therefore the mass transport limits the reaction. However, it should be mentioned that in the experiments reported in the present study, no obvious ORR intermediate species are observed in the kinetic region. Evidently, more experimental evidences and theoretical calculations to categorically show that the detailed ORR mechanism found in the diffusion-controlled region maps directly onto the kinetic region will be required in future works.

**Mechanism of ORR process at Pt(hkl) surfaces**

Based on EC-SHINERS experiments and theoretical calculations, also include the consideration of previous researches, the mechanism of ORR at the Pt(hkl) electrode surface in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution can be explained as follows: after adsorbing at the Pt(hkl) electrode surface, O$_2^-$ formed HO$_2^*$ via a proton and an electron transfer, which then quickly dissociated to form a pair of OH* and O* on the neighboring Pt atoms. The OH* species further combined with “H” to generate H$_2$O. The detailed schematic diagram of ORR mechanism at a Pt(hkl) surface as shown in Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b (Supplementary Fig. 25). However, because of the different Gibbs free energy and dissociation barrier of the same intermediates on different crystallographic planes, there are differences between the Pt(111) and the other two facets (Pt(110) and Pt(100)) (Supplementary
Tables 3-6). (Based on the previous reports, the dissociation barriers for HO$_2^*$ on Pt(111) is about 0.59 eV higher than that on Pt(100).$^{47-49}$ During the ORR process, after protonation to form HO$_2^*$ at the Pt(111) surface, the adsorbed HO$_2^*$ species is stable and needs a higher activation energy to proceed to the next step (Fig. 5a). However, the instability of adsorbed HO$_2^*$ at Pt(110) and Pt(100) surfaces, coupled with simultaneously with a proton and an electron transfer process, leads to the O-O bond of HO$_2^*$ quickly breaking, and forming a pair of OH* and O* on the neighboring Pt atoms in acid condition (Fig. 5b). This should be correlated with the structure-activity relationship of different surfaces and the rate determining step of ORR. It is also in good agreement with previous references.$^{9,10,47-50}$

**Figure 5** | The proposed mechanism of ORR at Pt(hkl) surfaces in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ solution and relevant Gibbs free energy (eV) of different intermediates at Pt(hkl) surfaces. Free energies are given relative to gas-phase H$_2$ and O$_2$ and metal surface to simulate the reaction of H$_2$+O$_2$→H$_2$O on Pt surface. Different intermediates at a-Pt(111) and b-Pt(100) surfaces. The white, red, and blue spheres represent Pt, O, and H, respectively. The mainly difference between a and b is the forth step. For a, it is OH* + O* + 3(H$^+$ + e$^-$) → H$_2$O* + O* + 2(H$^+$ + e$^-$), while for b, it is OH* + O* + 3(H$^+$ + e$^-$) → OH* + OH* + 2(H$^+$ + e$^-$).
Meanwhile, one idea that we have to have in mind is that, despite to the fact that adsorbed species are detected or included in the calculations, solution species may exist and play a role. In general the detected adsorption processes such as those shown in Equation 1 are fast and reversible:

\[
\text{Pt} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{PtOH} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \tag{1}
\]

This may also happen with intermediates like HO₂* which can go to the solution side, interact strongly (H bonding) with the water layer and, eventually, become re-adsorbed before further reaction. In this respect the ORR, once started, would involve intermediates that combine hydrogen and oxygen atoms, which should be high mobility species in aqueous solution.

**Conclusions**

In this work, we employed in situ EC-SHINERS method to systematically investigate the ORR process at Pt(hkl) single crystal surfaces and obtained directly spectral evidences of OH*, HO₂* and O₂⁻. We found during the ORR process, the adsorbed HO₂* is stable at the Pt(111) surface, but there is just adsorbed OH* at the Pt(110) and Pt(100) surfaces. The steps to form HO₂* and OH* species at the Pt(hkl) surfaces will directly affect the ORR activity of different single crystal surfaces. Meanwhile, in the alkaline condition, there were only O₂⁻ species found on three single crystal surfaces. We therefore conclude that the protonation process significantly affects the ORR activity and mechanism. Combining with the theoretical calculation results and previous researches, we further explained the ORR mechanism at the Pt(hkl) surface in acidic conditions, and raised a reasonable interpretation and inference from EC-SHINERS measurements.
Methods

Reagents. Sodium citrate (99.0%), chloroauric acid (99.99%), sodium perchlorate (98.0% ~
102.0%), and (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane(APTMS) (97%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar;
sodium hydroxide (97%, GR) and perchloric acid (70% ~ 72%, GR) were purchased from
Sinopharm chemical reagent Co. Ltd.; sodium silicate solution (27% SiO$_2$) was purchased from
Sigma-Aldrich. Deuterium oxide (for NMR 99.8 atom % D) was purchased from ARMAR AG. All
chemicals were used as received without further purification. Argon (99.999%), hydrogen
(99.999%) and oxygen (99.999%) were purchased from Linde gas. 18-O$_2$ (99.8%) was purchased
from LION Biology Company. Milli-Q water (~18.2 M$\Omega$·cm) was used throughout the study.

Equipments. High-resolution TEM (JEOL, cat. no. JEM 2100 EX) and scanning electron
microscopy (SEM) (HITACHI S-4800) were used to characterize the morphology of SHINs and
single crystal surfaces. The electrode potential was controlled with an Autolab PGSTAT30
(Metrohm).

Synthesis of SHINs. We consider 55 nm Au@ 2 nm SiO$_2$ SHINs as an example to introduce the
detailed preparation process.$^{23}$ The 55 nm Au NPs were prepared according to Frens method First,
200 mL of 0.01% HAuCl$_4$ solution was taken into a 500 mL round-bottom flask and heated to
boiling under stirring. After that, 1.4 mL 1% sodium citrate solution was quickly added into the
above solution and continued the reaction for 40 min, and then cooled down at normal temperature
condition for the next step to prepare SHINs. SHINs were synthesized as following: 30 mL 55 nm
Au NPs solution was added into a round-bottom flask under stirring without heat, and then added
0.4 mL (3-Aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (APTMS) (1 mM) were added. After 15 min reaction under room temperature under stirring, 3.2 mL 0.54% Na₂SiO₃ solution (the pH was about 10.3) were added into the above solution. After 3 min later, the mixed sample was transferred to a 98 °C bath and stirred for 20 min. Then the solution was quickly cooled down in an ice-bath and centrifuged for three times. Last, the concentrated SHINs was diluted with pure water for further measurements.

**Electrochemistry.** The single crystal electrodes were Clavilier-type Pt(hkl) electrodes (the diameter is ∼2 mm). Before experiment, the Pt(hkl) electrodes were annealed in a butane flame and cooled down in Ar + H₂ atmosphere. Electrochemical tests were conducted in a three-compartment glass cell with a Pt wire as a counter electrode and an RHE reference electrode (all potentials are reported with respect to RHE electrode in this paper). All solutions in the electrochemical ORR measurements were saturated with oxygen. Electrochemical measurements were carried out with an Autolab PGSTAT30 (Metrohm) and the ORR electrochemical experiments were researched at a hanging meniscus rotating disk electrode (HMRDE) configuration system, using a Radiometer, EDI-101. The pH value of 0.1 M NaClO₄ electrolyte was adjusted by NaOH solution.

**The cleaning process for the SHINs on Pt(hkl) surfaces.** Place the Pt(hkl) electrode (modified with SHINs) in an electrochemical cell filled with 0.1 M NaClO₄ solution (pH∼9), and polarize at -1.2 V (vs. SCE) for about 1-2 min (the generation of tiny hydrogen gas could be observed). The HER proceeded vigorously, and the impurities adsorbed on the electrode or SHINs surface would be desorbed and diffused into the solution. Wash the electrode surface carefully and change the solution. Repeat these processes 3-5 times. Finally, transfer the electrode to another clean electrochemical cell or Raman cell for CV or in situ Raman tests.
**In situ** EC-SHINERS. Raman spectra were recorded with an Xplora confocal microprobe Raman system (HORIBA JobinYvon). A 50× magnification long working distance (8 mm) objective was used. The wavelength of excitation laser was 637.8 nm from a He-Ne laser (power was about 6 mW). Raman frequencies were calibrated using Si wafer and ClO₄⁻ solution spectra. The Raman spectra shown in the experiment were collected during 120 s for one single spectrum curve one time, accumulation twice.

**3D-FDTD numerical method.** The 3 dimensional finite-difference time-domain (3D-FDTD) method was used to study the electromagnetic field enhancement. The fundamental principle of FDTD can refer to the literature. The FDTD has been widely used to investigate the optical properties, such as light scattering, absorption and electromagnetic field distributions. In the simulation, perfectly matched layer (PML) was used. The simulation time was set as 1000 fs that was enough to insure the convergence of calculation. We adapted non-uniform mesh size in the junctions of the investigated structures. In detail, the Yee cell size in the junctions of particle-particle and particle-Pt film is 0.25 nm × 0.25 nm × 0.25 nm and the remaining regions was 0.5 nm × 0.5 nm × 0.5 nm. The dielectric function of Pt and Au that were dependent on wavelength were taken from a multi-coefficient fitting model offered by Lumerical FDTD.

**Computational details.** All theoretical simulations were performed using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) to simulate Periodic boundary condition (PBC) model implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). The projector-augmented wave (PAW) method was applied to describe the electron-ion interactions. A plane-wave basis cutoff of 400 eV was used for the wave functions, energies were converged to 10⁻⁵ eV. Paxton and Methfessel method with a broadening
factor of 0.1 eV was used, and the Γ-centered k-point sampling grid of 12×12×12 was applied for
the primitive cell calculation was adopted. The Γ-centered k-point sampling grid of 6×6×1 was
adopted for all single crystal facets concerned in this work. Vibrational frequencies of adsorbed
molecules on surface metal were calculated with density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT).
The bottom 2 layers of the five-layer 2×2 Pt surface are fixed, while the top 3 layers are relaxed in
all calculation. In addition, spin-polarized calculation was performed in the geometry optimization.
For the DFT calculation of O₂, the electron in our system is simulated by adding one electron,
while the charge neutrality is maintained by a compensating uniform charge background. The
calculated lattice constant of Pt was 3.977 Å, which agrees with the experimental value of 3.909 Å,
and the vacuum spaces of 15 Å was used to describe the five layers 2 × 2 Pt surface. All
thermodynamic energies were calculated at 298.15 K and 1 atm using the Atomic Simulation
Environment suite of programs (Equation 2).  

\[ G = H - TS = E_{DFT} + E_{ZPE} + \int_{0}^{298.15K} C_v dT - TS \]  

Where \( E_{DFT} \) is the total energy from DFT geometry optimization, \( E_{ZPE} \) is the zero-point vibrational
energy (ZPE), \( \int_{0}^{298.15K} C_v dT \) the thermal energy is heat capacity, \( T \) is the temperature, and the S
is entropy. The ideal gas approximation was used for O₂ and H₂, and the harmonic approximation
was used for adsorbates.

**Data availability.** The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study
are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Figure 2

(a) The curve of ORR at Pt(111) surface.

(b) Raman shift (cm\(^{-1}\))

(c) The curve of ORR at Pt(111) surface.

(d) Structures of top site and bridge site.
Figure 3
Figure 4

(a) $v_s$ (ClO$_4^-$) vs. $v$ (O$_2^-$) at Raman shift (cm$^{-1}$) from 400 to 1200. The peaks are observed at 1151 V, 933 V, and 1.05 V.

(b) $v_s$ (ClO$_4^-$) vs. $v$ (O$_2^-$) at Raman shift (cm$^{-1}$) from 400 to 1200. The peaks are observed at 1149 V, 933 V, and 1.05 V.

(c) $v_s$ (ClO$_4^-$) vs. $v$ (O$_2^-$) at Raman shift (cm$^{-1}$) from 400 to 1200. The peaks are observed at 1150 V, 933 V, and 1.05 V.

Legend:
- Green: $v_s$ (ClO$_4^-$)
- Yellow: $v$ (O$_2^-$)
Figure 5
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