Author's Accepted Manuscript

Comparative thermoregulation between different species of dung beetles (Coleoptera: Geotrupinae)

Belén Gallego, José R. Verdú, Jorge M. Lobo



PII: S0306-4565(17)30346-7

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.03.009 DOI:

Reference: TB2074

To appear in: Journal of Thermal Biology

Received date: 19 August 2017 14 February 2018 Revised date: Accepted date: 9 March 2018

Cite this article as: Belén Gallego, José R. Verdú and Jorge M. Lobo, Comparative thermoregulation between different species of dung beetles Geotrupinae), Journal Thermal (Coleoptera: of Biology, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.03.009

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comparative thermoregulation between different species of dung beetles (Coleoptera: Geotrupinae)

Belén Gallego^{a,b}, José R. Verdú^a, Jorge M. Lobo^{b*}

^aI.U.I. CIBIO, Universidad de Alicante, San Vicente del Raspeig, 03080 Alicante, Spain

^bDepartment of Biogeography and Global Change, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, José Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

*Corresponding author. mcnj117@mncn.csic.es

Abstract

Insects can use thermoregulation to keep their body temperatures within a certain range in response to thermal stress situations. The mechanisms to regulate internal temperature depend on whether species are endothermic or ectothermic species, i.e., if the heat source is internal and/or external. In this study, the thermal stress response due to excess heat was examined in individuals belonging to different species of the Geotrupinae subfamily by using a standardized protocol based on infrared thermography. All the measured heat stress variables allow discrimination among the considered species to a greater or lesser extent. The body heating rate in the heat stress range, the critical thermal maximum and the stress start temperature were the most important variables in discriminating between apterous and winged individuals $(R^2 = 52.5\%, 51.1\%)$ and 50.0%, respectively). Examining the degree of association between the physiological similarity of individuals and some species traits suggest that flying capability and daily activity are related with different thermal responses. Based on the obtained results, the Geotrupinae subfamily can be divided into three ecophysiological groups: good, medium and non-thermoregulators. Within these groups, apterism appears mainly in those species with the ability to actively decrease

their body temperature. Our results indicate that this ability may be partially due to the management of water loss related with evaporative cooling.

Keywords

heat thermal stress, infrared thermography, thermoregulation ability, overheating, thermal tolerance.

Abbreviations

CT_{max}, Critical thermal maximum; HCR, Heat coma range; HRT, Heat regulation temperature; HSR, Heat stress range; rHCR, Rate of increase in the body temperature per unit of time during the heat coma range (HCR); rHSR, Rate of increase in the body temperature per unit of time during the heat stress range (HSR); rSAR, Rate of increase in the body temperature per unit of time during the supra-optimal activity range (SAR); rTR, Rate of increase in the body temperature per unit of time during the thermoregulation range (TR); SAR, Supra-optimal activity range; SST, Start stress temperature; TR, Thermoregulation range; ULT, Upper lethal temperature

1. Introduction

Temperature is one of the most important factors affecting many biological and metabolic processes (Angilletta, 2009). Assuming that both the thermal optimum and the thermal performance breadth of individuals match body temperatures experienced under different environmental conditions, species with distinct distributional ranges and life history traits may differ in their thermal niches (Bozinovic *et al.*, 2011). This *a priori* close relationship between physiological and environmental temperatures would explain the distribution and abundance of species. In contrast, a mismatch between physiological and environmental temperatures would indicate that behaviour,

physiological plasticity and variation in thermal tolerance could be the rule, and that distribution and abundance must be explained by other types of factors.

The thermal tolerance and heat stress of phylogenetically close related species is in many cases correlated with the thermal conditions prevailing in the environment in which the species lives (Garbuz et al., 2003; Gallego et al., 2016; Hamblin et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2017, but see also Paterson and Blouin-Demers, 2017). However, things seem to be much more complex. Upper thermal limits in ectotherm species seem to be relatively homogeneous (Hamilton, 1973; Addo-Bediako et al., 2000) generally approaching the maximum temperature at which metabolic and growth rates are more effective without reaching inoperative lethal levels. As a consequence, if heat stress tolerances in ectotherms differ between phylogenetically related species, these differences will tend to appear close to upper extreme temperatures, an important fact that may diminish the possibilities of adaptation under drastic climatic changes (Hamilton, 1973). Here, we examine whether the response to thermal stress differs among species of an ancient monophyletic beetle group (Coleoptera, Geotrupidae, Geotrupinae) living mainly under cold temperate conditions. The existence of different thermal responses between the species of this group would suggest that the management of heat stress may played a role in the diversification of this group.

Briefly, Geotrupinae species are frequently associated with the dung of mammalian herbivores as part of the dung beetle assemblages, including both diurnal and nocturnal species, with broad and narrow distribution ranges, varied morphology and behaviour, as well as different climatic and environmental conditions in the localities in which they live. This monophyletic group, whose origins date back to Cretaceous times (Krell, 2007; Cunha *et al.*, 2011), is mainly distributed across the Holarctic region, harbouring a diversified group of derived species that is well

represented in the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa (López-Colón, 2000). Interestingly, many of these Mediterranean Geotrupinae species are apterous due to the reduction of the hind wings and the fusion of elytra. This morphological characteristic is usually considered an adaptation to arid and extreme conditions because the fusion of elytra diminishes the loss of body water, and the subelytral cavity allowed the abdomen expansion to store water (Scholtz, 1981; Draney, 1993; Prange, 1996; Verdú and Galante, 2002). In other dung beetle groups with a Gondwanian origin that are adapted to tropical and hot-temperate conditions (e.g., Scarabaeidae), winged species of medium and large body are endothermic and have different mechanisms for thermoregulation in response to the excess of heat (Verdú et al., 2012). These species must cope with both the internal heat produced by the dorsoventral and longitudinal thoracic muscles during flight and the external heat of the environment (Heinrich, 1993; Denlinger and Yocum, 1998; Chown and Nicolson, 2004; Verdú et al., 2012). In regard to ectothermic species without the ability of flight, the overheating risk should be mainly due to the different external sources of heat available in their environment. However, these apterous or flightless species may also have thermoregulatory mechanisms, although the involved mechanisms and their frequency or capacity are relatively unknown (Huey and Stevenson, 1979; Heinrich, 1995; Willott, 1997). In the case of Geotrupinae the adaptations of apterous species toward arid and extreme climates provide an opportunity to analyse the thermal strategies of a mostly cold temperate group when it has to address heat stress.

In this study, thermal stress responses due to heat excess were examined in individuals belonging to different species of the Geotrupinae subfamily using a standardized protocol based on infrared thermography (Gallego *et al.*, 2016). By including species with varying morphological, ecological and phylogenetic

characteristics, the aims of this study are to ascertain i) the existence and diversity of the thermoregulation mechanisms used to avoid overheating within this group and ii) the degree of the association between these mechanisms and different species traits, such as flying capability, daily activity and phylogeny.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals, capture and acclimation conditions

Individuals belonging to 26 species of Geotrupinae (Coleoptera, Geotrupidae) were studied to estimate heat stress responses. The number of studied specimens for each species was determined by its availability under natural field conditions (see Table 1 and Table S1 in Supporting Information). The selected species represent approximately 58% to 64% of the Geotrupinae genera inhabiting the Palaearctic region, depending on the phylogenetic and taxonomic criteria (Branco and Ziani, 2006; Lobo *et al.*, 2015), and 75% of the genera and 46% of the species inhabiting Europe (López-Colón, 2003). The individuals were collected in the field, immediately placed in 10x10x10 cm plastic containers with soil from the collection site and stored at 15 °C inside a portable cooler (Thermo Engel ®18 Litre) during transport to the laboratory. To select individuals of approximately the same age, we estimated both the cuticular deterioration of the anterior tibia and the hardness of the pronotum and elytra (Tyndale-Biscoe, 1984).

Individuals of each species were acclimated using a refrigerated chamber (MIR-153, Sanyo Electric Co. Osaka, Japan) at 25 °C, which is the minimum temperature at which the neuromuscular system performs properly and individuals are active without heat stress (May, 1979, 1985; Vannier, 1994; Chown and Nicholson, 2004; Gallego *et al.*, 2016). All individuals were fasted during the five-day acclimation period to mitigate the effect of diet and gut content on the physiological measurements obtained (Verdú *et al.*, 2010; Gallego *et al.*, 2016). These experimental procedures conform to the Spanish

legal requirements, including those related to conservation and welfare. The beetle capture was performed according to the relevant permits related to animal collection and laboratory studies.

2.2. Thermal measurements

Thermal responses to heat stress were estimated using the infrared thermography protocol proposed by Gallego *et al.* (2016) under controlled conditions applied similarly to all the studied individuals (a model graph of the obtained response is shown in Fig. 1). The use of a thermocamera allows us to record and display the thermal sessions to estimate body temperatures as well as behavioural activities. The assays were carried out using a hotplate (rectangular precision hotplate "Plactronic"; stability ± 0.5 °C; J.P. Selecta, Barcelona, Spain).. A pin was stuck to the left side of the thorax of each beetle specimen and that pin was pricked on a strip of cork by using hot melt adhesive. Between three and five individuals, placed 3 cm apart, are fixed on the strip of cork and the entire set placed 2.5 cm above this hotplate so that the ventral side of the beetle is oriented parallel to the hotplate (see Figure S1 in Supporting Information). Thermocamera was placed at a set height above the experimental arena composed by the hotplate and the strip of cork containing dung beetle specimens.

The temperatures of living individuals were compared to freshly killed individuals (by freezing) after they were acclimated similarly, which represent operative temperature measurements (Dzialowski, 2005) that are hereinafter referred to as "model individuals". A ramp heat treatment with a heating rate of 1.5 °C min⁻¹ was used in order to ensure that all individuals reached a stress state, as endotherm beetle species tend to have high pre-flight and flight warming rates that easily reach 2 °C min⁻¹ (Heinrich, 1993; Denliger and Yocum, 1998; Verdú *et al.*, 2012). The heating rate was recorded in each experimental trial using a K/J thermometer Fluke 152 (Fluke Co.

California, USA) placed in the "model individual". Following this protocol, three different body temperatures were measured (see Fig. 1): start of stress temperature (SST), critical thermal maximum (CT_{max}) and upper lethal temperature (ULT).

These three temperatures are estimated watching the video recordings carried out with the thermocamera combining with temperature data and thermal curves behaviour. Although partially subjective these temperatures are not arbitrary and are in line with those obtained using thermolimit respirometry (not showed). *SST* was delimited to the moment when a clear and sudden acceleration in leg and head movements was observed. The CT_{max} is the temperature at which hind limb paralysis was observed, and the *ULT* is the temperature at which individuals were completely paralyzed and dead. These three temperatures delimit the following three thermal ranges: the supra-optimal activity range (*SAR*; from the acclimation temperature to the *SST*), the heat stress range (*HSR*; from the *SST* to the CT_{max}), and the heat coma range (*HCR*; from the CT_{max} to the *ULT*). The heating rate in these three thermal ranges (*rSAR*, *rHSR* and *rHCR*) has also been estimated and, finally, six variables that measure the response of individuals to heat stress have been considered (see Fig. 1 and Gallego *et al.*, 2016).

All measurements were obtained using a FLIR ThermaCam P620 thermal infrared camera (resolution of 640x480 pixels) with a microbolometer Focal Plane Array detector (with a spectral range of 7.5-13 µm and a thermal sensitivity of 0.06 °C at 30 °C). The thermocamera was calibrated by the Standard Calibration service provided by FLIR Systems, Inc. We measured the cuticle emissivity at different temperatures (from 40 °C to 70 °C) using fresh cuticles from all Geotrupinae species studied, obtaining values between 0.94 and 0.99. As a reference for the measurements, we used electrical tape (a reference method described in ISO 18434-1) and black paint

(NEXTEL-Velvet-Coating 811-21). In each experimental trial, the ambient temperature in the laboratory was recorded using a Fluke 152 K/J thermometer (Fluke Co., California, USA). All individuals were weighed before and immediately after all assays using a precision balance (AG104 Analytic Balance; Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH, US). The assays were performed with the thermocamera connected to a computer in which the video recording was stored, and the provided images were used to obtain the temperatures by selecting an area in the central part of the pronotum (ca. 20 mm²) where temperature data were obtained using the ThermaCAM Researcher Pro 2.9.

2.3. Studied traits

We examine the explanatory capacity on thermal stress responses accounted for three types of categorical predictors reflecting the main clades, the daily activity patterns and the presence or absence of wings (Table 1). Phylogenetic relationships are relatively well established based on morphology, partial mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequence data (Zunino, 1984; Martín-Piera et al., 2000; Verdú and Galante, 2000; Lobo et al., 2006; Cunha et al., 2011; Lobo et al., 2015). Such phylogenetic information was used to assign each of the species studied to one of the following three main clades derived from the phylogenetic relationships obtained by Cunha et al. (2011): Thorectes-Jekelius-Silphotrupes-Trypocopris (clade I), Geotrupes-Anoplotrupes-Sericotrupes (clade II) and Ceratophyus-Typhaeus (clade III). The general daily activity patterns of each species were categorized as nocturnal, diurnal or crepuscular, because this factor may influence the temperature range to which insects are exposed (Holm and Edney, 1973; Huey and Bennett, 1990; Verdú et al., 2004). Finally, we also classified the species studied as apterous (non-flying) or winged (flying) because this trait is considered an adaptation to semi-arid and arid conditions, which is related to

thermoregulatory capacity through evaporative cooling due to water storage in the subelytral cavity (Draney, 1993).

2.4. Statistical analyses

Between-species differences in the thermal response to heat stress were examined using general linear models (GLMs) with an ANCOVA model design using a type III sum of squares (i.e., estimating the partial effects of each factor while controlling for the effects of the remaining predictors). Statistical analysis accounted for inter-individual variability according to Spicer and Gaston (1999). Therefore, species was not considered as a random factor. In addition to species identity (response variable), the following three continuous covariates were included in the model to statistically control for their effects on the response to heat stress variables: initial body mass, room temperature, and weight loss. The logarithm of each individual body mass was included to account for the effect of physical inertia (the resistance to a change in body temperature depending on the mass). The average air temperature experienced in the room during each heating assay was also included to account for its possible influence on the response variables. Weight loss is the reduction in the body mass of each individual during each assay and was included here to consider the possible differences in the management of body temperatures due to evaporation. Model residuals were checked for normality and homoscedasticity assumptions.

Subsequently, the relationship between the physiological similarity of the different species and the selected traits (phylogenetic clades, daily activity patterns and flight capacity categories) was assessed using a non-parametric PERMANOVA test (Anderson and Walsh, 2013). Adjusted GLM mean values of the heat stress variables for each species were used (i.e., eliminating the effects of co-variables) and were standardized to a mean of zero and one standard deviation to eliminate the effect of

measurement scale differences. The non-Euclidean and non-metric Gower coefficient was used to estimate physiological similarity among all the species considered. A weight proportional to their explanatory capacity (R^2 in GLM) was given to each thermal stress variable to generate a triangular similarity matrix that is able to better reflect the physiological similarity. Finally, multidimensional scaling (MDS) was used to provide a bi-dimensional visual representation of the physiological similarity of the species according to their formerly mentioned traits.

All the statistical analyses were performed using StatSoft's STATISTICA v12.0 (StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA) and PRIMER v6.1.14 + PERMANOVA v6.1.0.4.

3. Results

3.1. Differences in thermal response to heat stress

All the heat stress variables considered allow for discrimination, to a greater or lesser degree, among the species considered (Table 2). The variables for which the species factor showed a higher explanatory capacity are *rHSR*, *SST* and *CT_{max}*, which explained 52.5%, 51.1% and 50.0% of the observed variability, respectively. Pairwise comparisons between the species were carried out using post hoc N-HSD tests, and 5%, 14% and 27% were statistically significant for *rHSR*, *SST* and *CT_{max}*, respectively (see Fig. 2). *rSAR* allowed for the discrimination of 19% of all pairwise comparisons, despite its relatively low explanatory capacity (Table 2). Regarding the covariates included, body mass had no effect on any variable and the ambient temperature at which the tests were carried out influenced *rSAR*, *rHSR* and *SST*, explaining 17.5%, 2.2% and 2.6% of the total variability, respectively. Finally, the weight loss during the assays only had an effect on the *rHSR* variable, explaining 6.8% of total variability (a greater weight loss occurred when the *rHSR* values were low). However, this effect varies substantially depending on whether the specimen belongs to a winged or apterous species. In winged

individuals rHSR values are unrelated to weight loss ($R^2 = 0.93\%$; $F_{(1,51)} = 0.48$; p = 0.41), but they are highly related in the case of apterous individuals ($R^2 = 24.95\%$; $F_{(1,86)} = 28.59$; p < 0.0001). Model residuals were always normally distributed, and scatterplots of standardized residuals against fitted values did not show a systematic pattern. A visual inspection of the between-species variation in the rHSR, CT_{max} and SST values (Fig. 2) showed that higher differences in these variables were observed between those species of the apterous genera Thorectes and Jekelius and those winged species belonging to Geotrupes, Anoplotrupes, Sericotrupes or Ceratophyus. In general, the factor species accounts for a high proportion of the complete explained variability (from 38% to 95%), while the unexplained variability ranges from 23% to 58% (Table 2).

3.2. Correspondence with selected factors

The species belonging to phylogenetic clades II and III showed a similar thermal response to heat stress, but both differed from those belonging to clade I (Table 3). The categories of daily activity appear to form independent groups with statistically significant differences among diurnal and nocturnal species, but the responses of nocturnal and crepuscular species seem to be similar (Table 3). Finally, differences in thermal traits also appear in measures of physiological similarity between apterous and winged individuals. These results are shown in Fig. 3.

3.3. Responses to overheating

It is possible to easily group the studied species taking into account the values of the two variables with a higher interspecific discrimination capacity (the heating rate on the heat stress range, rHSR, and the critical thermal maximum, CT_{max}). Thus, responses to overheating in Geotrupinae could be divided into the following three categories according to the specific conditions of the laboratory experiments (Fig. 2): i) 'good thermoregulators' capable of actively decreasing their body temperatures before the

appearance of irreversible injury; ii) 'non-thermoregulators' that cannot actively decrease their body temperatures; and iii) 'intermediate thermoregulators' with an intermediate response between these two groups. Good thermoregulators have low or intermediate rHSR values and high or medium CT_{max} values, showing slow heating rates and relatively high heat tolerances. Non-thermoregulators would be those that warm up quickly and reach the critical state at relatively low temperatures, thereby having high or medium rHSR values and low or intermediate CT_{max} values. Finally, intermediate thermoregulators would include species with varied heating rates and critical thermal maximum values that do not correspond with those of the other, more extreme categories.

4. Discussion

In this study, the thermal response to heat stress has been examined for a mainly cold temperate group. The provided results show that Geotrupinae species have two main strategies to cope with heat stress, which are associated with the apterous or winged character of the species. The existence of these strategies suggests that the Mediterranean diversification of this group and the varied response to heat stress were enabled by a trade-off with the loss of the capacity of flight.

Three main variables have higher interspecific discriminatory capacity (rHSR, SST and CT_{max}) and reflect the rate of heat acquired by the body during the heat stress range, the temperature at which stress starts, and the critical maximum temperature, respectively. All these variables characterize the tolerance to high temperatures of the examined individuals, and their values significantly differ between apterous and winged species, as well as between diurnal (all but one are apterous; see Table 1) and crepuscular/nocturnal species. Thus, the ability to be active during diurnal and hot conditions seems to be a characteristic associated with the atrophy of the hind wings

and the fusion of elytra. As a consequence, all the species belonging to the apterous genus Jekelius, and three species belonging also to the apterous genus Thorectes (T. valencianus (Baraud, 1966), T. armifrons (Reitter, 1893) and T. trituberculatus Reitter, 1892) can be categorized as good regulators of body excess temperature under experimental conditions ('good thermoregulators'; see Fig. 2). These species are all diurnal and generally distributed in semiarid and lowland areas; although T. armifrons, distributed in North Africa, may occur at higher altitudes (Verdú et al., 2015). All species of this group belong to the phylogenetic clade I. The intermediate thermoregulator (Fig. 2) group is characterized by species distributed in mid-mountain areas, such as the apterous diurnal species T. lusitanicus (Jekel, 1866), T. baraudi López-Colón, 1981 and T. laevigatus Fabricius, 1798. This group also includes some apterous and crepuscular species such as *Silphotrupes punctatissimus* (Chevrolat, 1840) and S. escorialensis (Jekel 1866), which are distributed in the central and northern arid mountainous areas of the Iberian Peninsula. Furthermore, this group also includes Trypocopris pyrenaeus (Charpentier, 1825), a flying species distributed in the mountainous areas of Western Europe (Verdú et al., 2015) that shows a low endothermic capacity during flight compared with other Geotrupinae winged species (J. R. Verdú, unpublished data). T. pyrenaeus would be the only winged species with the ability to decrease their body temperature to cope the excess of heat; this represents an intermediate strategy between that of the apterous *Thorectes* species (sensu lato) and the winged species with a more basal position within the Geotrupinae phylogeny (Cunha et al., 2011). This second group is also formed by species of the phylogenetic clade I.

Finally, the remaining individuals belong mostly to clades II and III can be considered bad physiological thermoregulators when trapped in the hot microenvironments established by the used experimental conditions (Fig. 2). They are

nocturnal or crepuscular and are distributed in cold areas with an autumn-winter phenology. The individuals of all these species can fly, except *Typhaeus* (*Chelotrupes*) momus (Olivier, 1789) and Silphotrupes orocantabricus (Verdú and Galante, 2000). T. momus is a vespertine-crepuscular and autumn-winter species distributed in the coastal areas of the south of the Iberian Peninsula (Gallardo de la Torre et al., 2002) and S. orocantabricus is the only species of the phylogenetic clade I within this group, being a vespertine-crepuscular species distributed at higher elevations in the Iberian Peninsula than the other two Iberian Silphotrupes species (Verdú et al., 2015). We argue that these non-thermoregulator species lack physiological adaptations to heat stress because their main physiological requirement is to maintain and generate heat rather than to eliminate it (Zunino and Palestrini, 1986; Martín-Piera and López-Colón, 2000; López-Colón, 2000, 2003; Lobo and Hortal, 2006; Verdú et al., 2007). Thus, we hypothesize that the emergence of apterism would have propitiated the evolution of novel physiological mechanisms of thermoregulation in part because apterous species could no longer thermoregulate behaviourally. The consequence in Geotrupinae is that it is possible to find a high variability of responses to manage heat stress, both endothermic and ectothermic, both behavioural and physiological. Even within the studied endothermic Geotrupinae, there are both diurnal and nocturnal species, implying that a general physiological pattern to manage heat stress is not plausible (Krogh and Zeuthen, 1941; Scholtz, 2000; Verdú and Galante, 2000; Scholtz et al., 2009; Chown and Klok, 2011; Cunha et al., 2011).

Our results highlight the phylogenetic association of the detected thermal responses because apterism has a deep phylogenetic signal in Geotrupinae (Cunha *et al.*, 2011). The association between thermoregulation and phylogenetic clade I is the consequence of the high degree of endemicity of these related genera in the Western Mediterranean

region, which could have acted as a diversification centre since the Lower Tertiary (Verdú and Galante, 2002; Cunha et al., 2011). These results highlight the importance of including phylogenetic information when estimating how different physiological traits vary within and between taxonomic levels (Chown, 2001). Further studies examining the connection of these thermal physiological variables and molecular data may help to elucidate the phylogenetic signal of these traits and the influence of evolution on the currently observed physiological patterns. Our results also show that the identity of the species explains 43% of the total physiological variability, while 38% of the variability may be due to the differences between individuals. Thus, it is necessary to continue research regarding thermal physiology that considers individual continuous traits and behavioural measures (Spicer and Gaston, 2009), which can allow measurement of thermal responses before they reach the limits at which irreversible injuries occur. This research will enable us to obtain information on the insect strategies to handle thermal stress, which would help us better understand aspects such as the geographical distribution patterns, phenological activity or historical evolution of species or their ability to respond to climate change.

Apterism in Geotrupinae seems to have been crucial to thermoregulatory ability, and the evolution of this ability to avoid overheating might have promoted the success of this group in colonizing warmer and arid climates. Apterous species can all be considered good or intermediate thermoregulators who are able to actively decrease their body temperature when experiencing excess heat. We hypothesize that this capacity is linked with the fusion of elytra because it prevents water loss and increases the capacity to store water in the subelytral cavity (Roff, 1990; Draney, 1993). Our results indicate that water loss has a small influence on the heating rate during the heat stress range in Geotrupinae, but that weight loss may explain a quarter part of the

variation in this heating rate in the case of apterous individuals (*rHSR* diminish 0.17 °C per milligram of lost weight). However, the relationship between apterism and thermoregulatory capacity cannot be only due only to the management of water and its use in the evaporative cooling process (Prange, 1996); both internal (via tracheal system) and external (by flapping or moving legs to circulate cool air) air ventilation mechanisms can be fundamental for this purpose. It is necessary to continue researching the thermal and hydric physiology of these species under heat stress conditions. The association between thermoregulation and diurnal activity has been observed in other insects (Fresquet and Lazzari, 2014) and is probably inevitable under Mediterranean conditions, where diurnal individuals need a thermoregulatory mechanism that allows them to live under these dry and hot conditions.

Geotrupinae is a lineage that likely originated in temperate climates during the Mesozoic (Cunha *et al.*, 2011), with a relatively recent diversification related to the colonization of warm and arid environments. Thus, we can hypothesize that the ability to thermoregulate under warmer climates is a capacity acquired to manage heat stress situations associated with the loss of flying capacity. Flightless could be considered as a common strategy observed in Coleoptera inhabiting semiarid areas (Roff, 1990). In the case of dung beetles inhabiting semiarid areas, the tribe Eucraniini (except for *Ennearabdus lobocephalus*) in South America, all species of the southern African genus *Pachysoma* as well as the South African *Circellium bacchus* are flightless species (Scholtz, 2000). Interestingly, apterism is the dominant condition among species of the other sister basal Geotrupidae subfamilies, such as Taurocerastinae and Lethrinae (Cunha *et al.*, 2011). Further thermal studies on individuals of these subfamilies can shed light on the relationship between heat thermoregulation and apterism.

5. Conclusions

Within the Geotrupinae subfamily, we found three different strategies in response to heat stress, ranging from active thermoregulators to species unable to remove excess heat under the specific conditions of the laboratory experiments. These differences in the management of thermal stress are associated with the loss of flight and the fusion of elytra, as it is possible to find both winged endothermic species and apterous ectothermic species. The former would prefer to live under cold and mountainous zones and/or would have nocturnal activity, while the latter can be found in the more arid and warm areas of the Iberian Peninsula and are able to actively eliminate excess heat under thermal stress situations.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare

Author contributions

B.G., J.R.V. and J.M.L. conceived and designed the research, collected the biological samples, designed and performed the thermographical and behavioural analyses, and performed data analyses and wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish research project CGL2011- 25544 of the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, as well as by the F. P. I. fellowship BES-2012-052010 to B.G. We are grateful to two reviewers by their valuable comments.

References

Addo-Bediako, A., Chown, S.L., Gaston, K.J., 2000. Thermal tolerance, climatic variability and latitude. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B.*, 267, 739-745.

- Anderson, M.J., Walsh, D.C.I., 2013. PERMANOVA, ANOSIM, and the Mantel test in the heterogeneous dispersions: What null hypothesis are you testing? *Ecological Monographs*, 83, 557-574.
- Angilletta, M.J., 2009. *Thermal adaptation: A theoretical and empirical analysis*.

 Oxford University Press, New York.
- Branco, T., Ziani, S., 2006. New nomenclatural and taxonomic acts, and comments:

 Geotrupidae, in: Löbl, I., Smetana, A. (Eds.), *Catalogue of Palaearctic*Coleoptera. Vol. 3. Scarabaeoidea Scirtoidea Dascilloidea Buprestoidea –

 Byrrhoidea. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, pp. 28-30.
- Bozinovic, F., Calosi, P., Spicer, J.I. 2011, Physiological correlates of geographic range in animals. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 42, 155-179.
- Buckley, L.B., Miller, E.F., Kingsolver, J.G., 2013. Ectotherm thermal stress and specialization across altitude and latitude. *Integrativer and Comparative Biology*, 53, 571-581.
- Chown, S.L., 2001. Physiological variation in insects: hierarchical levels and implications. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 47, 649-660.
- Chown, S.L., Nicolson, S., 2004. *Insect physiological ecology: mechanisms and patterns*. Oxford University Press.
- Chown, S.L., Terblanche, J.S., 2006. Physiological diversity in insects: ecological and evolutionary contexts. *Advances in Insect Physiology*, 33, 50-152.
- Chown, S.L., Klok, C.J., 2011. The ecological implications of physiological diversity in dung beetles, in: Simmons, L.W., Ridsdill-Smith, T.J. (Eds.), *Ecology and Evolution of Dung Beetles*. Blackweel Publishing Ltd.

- Cunha, R.L., Verdú, J.R., Lobo, J.M., Zardoya, R., 2011. Ancient origin of endemic Iberian earth-boring dung beetles (Geotrupidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 59, 578-586.
- Deutsch, C.A., Tewksbury, J.J., Huey, R.B., Sheldon, K.S., Ghalambor, C.K., Haak, D.C., Martin, P.R., 2008. Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105, 6668-6672.
- Denlinger, D.L., Yocum, G., 1998. Physiology of heat sensitivity. *Temperature*sensitivity in insects and application in integrated pest management (eds. D.L.

 Denlinger and G.J. Hallmann), pp. 7-53. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Draney, M.L., 1993. The subelytral cavity of desert tenebrionids. *Florida Entomologist*, 76, 539-547.
- Dzialowski, E.M., 2005. Use of operative temperature and standard operative temperature models in thermal biology. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 30, 317-334.
- Fresquet, N., Lazzari, C.R., 2014. Daily variation of the response to heat in *Rhodnius* prolixus: The roles of light and temperature as synchronisers. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 70, 36-40.
- Gallardo de la Torre, P., López, S.L., de Cárdenas Talaverón, A.M., 2002. Datos sobre la biología de *Typhaeus momus* (Olivier, 1789) (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea) en el Parque Nacional de Doñana. *Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa*, 31, 115-120.
- Gallego, B., Verdú, J.R., Carrascal, L.M., Lobo, J.M., 2016. A protocol for analysing thermal stress in insects using infrared thermography. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 56, 113-121.

- Garbuz, D., Evgenev, M.B., Feder, M.E., Zatsepina, O.G., 2003. Evolution of thermotolerance and the heat-shock response: evidence from inter/intraspecific comparison and interspecific hybridization in the *virilis* species group of *Drosophila*. I. Thermal phenotype. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 206, 2399-2408.
- Gaston, K.J., Chown, S.L., 1999. Elevation and climatic tolerance: a test using dung beetles. *Oikos*, 86, 584-590.
- Hadley, N.F., Quinlan, M.C., Kennedy, M.L., 1991. Evaporative cooling in the desert cicada: thermal efficiency and water/ metabolic costs. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 159, 269-283.
- Hamblin, A.L., Youngsteadt, E., López-Uribe, M.M., Frank, S.D., 2017. Physiological thermal limits predict differential responses of bees to urban heat-island effects. *Biololy Letters*, 13, 20170125.
- Hamilton, W.J., 1973. Life's color code. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Heinrich, B., 1993. *The hot-blooded insects*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Heinrich, B., 1995. Insect thermoregulation. Endeavour, 19, 28-33.
- Hesse, A.J., 1938. Some adaptive responses of insect life to semi-arid conditions in South Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, 35, 69-91.
- Hodkinson, I.D., 2005. Terrestrial insects along elevation gradients: species and community responses to altitude. *Biological Reviews*, 80, 489-513.
- Holm, E., Edney, E.B., 1973. Daily activity of Namib Desert arthropods in relation to climate. *Ecology*, 54, 45-56.

- Huang, Y., Tran, I., Agrawal, A.F., 2016. Does genetic variation maintained by environmental heterogeneity facilitate adaptation to novel selection? *American Naturalist*, 188, 27-37.
- Huey, R.B., Stevenson, R.D., 1979. Integrating thermal physiology and ecology of ectotherms: a discussion of approaches. *American Zoologist*, 19, 357-366.
- Huey, R.B., Bennett, A.F., 1990. Physiological adjustments to fluctuating thermal environments: An ecological and evolutionary perspective. *Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press*, 19, 37-59.
- Kearney, M., Shine. R., Porter, W., 2009. The potential for behavioural thermoregulation to buffer "cold-blooded" animals against climate warming.

 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 3835-3840.
- King, A.M., MacRae, T.H., 2015. Insect heat shock proteins during stress and diapause. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 60, 59-75.
- Kovac, H., Stabentheiner, A., 2011. Thermoregulation of foraging honeybees on flowering plants: seasonal variability and influence of radiative heat gain. *Ecological Entomology*, 36, 686-699.
- Krell, F.T., 2007. Catalogue of fossil Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera: Polyphaga) of the Mesozoic and Tertiary, Version 2007. Denver Museum of Nature and Science Technical Report 2007-2008, pp. 1–79.
- Krogh, A., Zeuthen, E., 1941. The mechanism of flight preparation in some insects.

 *Journal of Environmental Biology, 18, 1-10.
- Lahondère, C., Lazzari, C.R., 2013. Thermal stress and thermoregulation during feeding in mosquitoes, *Anopheles* mosquitoes. *Anopheles Mosquitoes New insights into malaria vectors* (ed. S. Manguin), pp. 511-524. Rijeka: InTech

- Lahondère, C., Lazzari, C.R., 2015. Thermal effect of blood feeding in the telmophagous fly *Glossina morsitans morsitans*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 48, 45-50.
- Lobo, J.M., Hortal, J., 2006. Los Escarabeidos y Geotrúpidos de la Comunidad de Madrid: Lista de especies, distribución geográfica y patrones de diversidad (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea, Scarabaeidae y Geotrupidae). *Graellsia*, 62, 419-438.
- Lobo, J.M., Verdú, J.R., Numa, C., 2006. Environmental and geographical factors affecting the Iberian distribution of flightless *Jekelius* species (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae). *Diversity and Distributions*, 12, 179-188.
- Lobo, J.M., Jiménez-Ruiz, Y., Chehlarov, E., Guéorguiev, B., Petrova, Y., Král, D., Alonso-Zarazaga, M.A., Verdú, J.R., 2015. The classification and phylogenetic status of *Jekelius (Reitterius) punctulatus* (Jekel, 1866) and *Jekelius (Jekelius) brullei* (Jekel, 1866) (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae) using molecular data. *Zootaxa*, 4040, 187–203.
- López-Colón, J.I., 2000. Familia Geotrupidae. *Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea I*. (ed. by F. Martın-Piera and J.I. López-Colón). Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, pp.107-183.
- López-Colón, J.I., 2003. Lista preliminar de los Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera) de la fauna europea (parte I). Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa, 33, 135-144.
- Martín-Piera, F., López-Colón, J.I., 2000. *Coleoptera Scarabaeoidea I*. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.
- May, M.L., 1979. Insect thermoregulation. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 24, 313-349.

- May, M.L., 1985. Thermoregulation. *Comprehensive Insect Physiology Biochemistry* and Pharmacology, 4, 507-552.
- O'Neill, K.M., Kemp, W.P., Johnson, K.A., 1990. Behavioural thermoregulation in three species of robber flies (Diptera, Asilidae: Efferia). *Animal Behaviour*, 39, 181-191.
- Paterson, J.E., Blouin-Demers, G., 2017. Do ectotherms partition thermal resources? We still do not know. *Oecologia*, 183: 337-345.
- Prange, H.D., 1996. Evaporative cooling in insects. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 42, 493-499.
- Roff, D.A., 1990. The evolution of flightlessness in insects. *Ecological Monographs*, 60, 389-421.
- Sanborn, A.F., Villet, M.H. Phillips, P.K., 2004. Endothermy in African Platypleurine cicadas: the influence of body size and habitat (Hemiptera:

 Cicadidae). *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 77, 816-823.
- Scholtz, C.H., 1981. Aptery in Trox (Coleoptera: Trogidae): morphological changes and their relationship to habitat. *Journal of the Entomological Society South Africa*, 44, 83-87.
- Scholtz, C.H., 2000. Evolution of flightlessness in Scarabaeoidea (Insecta, Coleoptera).

 Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 47, 5-28.
- Scholtz, C.H., Davis, A.L.V., Kryger, U., 2009. *Evolutionary biology and conservation of dung beetles*. Pensoft Publishers, Pretoria.
- Spicer, J., Gaston, K., 1999. *Physiological diversity: ecological implications*.

 Blackweel Science, Oxford, UK

- Sunday, J.M., Bates, A.E., Dulvy, N.K., 2011. Global analysis of thermal tolerance and latitude in ectotherms. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B*, 278, 1823-1830.
- Tyndale-Biscoe, M., 1984. Age-grading methods in adult insects: a review. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 74, 341-377.
- Vannier, G., 1994. The thermobiological limits of some freezing intolerant insects: the supercooling and thermostupor points. *Acta Oecologica*, 15, 31-42.
- Verdú, J.R., Galante, E., 2000. Taxonomía y biogeografía de los *Thorectes* Mulsant del subgénero *Silphotrupes* Jekel. Descripción de una especie nueva (Scarabaeoidea, Geotrupidae, Geotrupinae). *Boletín de la Asociación Española de Entomología*, 24, 195-206.
- Verdú, J.R., Galante, E., 2002. Climatic stress, food availability and human activity as determinants of endemism patterns in the Mediterranean region: the case of dung beetles (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea) in the Iberian Peninsula. *Diversity and Distributions*, 8, 259-274.
- Verdú, J.R., Alba-Tercedor, J. Jiménez-Manrique, M., 2012. Evidence of different thermoregulatory mechanisms between two sympatric *Scarabaeus* species using infrared thermography and micro-computer tomography. *PloS ONE*, 7, e33914.
- Verdú, J.R., Arellano, L., Numa, C., Micó, E., 2007. Roles of endothermy in niche differentiation for ball-rolling dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) along an altitudinal gradient. *Ecological Entomology*, 32, 544-551.
- Verdú, J.R., Diaz, A., Galante, E., 2004. Thermoregulatory strategies in two closely related sympatric *Scarabaeus* species (Coleoptera: Scarabaeinae). *Physiological Entomology*, 29, 32-38.

- Verdú, J.R., Casas, J.L., Lobo, J.M., Numa, C., 2010. Dung beetles eat acorns to increase their ovarian development and thermal tolerance. *PloS ONE*, 5, e10114.
- Verdú, J.R., Ruiz, J., Lumaret, J.P., Labidi, I., 2015. *Thorectes armifrons*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T47941679A48037521.
- Vorhees, A.S., Gray, E.M., Bradley, T.J., 2012. Thermal resistance and performance correlate with climate in populations of a widespread mosquito. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 86, 73-81.
- Willott, S.J., 1997. Thermoregulation in four species of British grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Functional Ecology*, 11, 705-713.
- Zhu, G., Xue, M., Luo, Y., Ji, G., Liu, F., Zhao, H., Sun, X., 2017. Effects of short-term heat shock and physiological responses to heat stress in two *Bradysia* adults, *Bradysia odoriphaga* and *Bradysia difformis*. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 13381.
- Zunino, M., Palestrini, C., 1986. El comportamiento telefágico de *Trypocopris* pyrenaeus. Graellsia, 42, 205-216.
- Zunino, M., 1984. Sistematica generica dei Geotrupinae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea: Geotrupidae), filogenesi della sottofamiglia e considerazioni biogeografiche. *Bolletino del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino*, 2, 9–162.

Table 1 Number of studied individuals (N) and main characteristics of the studied species. IP = Iberian Peninsula.

				Phylogeneti		Flying
			Distributio	c	Daily	Capacit
Species	N	Collected location	n	Clade	Activity	y

x 1 1			Central and			
Jekelius albarracinus	3	Valencia (Spain)	southeast of IP	I	Diurnal	No
			Eastern			No
Jekelius brullei	3	Kütahya (Turkey)	Europe	I	Diurnal	
Jekelius castillanus	3	Toledo (Spain)	Central of IP	I	Diurnal	No
Jekelius hernandezi	8	Alicante (Spain)	Southeast of IP	I	Diurnal	No
Jekelius hispanus	3	Huelva (Spain)	Southwest of IP	I	Diurnal	No
Jekelius nitidus	3	Toledo (Spain)	Northwest of IP	I	Diurnal	No
Jekelius punctatolineat			Southeast			No
us	8	Alicante (Spain)	of IP	I	Diurnal	
au i			Northwest	C		No
Silphotrupes escorialensis	2	Ávila (Spain)	and central of IP	1	Crepuscul ar	
Silphotrupes orocantabricus	6	Lugo/León (Spain)	Northwest of IP	I	Crepuscul ar	No
C:L-L			North and		C1	No
Silphotrupes punctatissimus	4	Zamora (Spain)	central of IP	I	Crepuscul ar	
Thorectes			North of	_		No
armifrons	9	Ifrane (Morocco)	Africa	I	Diurnal	
Thorectes			Central and southern of			No
baraudi	3	Toledo (Spain)	IP	I	Diurnal	
Thorectes			North of			No
laevigatus	3	Tetuán (Morocco)	Africa	I	Diurnal	
Thorectes lusitanicus	0	Almeria/Malaga (Spain)	Southern of IP	I	Diurnal	No
Thorectes trituberculatus	4	Khénifra (Morocco)	North of Africa	I	Diurnal	No
Thorectes	1		Southeast			No
valencianus	0	Alicante (Spain)	of IP	I	Diurnal	
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	1	Huesca/Lugo (Spain)	Europe	I	Diurnal	Yes
Anoplotrupes stercorosus	4	León (Spain)	North of IP	II	Nocturnal	Yes

Geotrupes						
ibericus	3	Huelva (Spain)	IP	II	Nocturnal	Yes
Geotrupes			West of			
mutator	3	Ávila (Spain)	Europe	II	Nocturnal	Yes
Geotrupes	1	Huesca/Madrid/Zamora/Le	West of			
stercorarius	4	ón (Spain)	Europe	II	Diurnal	Yes
			Southwest			
Sericotrupes			of IP/ North		Crepuscul	
niger	3	Huelva (Spain)	of Africa	II	ar	Yes
			Southwest			
Ceratophyus			of IP/ North			
hoffmannseggi	6	Madrid/Huelva (Spain)	of Africa	III	Nocturnal	Yes
Typhaeus			Southern of		Crepuscul	
momus	6	Cádiz/Huelva (Spain)	IP	III	ar	No
Typhaeus			North of			>
typhoeoides	7	Khémisset (Morocco)	Africa	III	Nocturnal	Yes
			Europe/			
Typhaeus			North of			
typhoeus	2	Malaga (Spain)	Africa	III	Nocturnal	Yes

Table 2 GLM results examining between-species differences in six heat stress variables after controlling for body mass of individual beetles, water loss during assays and variations in ambient temperature in the experimentation room (included as covariates in the GLM with an ANCOVA model design using species as a fixed factor). R^2 is the variance accounted for by the species factor (in %) when the effects of all covariates have been accounted for. Total R^2 is the variance accounted for the complete model. The right column shows the number of species pairs with statistically significant differences according to a post-hoc unequal N HSD test (the total number of pairwise comparisons are 325).

Heat stress variables	F _(25,112)	P	Total R ²	R^2	Nº pairs
SST (°C)	6.59	< 0.0001	65.3	51.1	47
CT_{max} (°C)	9.86	< 0.0001	77.3	50.0	88

	ACC	EPTED N	MANUS	CRIPT	
ULT (°C)	3.89	< 0.0001	57.9	36.5	28
rSAR (°C/min)	5.39	< 0.0001	75.8	29.1	63
rHSR (°C/min)	5.35	< 0.0001	56.1	52.5	15
rHCR (°C/min)	3.06	< 0.0001	41.7	39.8	3

Abbreviation: SST: start stress temperature; CT_{max} : critical thermal maximum; ULT: upper lethal limit; rSAR: heating rate on supra-optimal activity range; rHSR: heating rate on heat stress range; rHCR: heating rate on heat coma range

Table 3 Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) test examining the association between the physiological similarity of the studied species and three qualitative factors: daily activity, flying capability and phylogenetic group. Clade I: Species of *Thorectes*, *Silphotrupes* and *Trypocopris* genera; clade II: Species of *Geotrupes*, *Anoplotrupes* and *Sericotrupes* genera; clade III: Species of *Ceratophyus* and *Typhaeus* genera.

Daily Activity	t	P
Nocturnal, Diurnal	6.123	0.001
Nocturnal, Crepuscular	2.601	0.557
Diurnal, Crepuscular	2.455	0.002
Flying capacity		
	t	P
Apterous/Winged	3.060	0.004
Phylogenetic clades		
	t	P
clade II/clade III	1.391	0.220

		NUSCRIPT
clade II /clade I	3.143	0.001
clade III/clade I	3.202	0.035

Figure 1. Graphical representation of a heat stress response with the variables obtained by infrared thermography. SST: start stress temperature; CT_{max} : critical thermal maximum; ULT: upper lethal temperature; rSAR: heating rate on supra-optimal activity range; rHSR: heating rate on heat stress range; rHCR: heating rate on heat coma range. Red continuous line: thermoregulatory living individual; blue discontinuous line: not thermoregulatory living individual; black: model individual (death).

Figure 2. Average responses to heat stress of Geotrupinae species, phylogenetic relationships and thermoregulation categories. Each graph shows in a black discontinuous line the response of the model (death) individual and in red the average response of the living studied individuals. On the left side of the graph, the phylogenetic relationships between studied species are shown and clades are indicated (based on Cunha *et al.*, 2011 and Lobo *et al.*, 2015). For the five species not included in these published phylogenies, the positions are assumed according to their taxonomic identity. Colours and letters indicate good thermoregulators (gT, in red), intermediate thermoregulators (iT, in green), and non-thermoregulators (nT, in blue). On the right side of the graph, the average values of heating rate on heat stress range ($rHSR \pm SD$) and critical thermal maximum temperature ($CT_{max} \pm SD$) are provided. \blacktriangle : apterous species; \uparrow : high values; \downarrow : low values; \approx : medium values.

Figure 3. Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) bi-dimensional representation of the matrix of physiological similarity according to the three studied species traits: daily activity (diurnal -white circles-, nocturnal -black circles-, crepuscular -black triangles-); flying capacity (winged -black circles-, apterous -white circles-), and phylogenetic clade

(clade I –black circles-, clade II – white circles-, clade III – black triangles-).

Supplementary Information

Table S1. Detailed information of each one of the individuals used in this study.

S	pecies			Provi SST (rHC	°C)	Cour CT _{max} SE	(°C)	Collect ULT (eight SAR	Tamb rHSR
A	noplotrupes	León	Spai	June 2014	0.39	24.5	30.0	42.9	50.2	34.9	43.1	34.4	Male
st	tercorosus		n		3		0	0	0	7	4	3	
Α	noplotrupes	Laán	Spai	luna 2014	0.37	24.5	30.3	42.3	50.8	38.4	44.7	36.7	Mala
st	tercorosus	León	n	June 2014	5	24.5	0	0	0	0	8	2	Male
Α	noplotrupes	Loán	Spai		0.28	24 5	30.1	43.5	50.6	37.9	47.0	36.3	Femal
st	tercorosus	León	n	June 2014	6	24.5	0	0	0	1	2	2	e
Α	noplotrupes	Loón	Spai	luna 2014	0.35	24 5	30.2	42.3	50.6	38.8	45.5	34.0	Mala
st	tercorosus	León	n	June 2014	5	24.5	0	0	0	0	7	2	Male
C	eratophyus	Madri	Cnai		0.46		28.6	41.1	E0.9	240	E1 2	242	Femal
h	offmannsegg		•	April 2013		23.2		41.1		24.9			
i		d	n		4		0	0	0	2	3	1	е
C	eratophyus	Madri	Snai		0.71	4	28.3	38.3	50.2	17.7	40 Q	520	
h	offmannsegg		n	April 2013		23.2	0	0	0	3	8	3	Male
i		u	"	N. 6			U	U	U	3	0	3	
C	eratophyus	Madri	Snai		0.66		27.8	38.2	51 2	19.6	<i>4</i> 2 6	56.5	
h	offmannsegg		n	April 2013	2	23.2	0	0	0	1	2	2	Male
i		u			_		Ü	J	O	_	_	_	
C	eratophyus		Snai	Novembe	0.46		27.7	36.2	45 7	26.6	36.7	19.8	Femal
h	offmannsegg	Huelva	n	r 2014	6	23.8	0	0	0	4	2	3	e
i				1 2014	Ü		Ü	J	O	7	_	3	C
C	eratophyus		Snai	Novembe	0.49		28.5	34.9	45 N	32.6	33 4	31 3	
h	offmannsegg	Huelva	n	r 2014	9	23.8	0	0	0	0	2	7	Male
i			"	1 2014	5		U	O	U	U	_	,	
C	eratophyus		Snai	Novembe			29.8	37.8	51 0	40.7	116	20.2	
h	offmannsegg	Huelva	a n	r 2014	0.52	23.8	0	0	0	5	9	7	Male
i			11	1 2014			J	J	U	J	Э	,	
G	eotrupes	Huelva	Spai	Novembe	0.70	21.8	31.5	39.1	48.2	33.2	40.1	27.9	Male

			ACCE	PTE	D MA	ANUS	SCRIE	PT				
ibericus		n	r 2014	8		0	0	0	9	1	6	
Geotrupes	Huelva		Novembe	0.89	21.8	31.3	38.5	48.7	31.2	37.9	31.3	Fema
ibericus	Tiueiva	n	r 2014	0	21.0	0	0	0	3	9	4	e
Geotrupes	Huelva		Novembe	1.00	21.8	30.8	38.7	49.8	32.1	41.6	34.1	Male
ibericus	пиету	n	r 2014	6	21.0	0	0	0	6	9	0	iviale
Geotrupes	Ávila	Spai	May 2014	0.54	21.7	30.3	41.5	48.7	36.2	44.2	42.1	Male
mutator	Aviid	n	Way 2014	9	21.7	0	0	0	9	7	1	iviale
Geotrupes	Ávila	Spai	May 2014	0.30	21 7	28.9	42.2	49.0	37.3	44.4	39.6	Fema
mutator	Aviid	n	Way 2014	0	21.7	0	0	0	6	8	5	e
Geotrupes		Spai		0.58		27.2	41.7	48.7	34.1	43.4	23.9	Fema
mutator	Ávila	n	May 2014	1	21.7	0	0	0	3	8	3	e
Geotrupes	Huesc	Spai	August 20:	13 1.0	1027.	31.10	40.90	48.30	11.1	27.6	24.6	Male
stercorarius	а	n			0				5	8	3	

Geotrupes	Spair Huesca) August 201	31.04227.032.9039.8047.2013.4129.0528.79Female
stercorarius	пиеѕса	August 201	51.04227.052.9059.6047.2015.4129.0526.79Female
Geotrupes	Spair Huesca) August 201	30.75727.030.1042.1047.10 0.42 24.1429.24Female
stercorarius	Huesca	August 201	30.73727.030.1042.1047.10 0.42 24.1423.24i eiiidie
Geotrupes	Madrid Spair	ληril 2012	0.87324.531.6040.0049.0017.7731.7620.22Female
stercorarius	Madrid Spair	1 April 2013	0.87324.331.0040.0043.0017.7731.7020.221 emale
Geotrupes	Madrid Spair	Δnril 2013	0.77924.531.8040.4046.0016.7127.1320.29Female
stercorarius	Waaria Spair	1 April 2013	0.77324.331.3040.4040.0010.7127.1320.231 cmaic
Geotrupes	Madrid Spair	Δnril 2013	0.70524.531.1040.5048.5018.7527.6520.46Female
stercorarius	Wadrid Spail	1 April 2013	0.70324.331.1040.3046.3018.7327.0320.40i emale
Geotrupes	Zamora Spair	n June 2013	0.67324.029.8041.9048.5017.9738.7833.17Male
stercorarius	Zamora Span	Tune 2015	0.07324.023.0041.3040.3017.3730.7033.17Widic
Geotrupes	Zamora Spair	1 June 2013	0.44724.030.3043.1049.9021.8245.5519.77Female
stercorarius	Zamora Span	1 Julie 2013	0.44724.030.3043.1043.3021.0243.3313.771 emale
Geotrupes	Zamora Spair	1 June 2013	0.78724.030.3042.9049.8020.4750.0047.42Female
stercorarius	Zamora Span	1 Julie 2013	0.76724.030.3042.3043.8020.4730.0047.42i emale
Geotrupes	Zamora Spair	1 June 2013	0.45224.031.0045.4050.70 8.70 46.0136.30Male
stercorarius	Zamora Span	T Julic 2015	0.43224.031.0043.4030.70 0.70 40.0130.30Niaic
Geotrupes	León Spair	1 June 2013	0.96923.331.3041.8046.7010.4032.8631.72Female
stercorarius	LCOII 3pail	1 Julie 2013	0.50525.551.5041.6040.7010.4052.6051.721 emale

Geotrupes	León Si	nain	luno 2012	0.80123.331.4040.6048.0016.1842.5934.26Female
stercorarius	Leon 3	paiii	June 2013	0.60125.551.4040.0046.0010.1642.5554.20FeIIIale
Geotrupes	Loán Si	nain	luna 2012	0.94622.221.2042.9049.6012.4427.2626.06Mala
stercorarius	León S _l	pain	June 2013	0.84623.331.2042.8048.5012.4437.3635.96Male
Geotrupes	León Si	nain	June 2013	1.11323.331.7042.8051.7014.3634.6323.30Female
stercorarius	20011 3	paiii	Julie 2015	1.11323.331.7042.0031.7014.3034.0323.301 CINGIC
Jekelius	ValenciaSı	nain	November	0.39223.829.4045.7051.7036.4931.0725.37Male
albarracinus	valericias	paiii	2014	0.55225.625.4045.7051.7050.4551.0725.57Wale
Jekelius	ValanciaC	nain	November	0.42122.920.2042.9051.5026.1120.4027.165ample
albarracinus	ValenciaS _l	pain	2014	0.42123.829.3043.8051.5036.1120.4927.16Female
Jekelius	Valeraio C	!	November	0.27022.020.2044.4054.2020.2422.2440.4084515
albarracinus	ValenciaS _l	pain	2014	0.37023.829.2044.1054.2038.3123.2140.48Male
Jekelius brullei	Kütahya T	urkey	November / 2012	0.46020.827.4043.6052.2024.2532.3417.39Male
Jekelius brullei	KütahyaTı	urkey	November / 2012	0.51320.828.1043.2052.6022.7223.5930.67Male
			November	
Jekelius brullei	Kütahya T	urkey	/2012	0.46620.826.1042.0051.50 6.89 20.5738.54Female
Jekelius	Toledo	Span	nApril 2013	0.42923.029.2049.1055.4027.0232.2013.18Female
castillanus				
Jekelius	Toledo	Spai	n April 2013	0.35823.028.2048.4054.5022.0735.8230.96Male
castillanus				
Jekelius	Toledo	Spaii	nApril 2013	0.48123.031.2050.1053.4024.7038.3420.50Female
castillanus	_G		•	
Jekelius	Alicante	Spair	January n	0.47019.928.7044.0050.8039.2325.4818.04Male
hernandezi		-	2013	
Jekelius	Alicante	Snaii	January n	0.37419.929.3043.8054.4037.6532.0818.26Male
hernandezi	rincarrec	.span	2013	0.57 113.323.30 13.303 1.1037.0332.0010.2010ldic
Jekelius	Alicante	icn2	January	0.49019.928.9044.2054.1035.3839.3822.73Female
hernandezi	Allcarite	Span	2013	0.43013.328.3044.2034.1033.3833.3822.731 emale
Jekelius	Alicante	Snair	January	0.31119.931.3045.5055.6043.1435.1917.60Female
hernandezi	Ancante	Jopan	2013	0.51117.551.3045.3033.0043.1433.1517.00FeIIIdle
Jekelius	Alianat -	Sca-!	December	0.26721 120 2041 0050 4024 0225 7647 6056
hernandezi	AlicanteSpa		2013	0.36721.128.3041.0050.4034.8225.7617.60Female

		TOOL! ! L	ID MANOCONIN I
Jekelius hernandezi	AlicanteSpa	December ain 2013	0.32621.129.3043.7049.8036.8634.2927.92Female
Jekelius	AlicanteSpa	December	0.38522.530.9041.9049.6031.8028.7218.76Male
hernandezi	Allcantespe	2013	0.36322.330.3041.3043.0031.8028.7218.70Wale
Jekelius hernandezi	AlicanteSpa	December ain 2013	0.33922.530.8041.6049.6032.5724.3021.00Female
	<i>nus</i> Huelva Spa	October	0.32426.331.0044.1051.2014.7631.3820.11Female
Jekelius hispai	<i>nus</i> Huelva Spa	October ain 2013	0.24726.329.9043.4051.8010.5227.6642.42Male
Jekelius hispai	nus Huelva Spa	October ain 2013	0.43526.330.3045.6051.2011.7319.2841.33Female
Jekelius nitidu	s Toledo Spa	ainMay 2013	0.37922.828.0047.6055.3029.4335.1929.96Male
Jekelius nitidu	s Toledo Spa	ainMay 2013	0.32122.831.7046.1056.3028.1831.5837.99Male
Jekelius nitidu	s Toledo Spa	ainMay 2013	0.46422.829.4048.2056.0023.9445.1434.51Male
Jekelius	Spa Alicante	ainSeptember	0.53824.330.3044.5050.7024.6837.4218.73Male
punctatolinea		2012	0.33624.330.3044.3030.7024.0637.4216.73ividie
Jekelius punctatolinea	Alicante	ainSeptember 2012	0.45124.330.0043.5051.8020.2632.9323.51Male
Jekelius		September	
punctatolinea	tus AlicanteSpa	ain2012	0.45524.328.4044.9048.7018.8337.8913.69Male
Jekelius punctatolineatus	Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.40221.229.4940.0448.5735.9916.0322.42Male
Jekelius punctatolineatus	Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.34021.228.6540.2850.0531.2917.0442.76Female
Jekelius punctatolineatus	Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.38821.229.4841.2551.0232.4922.4221.17Female
Jekelius punctatolineatus	Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.35421.229.1142.7851.1633.8434.3014.60Male
Jekelius punctatolineatus	Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.46121.228.7342.8951.0332.9030.9519.13Female
Sericotrupes niger	Huelva Spain	July 2013	0.65723.729.4141.1251.7017.0539.3035.86Male
Sericotrupes niger	Huelva Spain	July 2013	0.56023.729.4342.1850.0718.4742.7935.30Male
Sericotrupes niger	Huelva Spain	July 2013	0.50123.728.2442.4251.1415.4242.6525.95Male

Silphotrupes orocantabricus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.23825.528.9044.0052.1032.9047.7136.00Female
Silphotrupes orocantabricus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.20625.529.0042.1054.4033.0529.6425.20Female
Silphotrupes orocantabricus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.21625.531.4043.0051.0033.7738.2822.86Male
Silphotrupes orocantabricus	León	Spain	June 2013	0.23923.430.4044.5053.4020.3035.6150.42Male
Silphotrupes orocantabricus	León	Spain	June 2013	0.33223.429.2044.7052.9018.1939.9027.06Female
Silphotrupes orocantabricus	León	Spain	June 2013	0.29823.430.1042.6051.8017.9931.5350.00Male
Silphotrupes punctatisimus	Zamora	Spain	June 2013	0.34823.930.3044.1051.6032.2638.4448.08Male
Silphotrupes punctatisimus	Zamora	Spain	June 2013	0.33723.930.6044.3054.7034.5938.7057.46Male
Silphotrupes punctatisimus	Zamora	Spain	June 2013	0.35923.929.6041.0046.0028.9330.5628.99Male
Silphotrupes punctatisimus	Zamora	Spain	June 2013	0.27123.930.0043.0048.2031.1329.0840.31Male
Silphotrupes escorialensis	Ávila	Spain	June 2014	0.20624.331.0045.2051.3040.9439.5538.49Male
Silphotrupes escorialensis	Ávila	Spain	June 2014	0.24524.330.9044.9050.9042.2634.7855.81Male
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	oJanuary 2014	0.58022.931.5041.7051.3038.8531.1921.92Male
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 2014	0.86322.927.3042.4051.3033.3533.4827.22Female
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 0 2014	0.35622.932.0041.0051.0044.3723.1141.41Female
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 0 2014	0.47923.429.7042.9050.3030.1021.7528.24Female
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 0 2014	0.73923.429.2041.4048.8026.5624.4214.77Male
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 0 2014	0.83223.428.8042.3048.7024.9729.0916.33Male
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	^O January	0.58023.327.4541.6046.4628.6732.9823.59Female

2014

Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 2014	0.51123.328.0441.1047.9631.3827.1244.69Female
Thorectes armifrons	Ifrane	Morocco	January 2014	0.50823.328.2941.7248.8132.9737.4126.07Female
Thorectes baraudi	Toledo	Spain	February 2013	0.40525.130.0042.0052.9016.3325.7820.30Female
Thorectes baraudi	Toledo	Spain	February 2013	0.56125.129.6043.9055.2014.4031.2628.68Female
Thorectes baraudi	Toledo	Spain	February 2013	0.36725.129.8044.7053.6015.3435.8635.74Male
Thorectes laevigatus	Tetuán	Morocco	January 2014	0.41422.225.5043.2051.3031.7738.5624.22Male
Thorectes laevigatus	Tetuán	Morocco	January 2014	0.48922.226.5044.1050.8039.2240.3720.03Female
Thorectes laevigatus	Tetuán	Morocco	January 2014	0.60622.227.1044.1048.8031.6930.3019.14Male
Thorectes lusitanicus	Almeria	Spain	December 2013	0.33621.026.6845.2751.3632.9531.5130.45Male
Thorectes lusitanicus	Almeria	Spain	December 2013	0.36121.026.6044.1751.8231.1433.2516.42Female
Thorectes lusitanicus	Almeria	Spain	December 2013	0.30321.026.8743.2149.8234.4133.8027.37Male
Thorectes lusitanicus	Málaga	Spain	February 2013	0.41124.632.2745.0553.8421.7745.1624.66Female
Thorectes lusitanicus		Spain	February 2013	0.46124.631.0842.2252.2719.1041.6427.53Female
Thorectes lusitanicus	Málaga	Spain	February 2013	0.41624.630.1143.0654.0716.6232.8345.12Female
Thorectes lusitanicus	Málaga	Spain	November 2014	0.45823.031.2242.8052.5832.1041.9622.59Female
Thorectes lusitanicus	Málaga	Spain	November 2014	0.35123.031.3043.1552.2032.1142.9321.47Male
Thorectes lusitanicus	Málaga	Spain	November 2014	0.39923.030.7540.3451.5130.7928.1226.10Male
Thorectes lusitanicus	Málaga	Spain	November 2014	0.30723.031.6144.3151.3834.3835.5218.75Female

ACCEP.		

Thorectes trituberculatus	KhénifraMorocc	January o 2014	0.70822.230.6640.8247.8534.7623.1217.64Male
Thorectes trituberculatus	KhénifraMorocc	January 0 2014	0.69122.230.2743.0648.4530.9421.2120.49Female
Thorectes trituberculatus	KhénifraMorocc	January O 2014	0.63622.230.5744.2750.3033.2927.2921.85Female
Thorectes trituberculatus	KhénifraMorocc	January 0 2014	0.44822.230.7939.4549.9434.5021.1224.51Female
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	October 2012	0.52424.230.1046.1052.60 7.74 25.1025.44Female
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	October 2012	0.39924.229.9046.1054.00 9.51 23.0946.47Male
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	October 2012	0.48421.629.8043.4052.3029.1027.7824.90Female
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	October 2012	0.43021.630.2045.1051.9031.7239.2119.24Male
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.59122.928.8543.0048.4829.9235.0213.70Male
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	December 2013	0.65922.930.4843.0352.8834.4235.8123.79Female
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	November 2013	0.50122.430.3144.4951.1327.1035.9020.95Male
Thorectes valencianu	s Alicante Spain	November 2013	0.47522.430.8545.9951.5628.9731.3524.32Male
Thorectes valencianu	sAlicante Spain	November 2013	0.56622.129.9845.2352.1228.6236.0525.81Male
Thorectes valencianu	sAlicante Spain	November 2013	0.58322.130.0046.8051.9630.8427.7519.77Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Huesca Spain	August 2013	3 0.31427.028.7045.5053.40 4.40 25.4213.56Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Huesca Spain	August 2013	0.29827.028.6043.4051.50 2.60 23.9922.63Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Huesca Spain	August 2013	0.24327.028.6045.1051.40 4.76 27.9720.62Male
Trypocopris	Huesca Spain	August	0.37527.028.7045.6052.70 2.13 28.3816.63Female

pyrenaeus			2013	
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.29523.427.2044.4051.2028.1840.3318.66Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.25423.426.8042.9051.9029.7134.5123.41Female
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.36623.427.7044.9050.6028.8436.5620.58Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	Lugo	Spain	June 2014	0.39523.426.5043.7051.3027.7233.7331.15Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	León	Spain	June 2013	0.41523.727.7946.0254.4116.6342.5426.30Male
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	León	Spain	June 2013	0.38623.727.8944.9148.3219.0844.0914.67Female
Trypocopris pyrenaeus	León	Spain	June 2013	0.60623.727.8245.3952.5919.0028.4120.25Female
Typhoeus momus	Cádiz	Spain	February 2013	0.75222.029.7038.4051.3047.5237.5821.16Female
Typhoeus momus	Cádiz	Spain	February 2013	0.35722.028.9039.4051.1033.8539.1127.59Male
Typhoeus momus	Huelva	Spain	October 2013	0.53626.528.8041.3050.50 7.74 32.0524.50Male
Typhoeus momus	Huelva	Spain	October 2013	0.58626.528.6040.5050.40 4.60 30.5126.36Male
Typhoeus momus	Huelva	Spain	October 2013	0.52926.528.7041.8050.40 7.96 33.8117.95Female
Typhoeus momus	Huelva	Spain	October 2013	0.57426.528.6040.8048.20 5.91 30.8115.73Female
Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	January o 2014	0.31322.428.2039.5048.4032.4036.5130.58Male
Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	January o 2014	0.45222.426.6039.4049.6027.9539.8834.29Male
Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	January o 2014	0.65422.427.0038.4049.8028.9137.0133.68Female
Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	January o2014	0.54722.431.3041.6049.6030.7942.8327.49Male
Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	oJanuary 2014	0.50222.023.5037.8048.0026.3835.0126.32Male

Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	January o 2014	0.49522.023.5039.7050.7041.6937.5433.74Male
Typhoeus typhoeoides	Khémisse	etMorocc	January O 2014	0.50622.023.7038.9049.3032.1335.5128.26Male
Typhoeus typhoeus	Málaga	Spain	February 2013	0.62121.723.6041.2050.8029.4436.7731.17Female
Typhoeus typhoeus	Málaga	Spain	February 2013	0.56221.723.8041.2050.3038.5439.1834.60Female

Weight: natural logarithm of body mass; Tamb: ambient temperature of laboratory; SST: start stress temperature; CT_{max} : critical thermal maximum; ULT: upper lethal temperature; rSAR: supra-optimal activity heating rate (value x1000); rHSR: heat stress range heating rate (value x1000); rHCR: heat coma range heating rate (value x1000). Figure S1. Photographs showing the experimental arena used to measure the

Figure S1. Photographs showing the experimental arena used to measure the thermal response to heat stress in dung beetles.







