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1. Introduction

The accommodation that the eye uses to focus at a certain distance does not correspond exactly with
the value of the accommodative stimulus. Such accommodation matches the diopter value of what is
called the accommodative response.

The Nott method is used in the optometric examination to measure the accommodative response at 40 cm
by dynamic retinoscopy.

The test is performed with the eye compensated by its neutralizing lens (P_{NL}), obtained from binocular
subjective examination. In the literature one can find a measurement procedure in which an
accommodative test is situated at a distance of 40 cm, starting from that distance, the optometrist without
moving the test, shifts the retinoscope until he finds the point of neutralization. The value of the lead or
lag of accommodation is usually obtained by subtracting at 2.50D the inverse of the distance to the point
of neutralization (in meters). The value of 2.50D is considered accommodative stimulus at 40 cm. The
normal value of the accommodative response, considered for the test at 40 cm, is +0.50 or +0.25 D with a
standard deviation of ± 0.25D.

2. Experimental method

The mathematical calculation described in the literature to obtain the value of the difference between
the stimulus and accommodative response is not exact, especially when the observer wears neutralizing
lens because these lenses produce an intermediate image at which the eye must accommodate. It is
necessary to note that the accommodative stimulus does not correspond exactly with the value of 2.50D
in ametropic subject. This value depends on the refraction (R) (and hence of the P_{NL}) and the distance that
the test (x) is situated.

\[ A = R - X \]  (1)

In Fig. 1 we can see that although the test (T) is located at 40 cm from the neutralizing lens, the eye is
accommodating at the intermediate image position (T'). Therefore, the Nott value should be calculated as
the difference between the equivalent dioptric distance of T' (A_{T'}) and the equivalent dioptric distance
when the retinoscope is in the neutral position (A_{PN}).

\[ \text{Nott} = A_{T'} - A_{PN} \]  (2)

![Figure 1](image_url)
In order to evaluate the significance of the error for not taking into account the intermediate image, we calculated the Nott value by Eq. (2), and the value that would be obtained by performing the estimate optometric calculation described in the literature (Nottapprox).

3. Results and discussion

Values obtained for the Nott method by the two forms of calculation are in Table 1. These values are obtained for different distances from the neutral point for both emmetropic and hyperopic and also myopic eyes. The negative sign indicates a lead of accommodation and positive values correspond to a lag.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d_{PN} (cm)</th>
<th>Nottapprox (D)</th>
<th>PNL</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-6</th>
<th>-8</th>
<th>-10</th>
<th>+2</th>
<th>+4</th>
<th>+6</th>
<th>+8</th>
<th>+10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Nott values for different values of PNL. The first column is the distance to the point of neutralization (d_{PN}), the second column is the Nottapprox, and the others columns are the Nott values for different values of PNL.

As can be seen, the Nott values obtained by the two calculation methods described are different. For example, if we look at the line where the distance from the neutral point is 50 cm, the approximate Nott value is +0.50D, ie in this case corresponds to the normal value. However in ametropics, refractions above from -4D in myopic and above +4D in hyperopic, the value would be outside the norm (given that the standard deviation is ± 0.25D).

In the case of myopia, for distances of neutral point greater than 40 cm, ie when there is lag of accommodation, measurement is overestimated with the approximate optometric method for all values considered. The errors are between 0.25 and 0.50D to PNL values between -3 and -7D, to PNL values lower than -2D the errors are lower than 0.25D, and for PNL values above -8D, error range are between 0.50 and 1D. The higher value of the neutralizing lens, the greater error in the calculation. Whereas with increasing distance from the neutral point to the same value of PNL, errors vary a bit.

In hyperopia, for distances of neutral point greater than 40 cm, the measurement is underestimate with the approximate optometric method for all values considered. Generally speaking, the higher the PNL value, the greater mistakes will be in the calculation. For the same value of PNL, with increasing distance from the neutral point, the errors decrease. For PNL values between +4 and +6D the most of the errors are 0.25 and 0.50D. For PNL values lower than +4D the most of the errors are less than 0.25D, and to values of PNL above +6D errors ranging from about 0.50 to just over 1D. For distances less than 40 cm, the values obtained with the approximate calculation is greater than that obtained by considering the position.
of the intermediate image.

4. Conclusions

We can conclude that in order to optimize the value of Nott method it would be wise to reconsider the calculation method in most of the studied refractions. Generally speaking, in the case of myopic refraction greater than or equal to -4D, and in the case of hyperopic refraction greater than or equal to +4D, (distances of neutral point equal or greater than 40 cm), calculation, taking into account the intermediate image, would be required by Eq. (2). For neutral point distances less than 40 cm, in myopia above of -5D and for all hyperopia, it is especially recommended performing a more accurate calculation taking into account the intermediate image by Eq. (2).
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