

Surface sensitive electrooxidation of carbon monoxide in room temperature ionic liquids

Florin A. Hanc-Scherer[†], Carlos M. Sánchez-Sánchez^{*,‡,§}, Petru Ilea[†] and Enrique Herrero[‡]

[†]Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, 11 Arany Janos Street, RO 400028, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

[‡] Instituto Universitario de Electroquímica, Universidad de Alicante, Ap. 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain.

KEYWORDS: CO oxidation, Ionic Liquids, Structure sensitive catalysis, Platinum electrodes, Crystallographic planes.

ABSTRACT: Electrooxidation of CO at the Pt(*hkl*)-electrolyte interface in two different room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) is probed to be surface sensitive. Provided data reveal a specific surface structure, (110) sites, which selectively activate CO oxidation in RTILs. This new knowledge is crucial for designing the next generation of Pt nanosized electrocatalysts for the CO oxidation reaction by increasing that type of site on the catalyst surface.

A great deal of attention has been devoted to the use of room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) especially as substitutes for volatile organic solvents used in organic synthesis at industrial scale,¹ but also for the synthesis of inorganic and organometallic compounds.² Air and moisture-stable RTILs have been regarded as environmentally friendly and alternative solvents thanks to its unique physicochemical features.^{3,4} For these reasons, RTILs particularly concentrate a great interest in the field of energy storage.⁵ Carbon monoxide is a common C₁ building block in synthesis, extensively used for many different homogenous and heterogeneous catalyzed reactions, in both liquid and gas phase. One of the most relevant reactions conducted via gas phase heterogeneous catalysis is CO hydrogenation following Fischer-Tropsch reaction to form long-chain hydrocarbons. Among the liquid phase catalyzed reactions, electrochemical CO oxidation corresponds to one of the most technologically relevant reactions,⁶ since adsorbed CO acts as a poisoning intermediate in many catalytic processes. For this reason, this reaction represents the rate determining step for the total electrochemical combustion of the liquid fuels used in direct fuel cells, such a direct formic acid (DFAFC), methanol (DMFC) or ethanol (DEFC) fuel cells.⁷

Different electrochemical reactions performed in RTILs have been already reported in the literature,⁸⁻⁹ since RTILs can act simultaneously as a solvent and electrolyte because are entirely composed of ions. These reactions can be mostly divided in two groups: *i*) Electroassisted reactions such as the activation of CO₂ and O₂ for synthesizing cyclic carbonates¹⁰ and epoxides,¹¹ respectively and *ii*) Direct electrosynthetic routes such as the formic acid

synthesis through the electrochemical reduction of CO₂.¹² But catalytic oxidation reactions in RTILs have been investigated only very recently. This is surprising in view of the well-known oxidation stability of RTILs, mainly due to the resistance of most anions towards electrochemical oxidation. Only a few examples of electrochemical oxidations performed in RTILs have reported so far.¹³⁻¹⁴ Among of them, it should be highlighted the electrocatalytic hydrogen oxidation reaction on basal plane platinum electrodes,¹⁴ which actually represents the only report devoted to study the electrochemical properties of platinum single-crystal (Pt(*hkl*)) electrodes in contact with RTILs. The use of single crystal electrodes, which in particular for a face-centered cubic (fcc) structure like platinum only needs three numbers to define the corresponding Miller indexes, that is (111), (100) and (110) for the three platinum basal planes, allows understanding the elementary steps in the reaction and their relationship with the catalyst surface structure. For these reasons, they have been extensively explored for different surface sensitive reactions in aqueous solutions¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and those results has been successfully transferred from single crystal electrodes to catalyst based on shape-controlled nanoparticles, where the main active site on the nanoparticle surface is the one previously identified by the single crystal electrode study.¹⁸⁻¹⁹ This fact joint to the increasing relevance of RTILs as safe solvents for overcoming the present limitations in the field of batteries and fuel cells⁵ evidence the great importance of studying structure sensitive reactions, such as CO electrooxidation, on the Pt-RTIL interface. We believe revealing surface structures that selectively activate CO oxidation in RTILs will pro-

vide a fundamental new knowledge for the design of next generation electrocatalysts in energy applications, as well as future material syntheses and reactions not affordable in conventional solvents nowadays.

The main goal in the present work is exploring for the first time the electrochemical CO oxidation, on three different Pt(*hkl*) electrodes corresponding to the three basal crystallographic planes, using as a solvent media two different imidazolium based RTILs: *i*) 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate, [C₂mim][BF₄], 99% from Iolitec and *ii*) 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, [C₂mim][NTf₂] 98%, from Aldrich. These RTILs were used straight from the supplier without any attempt of drying. Thus, we assume that atmospheric water is present in both of them. That water amount was measured by Karl Fischer titrations by O'Mahony *et al.* (REFx) being around 3400 ppm. Prior to any experiment, the Pt(*hkl*) electrodes were flame-annealed²⁰ by heating them in an air flame, cooled in a reductive atmosphere (H₂ + Ar), quenched in the corresponding RTIL in equilibrium with this atmosphere and finally immersed in the electrochemical cell under potential controlled conditions using a meniscus configuration. Despite the RTIL saturation with CO gas could be a conventional way to approach the study of the CO electrooxidation reaction in these media, this should be avoided, since produces a shift in the reference potential of the pseudo-reference Pt wire because of the strong irreversible CO adsorption on Pt, which modifies its open circuit potential. Moreover, it is well-documented in the literature that higher overpotentials are required for stripping a CO adlayer on platinum electrodes when CO gas is also present in solution.⁷⁻¹⁵ For these reasons, CO stripping voltammograms shown here do not correspond to the bulk CO oxidation. In contrast, they correspond to the electrochemical oxidation of a pre-adsorbed CO adlayer¹⁵ on the Pt(*hkl*) electrodes (CO_{ad}) achieved by immersing those electrodes in an independent CO saturated RTIL solution for 2 minutes under potential controlled conditions (-1.0V vs. Pt wire). Thus, the pre-adsorbed CO adlayers on Pt(111), Pt(110) and Pt(100) electrodes were afterwards electrochemically oxidized in a different cell by working in the potential window from -1.0V to 1.8 V vs. Pt wire, as is shown in Figures 1 and 2. In particular, figure 1 shows the stripping voltammetry of CO_{ad} on each Pt(*hkl*) electrode in [C₂mim][BF₄] and the neat background response obtained thanks to the high stability displayed by the anion BF₄⁻ against its electrochemical oxidation. Figure 1 represents a clear evidence of the surface sensitive nature of the electrooxidation of CO in [C₂mim][BF₄] because of the different peak potential for that reaction displayed by each Pt(*hkl*) electrode studied here. Figure 2 shows the stripping voltammetry of CO_{ad} on all 3 Pt(*hkl*) electrodes using two different RTILs. There is a clear shift in peak potentials for CO_{ad} oxidation when comparing figures 2A and 2B (aprox. 0.6 V for Pt(110), 0.55 V for Pt(111) and 0.29 V for Pt(100) electrodes) due to the pres-

ence of a different anion. In all cases, it is necessary a more positive potential for the electrooxidation of CO_{ad} in [C₂mim][NTf₂]. This is probably due to its higher hydrophobicity in comparison with [C₂mim][BF₄] that inhibits the hydroxyl radicals (OH) adsorption on the platinum surface, postulated in aqueous media as the keystone step for completing the CO oxidation reaction, according to the scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism for the electrooxidation of CO_{ad}




Figure 1. Stripping voltammetry of CO adlayers (black solid plot) and background (red dash plot) in deaerated [C₂mim][BF₄] at platinum single crystal electrodes: A) Pt(111), B) Pt(100) and C) Pt(110). Scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹.




Figure 2. Stripping voltammetry of CO adlayers on the three basal plane platinum electrodes in two different deaerated RTILs: A) $[\text{C}_2\text{mim}][\text{BF}_4]$ and B) $[\text{C}_2\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]$. Scan rate 50 mV s^{-1} .

Comparing the maximum current density achieved for all 3 $\text{Pt}(hkl)$ electrodes in both RTILs is clear that lower viscosity exhibited by $[\text{C}_2\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]$ (one half of $[\text{C}_2\text{mim}][\text{BF}_4]$ viscosity)²¹ allows achieving larger current densities during the CO_{ad} electrooxidation as is shown in figure 2. But in both RTILs, highly packed $\text{Pt}(111)$ electrode shows the largest peak current density. Moreover, figure 2 also shows that the platinum surface oxidation process takes place at much more positive potentials than in aqueous media. This is mainly due to the shortage of OH radicals and also the competitive reaction of the RTIL anion oxidation at the electrode surface. Thus, the nature of the RTIL anion strongly affects the $\text{Pt}(hkl)$ reactivity in these media. The $\text{Pt}(110)$ electrode oxidizes CO_{ad} at 0.75 V (figure 2A), but at this potential in figure 2B appears an adsorption phenomena associated to the NTf_2^- anion presence that blocks this active site for CO_{ad} oxidation. In contrast, this is available when BF_4^- is the RTIL anion. The (100) plane seems to have the lowest activity on the Pt surface in both RTILs, since the peak potential is the highest comparing all three basal planes. Then, this means that even if the surface site is free of a strong anion adsorbate, the CO molecule does not easily get oxidized in there. The different reactivity reported here on the different crystallographic planes of platinum can be as-

signed to a difference in adsorption strengths of either CO or OH radical. This reactivity trend $\text{Pt}(100) < \text{Pt}(111) < \text{Pt}(110)$ reported here for the studied RTILs is consistent with some results previously reported in the literature using highly acidic aqueous solutions (the most comparable case to the use of RTILs, since there is a shortage of OH radicals in both media) where the catalytic activity towards the CO oxidation was reported to increase in the same way $\text{Pt}(100) < \text{Pt}(111) < \text{Pt}(110)$.²² On the contrary, when a much larger amount of OH radicals are available in aqueous solution ($\text{pH} > 3$), there is a change in the catalytic activity towards CO oxidation, being $\text{Pt}(111)$ the least active crystallographic plane of platinum at high pH.

The charge values associated with CO electrooxidation on both RTILs included in Table 1 present much larger values than conventionally associated oxidation charge for the CO_{ad} in aqueous media (aprox. $300 \mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$) for the same $\text{Pt}(hkl)$ electrodes.²³ This fact points out that stripping voltammetries presented here also include the concomitant oxidation of the corresponding RTIL anion, which we believe is promoted by the presence of CO_{ad} on the electrode. This role of CO_{ad} has been recently reported on $\text{Au}(111)$ for promoting the oxidation of alcohols by enhancing the OH radical bonding to the electrode surface.²⁴ Small fluorine anions such as BF_4^- are very resistance against oxidation processes and for this reason the oxidation current due to its background is much smaller than in the case of NTf_2^- . On the one hand, the trend observed in table 1 for the total charge associated to the CO electrooxidation together with the anion oxidation, clearly points out a weaker adsorption of the BF_4^- anion than the NTf_2^- on all 3 $\text{Pt}(hkl)$ electrodes, since the charge collected is more than double in the latter. On the other hand, the $\text{Pt}(110)$ electrode exhibits the smallest contribution of the anion oxidation process to the total charge in both RTILs. For this reason, we suggest $\text{Pt}(110)$ electrode provides more active sites for the adsorption of the OH radicals on its surface, which easily allows the total combustion of CO_{ad} to CO_2 and permits exhibiting the lowest overpotential for the CO electrooxidation reaction in both RTILs.

Table 1. Peak potential and integration charge enclosed within the CO oxidation peak at the corresponding $\text{Pt}(hkl)$ electrodes

RTILs	Electrode	E_{peak} (V vs. Pt)	Q ($\mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$) ^a
$[\text{C}_2\text{mim}][\text{BF}_4]$	Pt(110)	0.75	1856 ^b
	Pt(111)	0.98	1968 ^b
	Pt(100)	1.26	2142 ^b
$[\text{C}_2\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]$	Pt(110)	1.35	4432 ^c
	Pt(111)	1.53	4590 ^c
	Pt(100)	1.55	5129 ^c

^a Oxidation charge without background subtraction.

^b Integration within a 0.45 V interval centered at the peak potential.

^c Integration from -0.25 V to 1.8 V.

In conclusion, we present here relevant results for the energy storage and the heterogeneous catalysis fields, since CO adsorption represents the common poisoning step in many oxidative reactions employed in synthesis and different energy sources such as fuel cells. We demonstrated that electrooxidation of CO at the Pt(*hkl*)-RTIL interface represents a surface sensitive process that exhibits different catalytic activity following the reactivity order: Pt(110) > Pt(111) > Pt(100) in both RTILs. Thus, increasing the amount of (110) sites on the surface of the platinum catalyst, by using proper capping agents during the synthesis, represent the next goal to achieve highly active catalysts for the CO electrooxidation reaction in RTILs. Furthermore, we proved the important effect of the nature of the RTIL anion, since competes for the active site at the catalyst surface, controlling the overpotential required to complete the electrooxidation of CO_{ad}. The differences observed in the CO_{ad} stripping peak potential maybe ascribed to a weaker RTIL anion adsorption, a higher OH radical affinity, a different adsorption strength of CO on the different sites available at the Pt(*hkl*) electrodes studied here or a combination of all these effects.

Finally, our results working on the Pt(*hkl*)-RTIL interface provide a novel electrochemical environment for performing the CO electrooxidation reaction at high temperature thanks to the high stability and low partial pressure of RTILs, something not available within the aqueous media range and most conventional organic solvents. This may contribute to find new low CO poison electrocatalysts useful for high operation temperature applications, since the temperature will be only limited by the boiling point of the reagents employed, and not by the solvent used.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: carlos.sanchez@upmc.fr

Present Address

[§] Laboratoire Interfaces et Systèmes Electrochimiques, UPR15 du CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Florin A. Hanc-Scherer and Petru Ilea gratefully acknowledge the support of the Sectorial Operational Programme for Human Resources Development 2007-2013, co-financed by the European Social Fund under the project POSDRU/107/1.5/S/76841.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION AVAILABLE

Further experimental details and cyclic voltammograms for all 3 Pt(*hkl*) electrodes in aqueous 0.5M H₂SO₄ solution in order to show the initial surface state and cleanness. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.

REFERENCES

- (1) Plechkova, N. V.; Seddon, K. R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 123-150.
- (2) *Ionic Liquids in Synthesis*; Wasserscheid, P., Welton, T., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002.
- (3) Endres, F.; El Abedin, S. Z. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 2101-2116.
- (4) Angell, C. A.; Ansari, Y.; Zhao, Z. *Faraday Discuss.* **2012**, *154*, 9-27.
- (5) Armand, M.; Endres, F.; MacFarlane, D. R.; Ohno, H.; Scrosati, B. *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, *8*, 621-629.
- (6) Koper, M. T. M.; Lai, S. C. S.; Herrero E. In *Fuel Cell Catalysis*; Koper, M. T. M., Ed.; Wiley: 2009; p 159.
- (7) *Handbook of Fuel Cells. Fundamentals Technology and Applications*; Vielstich, W., Lamm, A., Gasteiger, H. A., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, 2003; Vol. 2.
- (8) Hapiot, P.; Lagrost, C. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 2238-2264.
- (9) Rees, N. V.; Compton, R. G. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 403-408.
- (10) Yang, H.; Gu, Y.; Deng, Y.; Shi, F. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 274-275.
- (11) Gaillon, L.; Bedioui, F. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1458-1459.
- (12) Martindale, B. C. M.; Compton, R. G. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 6487-6489.
- (13) Barnes, E. O.; O'Mahony, A. M.; Aldous, L.; Hardacre, C.; Compton, R. G. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2010**, *646*, 11-17.
- (14) Navarro-Suarez, A. M.; Hidalgo-Acosta, J. C.; Fadini, L.; Feliu, J. M.; Suarez-Herrera, M. F. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 11147-11155.
- (15) Markovic, N. M.; Ross Jr., P.N. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2002**, *45*, 117-229.
- (16) Sanchez-Sanchez, C. M.; Souza-Garcia, J.; Herrero, E.; Aldaz, A. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2012**, *668*, 51-59.
- (17) Grozovski, V.; Vidal-Iglesias, F. J.; Herrero, E.; Feliu, J. M. *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **2011**, *12*, 1641-1644.
- (18) Sanchez-Sanchez, C. M.; Solla-Gullon, J.; Vidal-Iglesias, F. J.; Aldaz, A.; Montiel, V.; Herrero, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 5622-5624.
- (19) Sanchez-Sanchez, C. M.; Vidal-Iglesias, F. J.; Solla-Gullon, J.; Montiel, V.; Aldaz, A.; Feliu, J. M.; Herrero, E. *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *55*, 8252-8257.
- (xx) O'Mahony, A. M.; Silvester, D. S.; Aldous, L.; Hardacre, C.; Compton, R. G. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2008**, *53*, 2884-2891.
- (20) Clavilier, J.; Faure, R.; Guinet, G.; Durand, R. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1980**, *107*, 205-209.
- (21) *Topics in Current Chemistry. Ionic Liquids*; Kirchner B., Ed.; Springer: Heidelberg, 2010; Vol. 290, p 199.
- (22) Gisbert, R.; Garcia, G.; Koper, M. T. M. *Electrochim. Acta* **2011**, *56*, 2443-2449.
- (23) Herrero, E.; Alvarez, B.; Feliu, J. M.; Blais, S.; Radovic-Hrapovic, Z.; Jerkiewicz, G. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2004**, *567*, 139-149.
- (24) Rodriguez, P.; Kwon, Y.; Koper, M. T. M. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 177-182.

Table of Contents (TOC)

