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Abstract

Hydrogen peroxide is a substrate or side-product in many enzyme-catalyzed reactions. For example, it is a side-product of oxidases, resulting from the re-oxidation of FAD with molecular oxygen, and it is a substrate for peroxidases and other enzymes. However, hydrogen peroxide is able to chemically modify the peptide core of the enzymes it interacts with, and also to produce the oxidation of some cofactors and prosthetic groups (e.g., the hemo group). Thus, the development of strategies that may permit to increase the stability of enzymes in the presence of this deleterious reagent is an interesting target. This enhancement in enzyme stability has been attempted following almost all available strategies: site-directed mutagenesis (eliminating the most reactive moieties), medium engineering (using stabilizers), immobilization and chemical modification (trying to generate hydrophobic environments surrounding the enzyme, to confer higher rigidity to the protein or to generate oxidation-resistant groups), or the use of systems capable of decomposing hydrogen peroxide under very mild conditions. If hydrogen peroxide is just a side-product, its immediate removal has been reported to be the best solution. In some cases, when hydrogen peroxide is the substrate and its decomposition is not a sensible solution, researchers coupled one enzyme generating hydrogen peroxide “in situ” to the target enzyme resulting in a continuous supply of this reagent at low concentrations thus preventing enzyme inactivation.

This review will focus on the general role of hydrogen peroxide in biocatalysis, the main mechanisms of enzyme inactivation produced by this reactive and the different strategies used to prevent enzyme inactivation caused by this “dangerous liaison”.
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1. Introduction: hydrogen peroxide in biocatalysis and analytical protocols

Hydrogen peroxide is a simple and relatively mild oxidant reagent [1-3]. Aqueous H₂O₂ is a stable reagent with several advantages for oxidation applications. It furnishes 47% of its weight as oxidant, which is much more than other common oxidants such as NaClO, KHSO₅, and ROOH. Hydrogen peroxide is the ultimate “green” reagent because water and oxygen are the only by-products, so no inorganic salts are produced in its reaction [4].

From a biological perspective, it is recognized as substrate by many enzymes [5-48] (Table 1).
Table 1: Some examples of reactions catalyzed by enzymes in the presence of hydrogen peroxide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examples of enzymes that use hydrogen peroxide as substrate</th>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Enzymes</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxidative dehydrogenations</strong></td>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>Peroxidase (EC. 1.11.1.7)</td>
<td>[5-7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peroxidase Chloroperoxidase (EC. 1.11.1.10)</td>
<td>[8] [9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxidative oxygenation</strong></td>
<td>Epoxidation</td>
<td>Lipase (EC. 3.1.1.3) Haloperoxidase</td>
<td>[10, 11] [12-14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N-oxidation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peroxidase</td>
<td>[15, 16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aromatic hydroxylation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peroxigenase (E.C. 1.11.2.1)</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol and aldehyde oxidation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chloroperoxidase</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S-oxidation (Sulfoxidation)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chloroperoxidase</td>
<td>[12, 19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insertion of other groups</strong></td>
<td>Halogens</td>
<td>Chloroperoxidase</td>
<td>[20, 21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitrite</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peroxidase</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of enzymes that produce hydrogen peroxide.

| Oxidation of C-O bonds. | Alcohol oxidase (EC. 1.1.3.13) | [23-25] |
| Oxidation of C-N bonds. | Glucose oxidase (EC. 1.1.3.4) | [26-28] |
| | Galactose oxidase (EC. 1.1.3.9) | [23, 24, 29, 30] |
| | Lactate oxidase (EC. 1.1.3.X) | [24, 31] |
| | Glycolate oxidase (EC. 1.1.3.15) | [24, 32] |
| | Cholesterol oxidase (EC. 1.1.3.6) | [33-35] |
| Special peroxidases | L-amino acid oxidase (EC. 1.4.3.2) | [24, 36] |
| | D-aminoacid oxidase (EC. 1.4.3.3) | [24, 37] |
| | Monoamine oxidase (EC. 1.4.3.4) | [38-40] |

Among them, we would like to highlight peroxidases to perform oxidations [8], or catalases [49, 50], to decompose hydrogen peroxide yielding water and molecular oxygen. In many other examples, hydrogen peroxide is a side-product of the target reaction, like in the case of FADH-depending oxidases [51], where the cofactor is regenerated with molecular oxygen producing hydrogen peroxide. The production of hydrogen peroxide by these enzymes has been utilized in many examples as an electrochemical signal in the design of biosensors using oxidases [52, 53].

In vivo, the coupled actions of diverse enzymes keep the concentration of this compound under the levels which may compromise the viability of a given organism [54, 55]. However, under in vitro conditions the situation becomes quite different; hydrogen peroxide may become accumulated reaching high concentrations or it may require to be added to the reaction medium. In fact, hydrogen peroxide is a compound capable of affecting the enzyme chemical structure,
mainly at high concentrations [56]. It is able to modify many of the aminoacids of a protein (Arg, Pro, Lys, Met, Cys, Tyr, His, etc), even cleaving the peptidic bonds in some cases [57].

Thus, this reagent may play very different roles in biocatalysis and biosensor processes. Its production coupled to the target reaction produces a signal that makes the detection of the compound simple, making oxidases valuable as analytical tools. Unfortunately, the use of these oxidases as industrial biocatalysts to produce regioselective and enantiospecific oxidations may be hindered by the production of high concentrations of this reagent, which is able to inactivate the oxidase, and these high hydrogen peroxide concentrations will be formed if the process is performed under industrial concentrations of substrate [58].

In some cases, the produced hydrogen peroxide may cause the modification of the substrate or the product, like in the case of ketoacids production using amino acid oxidases [38, 59, 60]. The attack of this ketoacid by hydrogen peroxide may produce the oxidative decarboxylation of this compound. In some cases, this decarboxilation may be unwanted, e.g., if the desired reaction product is the ketoacid [60]. In other cases, this modification permits to obtain the target product as in the route to produce 7-aminocephalosporanic acid from cephalosporin C. D-amino acid oxidase produces Ketoadipyl-7-ACA, that after reacting with hydrogen peroxide produces glutaryl 7-ACA, which is the preferred substrate of the enzyme glutaryl acylase and has been the standard route to enzymatically produce 7-ACA until a cephalosporin acylase has been synthesized [61, 62]. Even in this case, the presence of hydrogen peroxide becomes the bottleneck of the process and thus some routes avoiding this reagent have been proposed [62].

In other cases, this oxidant reagent may be used as substrate for peroxidases to obtain regioselective oxidations of really complex and interesting substrates [8]. Hydrogen peroxide may also be used to perform perhydrolysis catalyzed by hydrolases (mainly lipases) to produce peracids, a function quite far from that carried out by lipases in nature and one of the examples of the promiscuity of enzymes [10, 63]. In all these cases, hydrogen peroxide is both substrate
and inactivating reagent, and the reaction needs to be designed in a way that permits to have good reaction yields and rates, as well as high enzyme stabilities [64, 65].

Thus, hydrogen peroxide is a source of new possibilities in biocatalysis and biosensors and a risk to keep a stable system that may really maintain activity, stability, product concentrations and yields near those required by industry.

This review will try to show how this “dangerous liaison” causes enzyme inactivation, and it will show different strategies to diminish or even eliminate these negative effects. Obviously, the focus needs to be different when hydrogen peroxide is a substrate or a side-product of the reaction. In the latter case, the simplest way to reduce its negative impact on the enzyme stability will be to eliminate it, whereas in the first case this is not possible, as it is an enzyme substrate and may condition even the final yield of the reaction.

2. Inactivation of enzymes by modification with hydrogen peroxide

Chemical modification of proteins by hydrogen peroxide may reach many different groups, and therefore may have very negative effects on enzyme activity or stability (see Figure 1). The inactivation of proteins by oxidation has been reviewed by Stadtman and Levine [57]. Oxidation of proteins can lead to the hydroxylation of aromatic groups and aliphatic amino acid side chains, nitration of aromatic amino acid residues, nitrosylation of sulfhydryl groups, sulfoxidation of methionine residues, chlorination of aromatic groups and primary amino groups, and the conversion of some amino acid residues into carbonyl derivatives.

The direct reaction of proteins with hydrogen peroxide and other reactive oxygen species leads to the formation of protein derivatives or peptide fragments possessing highly reactive carbonyl groups (ketones, aldehydes). Proteins containing reactive carbonyl groups can also be generated by secondary reactions of primary amino groups from the lysine residues of proteins with reducing sugars or their oxidation products (glycation= glycoxidation reactions) [66-71]. Phenylalanine residues are oxidized to ortho- and meta-tyrosine derivatives [72-74]. Tyrosine
residues may also react with hydrogen peroxide, yielding 3,4-dihydroxy (dopa) derivative [72, 75, 76], or bi-tyrosine cross-linked derivatives [73, 77-79]. Tryptophan residues are converted to the 2-, 4-, 5-, 6-, or 7- hydroxy derivatives, and also to N-formylkynurenine and kynurenine [72, 80-82]. Methionine is readily oxidized to methionine sulfoxide by many different reactive oxygen species [83-85]. The oxidation of surface exposed methionines can thus serve to protect other functional essential residues from oxidative damage [83, 86]. Methionine sulfoxide reductases have the potential to reduce the residue back to methionine, increasing the scavenging efficiency of the system. Thus, interconversion of methionine and methionine sulfoxide can also be used as a tool to regulate the biological activity of proteins, through alteration of the catalytic efficiency and through modulation of the surface hydrophobicity of the protein [83, 87]. However, the tendency of methionine to become easily oxidized is one of the main causes for enzyme inactivation in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. Enzymes inactivated by hydrogen peroxide via methionine oxidation include α1-antitrypsin [88] and D-amino acid oxidase [89, 90].

Modification of Cys to cysteic acid is also possible. For example, H2O2 can irreversibly inactivate the human brain type of creatine kinase via a two-stage process, via modification of CYS283, the catalytic residue [91]. Tyrosine phosphatases [92], porcine kidney betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase [93], and D-amino acid oxidase [90, 94] are inactivated in a similar way.

The situation becomes riskier if certain metals (e.g., iron or copper) are present in the reaction medium. The side-chains of amino acid residues of some proteins are readily oxidized by metal ion-catalyzed oxidation systems (Fenton reaction) [95-98]. Oxidation of the side-chains of lysine, arginine, proline, and threonine residues has been shown to yield carbonyl derivatives and histidine residues which are converted to 2-oxo-histidine. In studies with E. coli glutamine synthetase, it was found that amino acid residues located at metal binding sites on the
enzyme are solely sensitive to metal-catalyzed oxidation by a site-specific mechanism [99-101]. Inactivation of astrocytic glutamine synthetase by hydrogen peroxide requires iron [102].

Oxidation can also lead to cleavage of the polypeptide chain by either the \( \alpha \)-amidation or diamide pathways [103]. In the \( \alpha \)-amidation pathway, the C-terminal amino acid of the fragment derived from the N-terminal region of the protein will exist as the amide derivative and the N-terminal amino acid of the fragment derived from the C-terminal portion of the protein will exist as \( \alpha \)-keto-acyl derivative. In contrast, the C-terminal amino acid of the fragment derived from the N-terminal portion of the protein via the diamide pathway will exist as the diamide derivative and the N-terminal amino acid residue of the peptide fragment derived from the C-terminal region of the protein will exist as the isocyanate derivative. It was also demonstrated that the oxidation of the glutamyl and aspartyl residues of proteins can also lead to peptide bond cleavage in which the N-terminal amino acid of the C-terminal fragment will exist as the N-pyruvyl derivative [57, 103]. Oxidation of prolyl residues might also lead to protein fragmentation [104] by a mechanism that involves oxidation of the proline residues to the 2-pyrrolidone derivative [105]. Acid hydrolysis of this compound yields 4-aminobutyric acid. Thus, the presence of hydrolysates in the protein might be a measure of the degree of cleavage by the prolyl oxidation pathway.

In other cases the formation of cross-linked protein aggregates by reaction of groups formed on different enzyme molecules is detected, causing enzyme precipitation or/and inactivation. Oxidative modification of proteins can also give rise to intra- or inter-protein cross-linked derivatives by several different mechanisms, including: (a) direct interaction of two carbon-centered radicals [103]; (b) interaction of two tyrosine radicals; (c) oxidation of cysteine sulfhydryl groups [103, 106-108]; (d) interactions of the carbonyl groups of oxidized proteins with the primary amino groups of lysine residues in the same or with a different protein; (e) reactions of both aldehyde groups of malondialdehyde with two different lysine residues in the same or two different protein molecules; (f) interactions of glycation=glycoxidation derived
protein carbonyls with either a lysine or an arginine residue of the same or a different protein molecule [69, 109, 110]; (g) interaction of a primary amino group of a lysine residue with protein aldehydes obtained via Michael addition reactions with the lipid peroxidation products (viz. 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal) [111, 112].

Furthermore, functional groups of proteins can react with oxidation products of some components of the medium. For example, polyunsaturated fatty acids and carbohydrate derivatives (glycation=glycoxidation) may produce inactive enzyme derivatives [57]. The generation of carbonyl derivatives occurs by many different mechanisms, the percentage of carbonyl groups in proteins is widely used as a marker of oxidative protein damage (even in in vivo studies).

3. Removal of hydrogen peroxide

3.1. Use of metals

Even though this review deals with the role and handling of hydrogen peroxide in biocatalysis, the issue has been addressed from a broad range of perspectives. Taking into account that the inactivation of enzymes in a bioreactor has already been described and corroborated by mathematical models [113, 114], the questions that remain at the end of the day for any scientist who is not an expert in biocatalysis are if hydrogen removal is possible in a bioreactor under very mild conditions and how to reach such a goal.

From an inorganic and/or materials science point of view, the number of options is considerable taking into account the available literature, since what is ultimately pursued is to accelerate the decomposition of an already labile compound. In this sense, the use of transition metal compounds (be it either salts or oxides) has been well established in the literature for many decades [115, 116]. The development of inorganic materials or complexes that efficiently catalyze the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide has been the subject of intense studies [117-119] and despite the fact that the catalysts found are, in most cases, far behind the performance
of enzymes such as catalase, their robustness makes them interesting candidates for the development of bioreactors in which the removal or controlled generation of hydrogen peroxide might be an issue. Recent literature reports the use of different systems which decompose hydrogen peroxide with an efficiency which starts to resemble the performance of enzyme. These systems include bimetallic alloys [120], mixed-metal oxides [121], or even nanosized perovskite oxides [122]. In this sense, the combined use of mixed metal oxides (including perovskites) with noble metal catalysts has recently yielded excellent results [123].

Despite the existence of a number of promising systems for the removal of hydrogen peroxide using seemingly simple and robust catalysts, the question still remains whether it is feasible to integrate them into bioreactors in order to be able to run continuous cycles. The literature on this particular matter is noticeably scarce, namely showing examples of combined bioreactors in which an oxidase handles the transformation of the biocompounds fed in the reactor into the oxidized products and an inorganic catalyst decomposes the generated H₂O₂ to enhance the bioreactor lifetime preventing the deactivation of the enzyme [124-126]. This should be regarded as a clear indicative that the design, planning, assembly, and operation of a continuous bioreactor based on enzymes and metals (be it either noble or transition metals) which should ensure a sufficiently high activity and lifetime still remains a challenge for the scientific community.

3.2. Use of catalase and other enzymes

Although metal-based catalysis may be an option to decompose hydrogen peroxide, the use of enzymes which able to perform this function has been studied more intensely, mainly due to the higher specificity of enzymes against undesired cross-reactions, and the unwanted effects that metal contamination may produce on some products.

In this sense, catalases are the preferred enzymes to destroy hydrogen peroxide, in many instances yielding water and oxygen [127]. These enzymes tend to be multimeric, with the
problems on their stability that this fact raises [128], and may have an hemo group or some metal (i.e, Mn$^{2+}$) as prostetic groups [129-131].

Glucose oxidase is the most used enzyme in combination with catalases, with the first examples to be found in the middle 20th century [132-142].

The co-immobilization of *Aspergillus niger* glucose oxidase with bovine liver catalase onto florisil (magnesium silicate-based porous carrier) permitted to improve the oxidase catalytic efficiency [143, 144]. In another research effort, catalase and oxidase were co-immobilized on non-porous glass surfaces using γ-aminopropyltriethoxysilane and polyethyleneimine as activators and glutaraldehyde as cross-linking agent [145]. Ultrafiltration membranes from acrylonitrile copolymer were chemically modified with different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide and used to immobilize both enzymes [146]. In a later report, glucose oxidase and catalase were also chemically immobilized onto different ultrafiltration polyacrylonitrile membranes and one microfiltration polyamide membrane [147]. Glucose oxidase coupled to the catalase on a polymer membrane adjacent to an anion-exchange membrane was used in another research effort [148]. Both enzymes were also trapped in calcium alginate beads [149]. Glucose oxidase and catalase were co-immobilized on polyethyleneimine-coated cotton cloth by adsorption followed by cross-linking with glutaraldehyde [150]. In an elegant approach, the catalase-conjugated liposome encapsulating glucose oxidase was used to prevent oxidase inactivation by hydrogen peroxide [151, 152]. The reactivity of immobilized glucose oxidase-containing liposomes was considerably improved by incorporating the channel protein OmpF from *Escherichia coli* into the liposome membrane [153].

Glucose oxidase/catalase system may bring forth a certain antioxidant effect [154]. Thus, catalase has been combined with glucose oxidase to control the properties of some foods. Glucose oxidase-catalase acted as an anti- or pro-oxidant of model salad dressing containing 1.0% glucose depending on the concentration [155]. Glucose oxidase/catalase has an
antioxidative effect in an oil/water emulsion packed in an oxygen-permeable plastic bag, even using polyunsaturated fatty acids [156, 157]. The color stability of a white grape juice was improved using glucose oxidase-catalase enzymes [158].

D-amino acid oxidase is another enzyme whose combination with catalase has been intended in many instances. The enzyme is inactivated by hydrogen peroxide, and the reaction product (an alpha-keto acid) is decarboxylated by this reagent. Considering that one of their main uses is the production of 7-cephalosporanic acid (7-ACA) from cephalosporin C, and that the second enzyme in the process (glutarayl acylase) recognizes glutaryl-7 ACA much better that alphaketo adipyl-7ACA, this was a serious drawback in the design of the reaction [62]. In some cases, the excess hydrogen peroxide was destroyed in situ (most was consumed in the decarboxylation) by using catalase or some metal (e.g., manganese), or even both, immobilizing the enzyme on metal or metal oxide particles [159]. Later, after separation of the enzyme from the solution, additional hydrogen peroxide needs to be added to produce glutarayl-7ACA and make glutaral acylase efficient in the production of 7-ACA. Later, it was shown that, in complete absence of glutaryl-7ACA, glutarayl acylase can hydrolyze alpha-keto adipyl 7-ACA [160, 161]. The key of the process was to remove the hydrogen peroxide as soon as possible to prevent the production of glutarayl 7-ACA. Thus, oxidase and catalase co-immobilization proved to be the most effective way to prevent accumulation of hydrogen peroxide (Figure 2), even though co-immobilization of several enzymes may raise some problems, it became necessary in this particular case [162]. This strategy has been established in the production of other alphaketo acids [163-167]. Moreover, the catalase-D-amino acid oxidase system has been used in the determination of racemized aminoacid after alkali treatment of proteins [168].

Many other enzymes that produce hydrogen peroxide as a side product have been stabilized using catalase: methane monooxygenase [169], pyranose 2-oxidase [170], carbohydrate oxidase from Microdochium nivale in the production of lactobionic acid [171, 172], 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxyl oxidase [173], nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
phosphate oxidase complex [174], glycolate oxidase to produce glyoxylic acid [32, 175], oxalate oxidase [176], alcohol oxidase and formaldehyde dismutase in the conversion of methanol to formic acid [177], alcohol oxidase for glycolaldehyde production from ethylene glycol [178], L-α-glycerophosphate oxidase for the oxidation of L-α-glycerophosphate to dihydroxyacetone phosphate [179], and milk xanthine oxidase [180].

In some instances, whole microorganisms with hyperexpressed catalase and oxidase were utilized as biocatalysts. Conidia of Penicillium variabile P16 containing glucose oxidase and catalase were immobilized in polyurethane sponge and used to produce gluconic acid [181]. A recombinant Hansenula polymorpha expressing the spinach glycolate oxidase and the Saccharomyces cerevisiae catalase T genes were used to convert glycolate into glyoxylic acid [182]. Trigonopsis variabilis induced for D-amino acid oxidase and catalase were immobilized by entrapment in polyacrylamide beads obtained by radiation polymerization [183].

One curious application of a catalase-oxidase system is the use of a galactose oxidase to generate a group in the sugar chain of a protein to immobilize it. This has been shown to yield the specific oxidation of a D-galactose present in the carbohydrate moiety of glucose oxidase from Aspergillus niger by galactose oxidase in the presence of catalase (48% efficiency) [184]. This treatment did not change the activity of the enzyme. The oxidized enzyme was coupled to hydrazide derivatives of O-α-D-galactosyl Separon H 1000 or of Sepharose 4B. Both solid supports were modified with adipic acid dihydrazide after their activation with galactose oxidase. Each immobilized preparation of glucose oxidase showed higher activity than the one reached by other immobilizing procedures.

Finally, the catalase/oxidase system has been used in many analytical tests for many decades. Glucose and galactose have been determined using glucose oxidase and catalase systems [185, 186].

A dual-amplification strategy of electrochemical signal based on the catalytic recycling of the product was developed for the antigen-antibody interaction by glucose oxidase -
conjugated gold-silver hollow microspheres (coupled with an artificial catalase, Prussian blue nanoparticles), on a graphene-based immunosensing platform [187].

A conductometric biosensor was developed for the determination of short chain primary aliphatic alcohols based on the immobilization of alcohol oxidase from Hansenula sp. and bovine liver catalase in a photoreticulated poly(vinyl alcohol) membrane at the surface of interdigitated microelectrode [188].

Urinary oxalate determination based on immobilization of catalase and oxalate oxidase has been described [189]. Urinary oxalate is first decomposed by oxalate oxidase into carbon dioxide and hydrogen peroxide. The latter is then disproportioned into water and oxygen by the catalase model compound forming a colored compound, which was spectrophotometrically monitored.

Several methods for the quantitative detection of different compounds, eg., L-amino acids, sugars or alcohols in liquid media were developed by application of an automatic measuring unit including a fluid chip-calorimeter FCC-21 [190]. Different oxidases were covalently immobilized on controlled porous glass co-immobilized with catalase. Signal amplification could be achieved up to a factor of 3.5 with this configuration.

Enzymatic oxidation of benzidine and brompyrogallol red by hydrogen peroxide and inhibition of such process by phenols was studied using a photometric method [191]. Catalase and a mixture of oxidase enzymes obtained from potato water extract were tested as catalysts. The detection limit of phenol, resorcinol and pyrocatechol determination in tap and spring water using a well-established method was found to be 0.7, 0.05 and 0.02 mg/dm³, respectively.

A new glucose-sensitive hydrogel, based on sulfonamide chemistry with covalently conjugated glucose oxidase and catalase, was synthesized and tested [192]. The pH-induced full swelling transition of the gel occurred in the range of pH 6.5-7.5. In a glucose concentration range of 0-300 mg/dl in an isotonic phosphate buffered saline solution (pH 7.4), the pH inside the gel varied from pH 7.4 to 7.2. At the same glucose concentration range, the gel showed
reversible glucose dependent swelling without hysteresis from 12 to 8, expressed in water (g)/polymer (g).

The toxicologically important peroxidase substrates bilirubin and aminopyrine can be determined by combination of immobilized glucose oxidase, horseradish peroxidase and catalase, forming the so-called enzyme sequence and enzyme competition electrodes [193]. Bilirubin and aminopyrine are determined in the 5-50 μM concentration range.

Glucose oxidase and catalase were immobilized in polyacrylamide gel around a platinum screen and used to measure the concentration of glucose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.3, at 37°C [194]. This enzyme electrode produced a direct potentiometric signal with respect to a Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

Other enzymes which are able to remove hydrogen peroxide have been used to stabilize the target enzyme. Thus, horseradish peroxidase and its reducing substrates, phenol-4-sulfonic acid and 4-aminoantipyrine permitted the operational stabilization of Hansenula polymorpha alcohol oxidase [195]. Vanadium chloroperoxidase from the fungus Curvularia inaequalis is a highly efficient catalyst for the production of singlet oxygen from hydrogen peroxide. In a mildly acidic aqueous environment the enzyme remains fully stable for 25,000 turnovers [196]. Thus, it has been proposed as an alternative to catalase and other enzymes to eliminate hydrogen peroxide.

4. Keeping hydrogen peroxide concentration at low levels

A suitable strategy to have a more stable biocatalyst, in reactions where hydrogen peroxide is the substrate, is to add the hydrogen peroxide in a stepwise form (Figure 3).

Using chloroperoxidase, an aliquot-by-aliquot addition of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of caffeic acid caused an increase in TTN to 171,000 from 135,000 at pH 4 [197].

In the lipase catalyzed epoxidation, the use of a controlled fed-batch reactor for maintaining H$_2$O$_2$ concentration at 1.5 M resulted in increased productivity, up to 76 grams of
product per gram of biocatalyst with higher retention of enzyme activity [65]. Further investigation included a multistage design that separated the enzymatic reaction and the saturation of the RME substrate with hydrogen peroxide into different vessels.

An elegant way to have this continuous and controlled supply of hydrogen peroxide is the use of a first enzyme that during catalysis produces hydrogen peroxide (e.g., an oxidase). Coimmobilization of glucose oxidase and peroxidase from Coprinus cinereus in a polyacrylamide matrix permitted the in situ production of hydrogen peroxide in the degradation of 2,6-dichlorophenol [198].

Chloroperoxidase and glucose oxidase have been co-immobilized on mesoporous sol-gel glass to reach this goal [199]. Porous polymersomes based on block copolymers of isocyanopeptides and styrene have been used to anchor enzymes at three different locations, namely, in their lumen (glucose oxidase), in their bilayer membrane (lipase B from Candida antarctica) and on their surface (horseradish peroxidase) [200]. The enzyme-decorated polymersomes were studied as nanoreactors in which glucose acetate was converted by lipase B from Candida antarctica to glucose, which was oxidized by glucose oxidase to gluconolactone in a second step. The hydrogen peroxide produced was used by horseradish peroxidase to oxidise 2,2’-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid). Using glass microfluidic channels as microreactors, an invertase-glucose oxidase- soybean peroxidase trienzymic system was used to take sucrose and generate H₂O₂ for soybean peroxidase-catalyzed synthesis of poly(p-cresol) [201].

A slow release of hydrogen peroxide may be achieved by using suitable compounds. For example, alkene epoxidation based on the chemo-enzymatic perhydrolysis of carboxylic acids and esters has been optimized using Novozyme 435 (immobilized lipase B from Candida antarctica) and the complex urea-hydrogen peroxide [202]. Urea-hydrogen peroxide has the potential of releasing hydrogen peroxide in a controlled manner and thus avoids the need to add the aqueous hydrogen peroxide slowly to the reaction mixture.
5. Use of additives to improve the stability of enzymes in the presence of hydrogen peroxide.

There are many investigations to find additives to preserve enzyme activity in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. In some cases, some electron acceptors have been used. For example, D-Glucose oxidase inactivation due to hydrogen peroxide was greatly reduced by adding the benzoquinone-hydroquinone system to the reaction system as an artificial electron acceptor [203]. In other cases, substitution of hydrogen peroxide by other oxidant reagents has permitted to increase enzyme stability. The use of tert-butyl hydroperoxide as oxidant instead of hydrogen peroxide using chloroperoxidase permitted to reach a turnover number of 600,000 (compared to less than 29,0000 using hydrogen peroxide), although the reaction was quite slow [197]. Presence of the spin traps N-tert-butyl-α-phenyl nitrotrone or 5,5′-dimethyl-1-pyrole-N-oxide was effective in protecting the enzymes glutathione peroxidase and glutathione reductase against oxidation by UV, hydrogen peroxide/UV, and ozone [204].

The substrate, in some instances, may promote some stabilization effects. In the chloroperoxidase catalyzed oxidation of indole in tert-butyl alcohol/water mixtures, chloroperoxidase was stabilized towards oxidative destruction as long as indole was present in the reaction mixture but inactivation occurred in the absence of a reductant [205]. In contrast, chloroperoxidase was inactivated in water by hydrogen peroxide even when indole was present in the reaction mixture and the oxidant concentration was as low as 30 μM. Peroxidase is also protected by some reductant substrates [206]. Ascorbate peroxidase activity was rapidly lost in ascorbate-depleted medium, and protected by its electron donors, ascorbate, isoascorbate, guaiacol and pyrogallol, but not by GSH, NAD(P)H or ferredoxin [207].

Another option is the addition of antioxidant compounds to the system. Chloroperoxidase from *Caldariomyces fumago* was strongly stabilized by caffeic acid [197]. In
the presence of this compound, the enzyme reached a total turnover number of 135,000 at pH 4 and 4 mM hydrogen peroxide, compared to 28,700 in the absence of antioxidant.

Amino acids have been used as additives in many instances to improve enzyme stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide. The suicidal inactivation effect of horseradish peroxidase of hydrogen peroxide was reduced by using diverse amino acids [208]. Histidine, tyrosine and cysteine were added to the protein solution and horseradish peroxidase was completely protected against suicide inactivation. A very interesting observation was that peroxide-inactivated HRP could be recovered into a more active one in the presence of amino acids. Lignin peroxidase from rot fungi was protected versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation when supplementing the culture medium with Trp [209]. Authors show that tryptophan and its derivative indole behave in the same way as veratryl alcohol in converting compound II, an oxidized form of lignin peroxidase, to ferric enzyme, thereby completing the catalytic cycle. Furthermore, tryptophan has been found to be a better substrate for lignin peroxidase than veratryl alcohol.

In another example, AMP deaminase was readily inactivated by an exposure to hydrogen peroxide and copper in permeabilized yeast cells [210]. Addition of ascorbic acid further enhanced the inactivation of the enzyme, suggesting the hydroxyl radical produced by the Fenton reaction is responsible for the inactivation of the enzyme. Addition of histidine caused an effective protection against the inactivation of AMP deaminase by hydrogen peroxide-induced hydroxyl radical.

Polymers have been used in other examples as stabilizers of enzymes against hydrogen peroxide. Porcine muscle lactate dehydrogenase was submitted to oxidation in the presence of metal ions and hydrogen peroxide, in the presence of a variety of compounds [211]. The best protecting agent was found to be the poly(ethyleneimine), followed by EDTA. Ammonium sulphate was an effective stabilizer during metal-catalyzed oxidation, while sorbitol, sucrose and hydroxyectoine provided moderate stabilization. Ectoine also stabilized against oxidation with
hydrogen peroxide, as was poly(ethylene glycol), whereas sorbitol enhanced the rate of enzyme inactivation. The stability of the peroxidase from *Coprinus cinereus* versus oxidation was increased by addition of albumin (increased turnover number of naphthols oxidation 1.5-4 times) [212]. Poly-L-lysine, polyethylene glycol and polyethyleneimine also promoted a positive effect.

Different alcohols have also revealed themselves as interesting stabilizers versus oxidative processes. The causes for this stabilization have been attributed to different reasons. Subtilisin has been used in one of the examples. In the presence of hydrogen peroxide and borate, oxidation of a methionine residue adjacent to the active site to the sulfoxide form compromises subtilisin enzymatic activity [213]. Sucrose decreases the oxidation rate by limiting the accessibility of the borate-hydrogen peroxide complex to the methionine at the partially buried active site. The stabilization mechanism of sucrose is based on shifting the equilibrium of transiently expanding native conformations of subtilisin to favor the most compact states. Propylene glycol and glycerol produced an increase in the stability of alkaline protease from *Bacillus clausii* I-52 versus different reagents, also versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation [214].

Different additives, (mannitol, oleic, stearic and linoleic acid, n-butanol, and hydroperoxy octadecadienoic acid) stabilized lipoxygenase versus hydrogen peroxide [215]. The involvement of ·OH in the inactivation process is suggested by the ability of mannitol to prevent the loss of activity. This radical would be produced by reaction of H₂O₂ with the Fe(II) lipoxygenase. The most effective protection was displayed by hydroperoxy octadecadienoic acid, the reaction product of lipoxygenase with linoleic acid. This result could be explained by the conversion of the native enzyme into the Fe(III) lipoxygenase in the presence of hydroperoxy octadecadienoic acid; if the Fe(III) enzyme is not able to react with H₂O₂, an OH radical will not be produced.
Some cations have been also used to increase enzyme resistance versus hydrogen peroxide. Turnover number and catalytic efficiencies for native horseradish peroxidase and Ni$^{2+}$ horseradish peroxidase indicated that the catalytic activity and turnover number of the stabilized peroxidase by Ni$^{2+}$ were about 1.5 times greater than the corresponding values for the native HRP [216]. Furthermore, while 53% of active native horseradish peroxidase was depleted by hydrogen peroxide, Ni$^{2+}$-HRP as a stabilized biocatalyst, showed an excellent resistance to the suicide-peroxide inactivation in the phenol removal process, in which only 6% of its active population was lost within 20 min and a phenol removal efficiency of about 98% was observed.

6. Genetic manipulation of enzymes to increase their stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide

6.1. Site directed mutagenesis

The genetic replacement of certain aminoacids, sensitive to modification by hydrogen peroxide, by others more resistant to this modification, is a simple way to improve the enzyme resistance to hydrogen peroxide, utilized in many cases [217].

Replacement of Met by other residues insensitive to hydrogen peroxide has been proposed as a way to protect a protein against oxidative destabilization. A nice example of the effect of the substitution of Met residues on enzyme stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide has been presented without the use of “actual” site directed mutagenesis. This paper used an *Escherichia coli* strain transformed by the recombinant plasmid pIPD37 carrying the *adk* gene and grown under a substoichiometric concentration of methionine and an excess of norleucine. Under these conditions, the bacterium synthesizes 16-20% of adenylate kinase molecules having all 6 methionine residues replaced by norleucine [218]. Norleucine-substituted adenylate kinase shows structural and catalytic properties similar to the wild-type protein but exhibits a significantly higher resistance to hydrogen peroxide inactivation. The idea was also exemplified by the replacement of some key Met residues using a staphylococcal nuclease as a
model protein, which ultimately permitted to improve enzyme stability [219]. In another example, the manganese peroxidase from *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, which catalyzes the oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$ to Mn$^{3+}$, was stabilized versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by conformational stabilization around the H$_2$O$_2$-binding pocket. By replacing oxidizable Met273 located near the pocket to a non-oxidizable Leu, hydrogen peroxide tolerance of the enzyme increased [220]. Furthermore, after treatment with 1 mM H$_2$O$_2$ where the wild-type is completely inactivated, full activity can be retained by engineering the Asn81, which might have conformational changes due to the pocket environment, to a non-bulky and non-oxidizable Ser.

In another example, the peroxidase from the cyanobacterium *Anabaena sp.* strain PCC7120 was submitted to site-directed mutagenesis to replace five Met residues by Ile, Leu, or Phe residues, in order to increase its resistance to hydrogen peroxide [221]. The heme cavity mutants M401L, M401I, M401F, and M451I had significantly increased hydrogen peroxide stabilities of 2.4-, 3.7-, 8.2-, and 5.2-fold, respectively. Surprisingly, the M401F and M451I retained 16% and 5% activity at 100 mM H$_2$O$_2$, respectively.

Another interesting example was the improvement of nattokinase stability versus oxidative inactivation. It is a bacterial serine protease with strong fibrinolytic activity and it is used as cardiovascular drug. In medical and commercial applications, however, it is susceptible to chemical oxidation, and subsequent inactivation or denaturation. This oxidative stability of Nattokinase was substantially increased by optimizing the amino acid residues Thr 220 and Met 222, which were in the vicinity of the catalytic residue Ser 221 of the enzyme. Two nonoxidative amino acids (Ser and Ala) were introduced at these sites using site-directed mutagenesis. M222A mutant was found to have a greatly increased oxidative stability compared with wild-type enzyme and it was resistant to inactivation even when using 1 M hydrogen peroxide, whereas the wild-type enzyme was inactivated by 0.1 M of this reagent ($t_{1/2} = 11.6$ min). The mutant T220S also showed an obvious increase in stability versus oxidation. Molecular dynamic simulations on wild-type and T220S mutant proteins suggested
that a hydrogen bond was formed between Ser 220 and Asn 155, and the spatial structure of Met222 was changed compared with the wild-type.

N-Carbamoyl D-amino acid amidohydrolase (D-NCAase) from Agrobacterium radiobacter is another enzyme sensitive to oxidative damage by hydrogen peroxide [222]. Each of the nine methionine residues in the enzyme was substituted with leucine by site-directed mutagenesis. Except for two mutants (Met5Leu and Met31Leu) with similar activities, seven mutants (Met73Leu, Met167Leu/Met169Leu, Met184Leu, Met220Leu, Met239Leu, Met244Leu, and Met239Leu/Met244Leu) were found to have reduced activities. In the presence of hydrogen peroxide, three mutants (Met239Leu, Met244Leu, and Met239Leu/Met244Leu) with substitutions of highly solvent-accessible methionines by leucines retained their activities. The other mutants were also considerably more resistant to chemical oxidation than the wild-type enzyme.

In another example, the methionine residues at positions 17, 104, 208, 214, 292, 315, 324, and 446 in the primary amino acid sequence of a truncated Bacillus sp. TS-23 α-amylase (His6-tagged) were changed to oxidative-resistant leucine by site-directed mutagenesis [223]. The specific activity for Met315Leu and Met446Leu was decreased by more than 76%, while Met17Leu, Met104Leu, Met208Leu, Met214Leu, Met292Leu, and Met324Leu showed 247, 128, 37, 260, 232, and 241% higher activity than the wild-type enzyme, respectively. Wild-type enzyme was sensitive to chemical oxidation, but Met208Leu was stable even in the presence of 500 mM H₂O₂. Except for Met214Leu, which was quite sensitive to H₂O₂, the other mutants showed a profile of oxidative inactivation similar to that of the wild-type enzyme. These observations indicate that the oxidative stability of this enzyme can be improved by replacement of the critical methionine residue with leucine, but that in some cases this change may even have a negative effect on the enzyme tolerance to hydrogen peroxide. Moreover, each of the six oxidative-sensitive methionine residues in D-amino acid oxidase from Trigonopsis variabilis was changed to leucine by site-directed mutagenesis [90]. The specific activity of four mutant
DAAOs (Met104Leu, Met226Leu, Met245Leu, and Met339Leu) was decreased by more than 96%, while Met156Leu and Met209Leu showed about 23% and 96% higher activity than the wild-type enzyme, respectively. Met156Leu, Met209Leu, and Met226Leu were resistant to inactivation by 50 mM H₂O₂. The other three mutant DAAOs were also slightly more resistant than the wild-type enzyme to chemical oxidation.

However, in some cases, the replacement of each of the Met residues even produced a decrease in enzyme stability versus hydrogen peroxide. For example, each of the five methionine residues of recombinant leucine aminopeptidases from *Bacillus stearothermophilus* was replaced with leucine by site-directed mutagenesis [224]. The specific activities for Met82Leu, Met88Leu, Met254Leu, and Met382Leu were similar to those of the wild-type enzyme, whereas a reduced activity was observed in Met136Leu. As compared with the wild-type enzyme, all mutant proteins were more sensitive to the oxidant, implying that the methionine residues of this leucine aminopeptidases are important for the protection of the enzyme from oxidative inactivation.

In other cases, Cys are the target of the genetic modification. For example, ascorbate peroxidase isoforms localized in the stroma and thylakoid of the chloroplast play a key role in detoxifying hydrogen peroxide generated in photosystem I. However, once the ascorbate is depleted, the enzyme is attacked by H₂O₂ and rapidly loses its activity [225]. The wild-type enzyme had an inactivation half-time of 10 s in the presence of hydrogen peroxide, while the triple mutant (Cys26, Trp35, and Cys126) retained 50% of the initial activity after H₂O₂ treatment for 3 min. The hydrogen peroxide tolerance of this mutant was comparable to that of the hydrogen peroxide -tolerant ascorbate peroxidase isoform localized in the cytosol. In another case, the P-form of rat glutathione transferase was studied. It is inactivated by hydrogen peroxide via the formation of intra- or intersubunit disulfides [226]. Enzyme variants whose cysteine residues were independently substituted with alanine (C14A, C47A, C101A, and C169A) by site-directed mutagenesis C14A and C169A were significantly more inactivated than
native GST-P by 1 mM H$_2$O$_2$, whereas C47A and, especially, C101A appeared to become insensitive to this concentration of hydrogen peroxide.

In other cases, Trp has been the target group to be replaced. Hydrogen peroxide inactivation of the superoxide dismutases from *Propionibacterium shermanii*, has been studied in the native and Val 73 → Trp mutant enzymes [227]. The enzyme is active with either iron or manganese at the active site. The wild-type iron-containing form of this enzyme is much more resistant to treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ with respect to the other metal-specific Fe superoxide dismutase isoenzymes. After incubation with high amounts of H$_2$O$_2$ the enzyme maintains more than 40% of the initial activity. The activity of the Val 73 → Trp mutant drastically decreases to less than 5% of the initial activity after incubation with hydrogen peroxide. Amino acid analysis of the H$_2$O$_2$-treated mutant enzyme evidenced the loss of the Trp 73 residue which has been shown to play a critical role in the stabilization of the monomer fold of the enzyme. On the other hand, the manganese-containing wild-type and mutant enzymes were completely resistant toward H$_2$O$_2$ demonstrating the specific role of iron in the inactivation process. Another example of this strategy is the construction of a tryptophanless mutant of horseradish peroxidase, which was expressed in *Escherichia coli*. After refolding, the specific activity of the mutant enzyme was 1100-1500 U/mg (compared to 2000 U/mg for the recombinant wild-type enzyme) [228]. The effect of hydrogen peroxide pretreatment on the radiation-induced inactivation of the wild-type and mutant enzyme indirectly indicates participation of Trp-117 in electron transfer pathways through the enzyme molecule.

In other instances, Lys and Glu are the objective to be replaced by other less reactive amino acids. For example, horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is a commonly used enzyme in many biotechnological fields, and its low resistance to hydrogen peroxide is a limitation for its implementation in many instances [229]. To reach this goal, 13 single- and three double-mutants of solvent exposed, proximal lysine and glutamic acid residues were analysed. Additionally, five single- and one pentuple-consensus mutants were investigated. Most mutants displayed little or
no alteration in $H_2O_2$ stability experiments; however, three variants exhibited significantly increased $H_2O_2$ tolerances of 25 (T110V), 18 (K232N), and 12-fold (K241F). This improved stability was attributed to an altered enzyme-$H_2O_2$ catalysis pathway or to removal of potentially oxidisable residues.

In another example, the truncated form of thioredoxin peroxidase from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* was subject to site directed mutagenesis. The truncated enzyme was significantly more resilient against inactivation by hydrogen peroxide than the intact form [230]. Peroxidase assay of a series of recombinant C-terminal truncation mutants ($\Delta$192, $\Delta$191, $\Delta$188, $\Delta$184, $\Delta$176, and $\Delta$165) revealed that thioredoxin peroxidase could be inactivated ($\Delta$192), reactivated ($\Delta$191-$\Delta$176) and reinactivated ($\Delta$165) by serial truncation from C-terminus. Characterization of a series of Lys191 point mutants manifested that the loss of affinity caused by deprivation of positive charge born in Lys191 and the loss of affinity resulted in the resistibility to hydrogen peroxide.

In other instances, the main objective was to prevent the destruction of the prosthetic group of the enzyme. Hemo group of 1-cytochrome c is destroyed in presence of hydrogen peroxide. Variants of the iso-1-cytochrome c were constructed by site-directed mutagenesis and were found to be more stable in the presence of hydrogen peroxide than the wild type [231]. No heme destruction was detected in a triple variant (Tyr67→Phe/Asn52→Ile/Cys102→Thr) with the catalytic hydrogen peroxide concentration of 1 mM. The absence of a protein radical in the more resistant variant suggests that the protein radical is necessary in the heme destruction process, but presumably is not involved in the reactions leading up to protein inactivation.

### 6.2. Evolved enzymes

Directed evolution of enzymes has revealed itself as a powerful tool to improve enzyme properties. It has been used in some instance on many enzymes [232-234], but in most cases the objective was to improve enzyme activity or stability versus organic medium or
temperature. The number of reports in which a direct effort to improve enzyme stability versus hydrogen peroxide is described is hitherto scarce.

Manganese peroxidase stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide was increased by molecular evolution [235]. After several rounds of immobilizations, four positive mutants with nine times higher hydrogen peroxide stability than that of the wild-type were located.

A \textit{S. cerevisiae} strain encoding a mutant horseradish peroxidase enzyme was submitted to random mutagenesis, recombination, and screening to identify mutants that are more active and stable to incubation in hydrogen peroxide at 50 °C [236]. A single mutation (N175S) in the HRP active site was found to improve thermal stability. The variant is also more stable in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, SDS, salts (NaCl and urea), and at different pH values.

The heme peroxidase from \textit{Coprinus cinereus} was subjected to multiple rounds of directed evolution in an effort to produce a mutant stable in laundry detergent conditions: pH 10.5 at 50°C and 5-10 mM peroxide concentration [237]. Manually combining mutations from the site-directed and random approaches led to a mutant with 110 times the thermal stability and 2.8 times the oxidative stability of the wild-type enzyme. In the final two rounds, mutants were randomly recombined by using the efficient yeast homologous recombination system to shuffle point mutations among a large number of parents. This in vivo shuffling led to the most dramatic improvements in oxidative stability, yielding a mutant with 174 times the thermal stability and 100 times the oxidative stability of wild-type enzyme [237].

7. Physico-chemical modification of enzymes to increase their stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide

7.1. Immobilization

Immobilization is a very powerful tool that may bring forth substantial improvements in enzyme performance, by increasing enzyme rigidity, preventing multimeric enzyme dissociation or generating suitable enzyme nano-environments [128, 162, 238, 239].
Inactivation of enzymes due to the action of hydrogen peroxide may also be prevented, or at least its effects minimized, by immobilization. This protective effect may be achieved via two different pathways:

1.- removing the cause for inactivation: e.g., decreasing the concentration of hydrogen peroxide in the environment of the residues susceptible of being modified by hydrogen peroxide (Figure 4), or reducing the exposition of a critical group by keeping it inside the enzyme core (Figure 5).

2.- decreasing the effect of the chemical modification: if the chemical modification facilitates undesired conformational changes in the enzyme, an intense multipoint covalent attachment may reduce this negative effect. (Figure 6)

Now, we will show some examples where enzyme stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide is improved by different reasons.

### 7.1.1. Enzyme stabilization versus hydrogen peroxide by decreasing the exposition of the susceptible groups to lower peroxide concentration

It is possible to find various examples where enzymes have been stabilized versus hydrogen peroxide by the promotion of a partition of hydrogen peroxide, usually generating a hydrophobic environment around the enzyme by using hydrophobic supports (Figure 4).

Many of these studies involve lipases. Lipases are used in chemo-enzymatic epoxidations of diverse substances (Table 1) [10, 11, 240-243]. In this reaction, hydrogen peroxide (or some derivative) is one of the reaction substrate, and it has been shown that the catalytic residue of the enzyme is involved [244]. This reaction in particular has been recently reviewed [245]. The main bottleneck for the chemo-enzymatic epoxidation catalyzed by lipases has been found to be enzyme inactivation by hydrogen peroxide [246]. Lipase B from *Pseudozyma* (formerly *Candida antarctica*) (CALB) is one of the most studied enzymes, due to its moderate stability in the presence of this reagent. The effect on the primary, secondary,
tertiary and quaternary structure of CALB upon exposure to hydrogen peroxide has been investigated [64, 247]. The presence of protein aggregates whose concentration increased with increasing incubation time has been reported during this process. Furthermore, it has been shown that elevated concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ result in partial fragmentation of the protein. Oxidation of methionine, tryptophan and cystine residues has also been found. The histidine active site was not observed in the oxidized form. However, drastic changes in the enzyme structure could be found [64].

Immobilization of CALB on smectite group nanoclays (Laponite, SWy-2 and Kunipia), as well as on their organically modified derivatives, has permitted to improve its stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide [248]. The immobilized lipase on such modified nanoclays can be effectively applied for the indirect epoxidation of $\alpha$-pinene using hydrogen peroxide as substrate. The amount of $\alpha$-pinene epoxide produced in a single-step biocatalytic process is up to 3-fold higher than that of free enzyme or enzyme immobilized in non-modified clays. Moreover, lipase immobilized on modified clays retains up to 90% of its initial activity after 48 h of incubation in the presence of oxidant, and up to 60% after four reaction cycles, while other forms of the enzyme retain less than 10%.

Recently, it has been shown how the immobilization of CALB on a hydrophobic support, decaoctyl-Sepabeads, permitted to greatly improve the enzyme stability in the presence of hydrogen peroxide, even using high concentrations (10 M) of this reagent the enzyme exhibited over 50% activity after one week [249]. Native PAGE of the immobilized enzyme after hydrogen peroxide incubation showed no changes in mobility, while CALB from Novozym 435 showed clear changes. This was explained by the hydrophobicity of the enzyme environment that seems to be enough to protect the enzyme residues involved in the immobilization from enzyme modification. The use of an even more hydrophobic support permitted a further increase in CALB stability under high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide [250]. Borohydride reduction of the hydrogen peroxide inactivated enzyme permitted to recover
a large percentage of the lost activity, and this enzyme retains a thermo-stability close to that of the native enzyme [249].

In some instances, the stabilization may be achieved just by hindering the access of the hydrogen peroxide to the key group, immobilizing the enzyme in a support having a large surface area and orienting this group towards this surface (Figure 7). This has permitted to stabilize the enzyme D-amino acid oxidase from *Trigonopsis variabilis* even in the presence of hydrogen peroxide [251]. The heme enzymes horseradish peroxidase and metmyoglobin when reacted with hydrogen peroxide exhibited peroxidase activity [252]. Hydrogen peroxide oxidized the heme iron to ferryl state and produced protein radicals which inactivated and damaged the heme enzymes. The enzymes were encapsulated with sol-gels to protect them from peroxidase activity.

### 7.1.2. Improved rigidity of the enzyme

When the modification of hydrogen peroxide did not affect directly a catalytically relevant residue, it may be considered that the modification mainly affects enzyme stability, and thus the modified enzyme may have a certain tendency to acquire a wrong conformation Figure. In other cases, the sensitive group may be inside the core of the protein and may only become exposed to the action of hydrogen peroxide by conformational changes of the protein Figure. Thus, in both cases, if the enzyme is rigidified, some stabilization should be expected when the enzyme is exposed to the presence of hydrogen peroxide.

Many examples which couple enzyme thermo-stabilization to stabilization use the very interesting enzyme D-amino acid oxidase as example.

D-amino acid oxidase from *Rhodosporidium toruloides* and Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles were encapsulated simultaneously within biomimetic silica, using polyallylamine as mediator [253]. Under these conditions, the temperature at which 50% of the initial activity was retained after 1 h of incubation was increased from 44.3 °C to 57.7 °C. In the presence of 50
mM hydrogen peroxide, encapsulated RtDAO had approximately 2-fold increase in resistance to hydrogen peroxide. Glutaraldehyde immobilization on magnetic nanoparticles of the same enzyme also coupled thermal stabilization (Tm was increased from 45 °C to 55 °C) with stabilization in the presence of 20 mM H₂O₂, (the immobilized form retained 93% of its activity after 5 h while the free form was completely inactivated after 3.5 h) [254]. Multipoint covalently immobilized D-amino acid oxidase (DAAO) from *Rhodotorula gracilis* on glyoxyll-agarose is 11-fold more stable than the native enzyme against the deleterious effect of hydrogen peroxide, with an improved thermostability as an added benefit [251, 255]. However, D-amino acid oxidase from *Trigonopsis variabilis* was not stabilized by rigidification versus hydrogen peroxide although an improved thermostability was detected.

Glucose oxidase is another habitual example. Glucose oxidase adsorbed on aminated cationic supports and subsequent treatment with glutaraldehyde permitted to greatly improve its thermostability, stability both in organic media and in the presence of hydrogen peroxide [256].

Other popular enzymes are horseradish peroxidase and soybean peroxidase: Both enzymes were covalently immobilized onto aldehyde glass through their amine groups, and a clear protective effect of the immobilization against the enzyme inactivation by hydrogen peroxide was found [257, 258].

It has also been found that erythrocyte Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase trapped in a hydrogel of carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) with 50 and 90% cross-linking was more resistant to oxygen peroxide inactivation than the native enzyme [259]. This reduced the amount of time necessary for wound healing when the trapped enzyme was applied in injuries.

Finally a nice example is the immobilization of a manganese peroxidase that was evolved to improve the resistance towards hydrogen peroxide (see point 6.2) [235]. A mutant enzyme immobilized on a mesoporous material showed a stabilization of 5.5 folds compared to the evolved enzyme (that was 9 fold more stable than wild type MnP). However, the stability of the immobilized wild type MnP did not show a significant improvement compared to the
immobilized mutant MnP. This suggests some kind of synergetic effect between the factors improving enzyme stability before and after immobilization, even though evolution was not designed to finally use the enzyme in its immobilized form [260].

7.2. Chemical modification

Chemical modification of enzymes has proven to be a very powerful tool to improve enzyme properties [261]. Although currently the competition with genetic modification has decreased the research in this area, its suitability to solve some enzyme limitations still has a high interest. In many examples, enzymes working with hydrogen peroxide have been the target of the study [262], although stabilization versus hydrogen peroxide has not been frequently the main goal of the research.

The highly reactive hydroxyl radical (·OH) is generated during the reaction of Cu,Zn-superoxide dismutase with H₂O₂, its own enzymatic reaction product. During inactivation of Cu,Zn-enzyme with H₂O₂, Cu²⁺ liberated from the SOD catalyzes the formation of ·OH from H₂O₂. The enzyme was modified with different polymers. Modification with poly(styrene-co-maleic acid butyrate) and poly(ethylene glycol) did not improve enzyme stability, but the other polymers did. The residual activity of divinylether maleic acid/anhydride copolymer (pyran copolymer)-conjugated SOD was 87% of the initial activity after incubation with 0.1 mM H₂O₂ for 2 h, whereas that of native SOD was 49% [263]. Pyran copolymer-superoxide dismutase presented an even higher effect on enzyme stabilization. It was attributed by the capture of loosely bound Cu²⁺ during the reaction of the enzyme with H₂O₂ by the polymer, thus resulting in suppression of ·OH-mediated inactivation of SOD (Figure 8). Later, superoxide dismutase resistance to inactivation by hydrogen peroxide has been greatly improved by glycosidation with carboxymethylchitin. It was explained again by the chelating ability of the polymer, which could bind the Cu²⁺ released from the protein structure after oxidation [264]. Through this chelating mechanism, the further occurrence of Fenton-type reactions could be
avoided, preserving the active enzyme molecules from radical oxidation with highly toxic substances. In another paper, a catalase was chemically glycosilated with cyclodextrin-branched carboxymethylcellulose and then associated with superoxide dismutase modified with 1-adamantane carboxylic acid [265]. Following this strategy, superoxide dismutase was remarkably resistant to inactivation by H₂O₂ and its anti-inflammatory activity was 4.5-fold increased after supramolecular association with the modified catalase form.

In a similar research effort, catalase, superoxide dismutase and catalase-superoxide dismutase conjugates with aldehyde dextrans have been prepared in aqueous media and surfactant microemulsion [266]. The conjugation of catalase with aldehyde dextrans and SOD in microemulsions enhances the stability of both enzymes.

The interest in the chemical modification of proteins may be reinforced if coupled to immobilization, due to the inherent advantages of solid phase modification and the aforementioned improvement of enzyme features due to immobilization [238, 267]. One example of this coupled use of two apparently unrelated tools may be found in D-amino acid oxidase from *Trigonopsis variabilis* has been also stabilized versus hydrogen peroxide [251], by coating the surface of the immobilized enzyme with dextran or even a hydrophobic derivative of this compound (Figure 9). Although the modification presented a negative effect on enzyme thermostability, the stability of the enzyme in the presence of hydrogen peroxide increased.

**Conclusions**

Hydrogen peroxide is a useful oxidant [1-3] in many different biocatalytic reactions [8, 49, 51, 52, 205]. However, it is also able to seriously damage the chemical structure of the proteins, inactivating enzymes. The problem becomes even more serious if some metals are present in the medium.

Fortunately, as it has been presented in this review, the researcher may act at different levels to avoid enzyme inactivation. Inactivation may be promoted by a direct destruction of the
active center, or by de-stabilization of the enzyme. Solutions involve both increase in enzyme rigidity and decrease in hydrogen peroxide and metal accessibility to the enzyme. Destruction of hydrogen peroxide using inorganic catalysts or catalases is the simplest solution if the hydrogen peroxide is a by-product. To keep the hydrogen peroxide under a critical concentration may be a solution if it is a substrate. Some additives may prevent enzyme inactivation, acting as antioxidant in some cases but in others just removing metals that may accelerate enzyme inactivation from the solution (metals that may be part of the enzyme structure). Genetic tools have also shown a great potential to increase enzyme stability versus hydrogen peroxide, by changing sensitive groups or by increasing enzyme rigidity. In a similar way, chemical modification and immobilization may be very potent tools to increase enzyme rigidity, or to generate hydrophobic environments that produce a partition of the hydrogen peroxide way from the enzyme surroundings.

Stability of enzymes versus temperature or organic solvents has been tackled using very different tools in a combined way (e.g. coupled use of immobilization with site directed mutagenesis, chemical modification or use of enzymes from thermophiles [238, 260, 267]. Very likely, a similarly integrated strategy, combining all the tools presented above, together to selection of enzymes more naturally resistant to hydrogen peroxide, may greatly increase the future interest of this liaison between hydrogen peroxide, and biocatalysis or biosensors design.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Chemical modification of proteins by hydrogen peroxide.
A-Oxidation of amino acid residues in proteins. a-Oxidation of histidine residues. b,c,j – Aromatic amino acid oxidation. c,i-Sulfur amino acid oxidation. d-Proline oxidation, f-Threonine oxidation, g-Leucine oxidation, h-Lysine oxidation and formation of Amadori product (glycoxidation reaction).
B- Example of cleavage of the polypeptide chain. a-Cleavage by oxidation of prolyl residues. b-Cleavage by oxidation of aspartyl residues.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the advantages of co-immobilization versus individual immobilization of oxidases and catalase in the in situ destruction of hydrogen peroxide.

Figure 3. Tricks to maintain low concentrations of hydrogen peroxide along the reaction.

Figure 4. Stabilization of an enzyme versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by immobilization on a hydrophobic support: generation of a hydrophobic environment around the sensitive groups.

Figure 5. Stabilization of an enzyme versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by multipoint covalent immobilization: susceptible group is buried inside the enzyme core and only may be exposed to hydrogen peroxide after enzyme conformational changes.

Figure 6. Stabilization of an enzyme versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by multipoint covalent immobilization: the modified enzyme is less stable but multipoint covalent attachment avoids enzyme conformational changes.

Figure 7. Stabilization of an enzyme versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by shielding the sensitive groups versus the support surface.

Figure 8. Stabilization of an enzyme versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by chemical modification with a metal scavenging polymer.

Figure 9. Stabilization of an immobilized enzyme versus hydrogen peroxide inactivation by generation of a hydrophobic environment using a hydrophobic polymer.