Giant Magnetoresistance in Ultrasmall Graphene Based Devices
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By computing spin-polarized electronic transport across a finite zigzag graphene ribbon bridging two metallic graphene electrodes, we demonstrate, as a proof of principle, that devices featuring 100% magnetoresistance can be built entirely out of carbon. In the ground state a short zigzag ribbon is an antiferromagnetic insulator which, when connecting two metallic electrodes, acts as a tunnel barrier that suppresses the conductance. The application of a magnetic field makes the ribbon ferromagnetic and conductive, increasing dramatically the current between electrodes. We predict large magnetoresistance in this system at liquid nitrogen temperature and 10 T or at liquid helium temperature and 300 G.
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The term spintronics has been coined to refer to the interplay between spin polarization and electrical conductance and is one of the major themes today in condensed matter and applied physics. A central concept in spintronics is that of giant magnetoresistance (GMR), discovered originally in layered structures alternating magnetic and nonmagnetic transition metals [1]. The resistance of the whole structure undergoes a large increase when the relative orientation of the magnetization in adjacent layers goes from parallel to antiparallel, provided that the non-magnetic layers are thinner than the spin relaxation length. This phenomenon represents the paradigm under which commercial devices such as magnetic reading heads operate nowadays.

Here we propose a new type of magnetoresistive device which makes use of the remarkable electronic properties of zigzag graphene ribbons [2,3]. Its operational principle is similar to that found in conventional GMR layers, with the difference that is entirely based on carbon. Our proposal is motivated by the spectacular progress in the fabrication of high mobility graphene based field effect transistors [4–6] and by the recent developments in the fabrication of graphene ribbons [7–10] with top-down techniques, as well as in the synthesis of graphene ribbons [11].

The electronic structure of infinite graphene ribbons has been studied thoroughly. Idealized graphene ribbons fall into two categories: armchair and zigzag. Within the simplest one orbital tight-binding description [2], armchair ribbons can be either semiconducting or metallic, depending on their width, whereas in the case of zigzag ribbons two flat bands, associated with edge states, lie at the Fermi energy. These edge flat bands favor the appearance of magnetization on the edges when electron-electron repulsion is included in the calculation, either with a Hubbard model [3,12,13] or with density functional theory (DFT) [14–16]. In the ground state the respective magnetization direction of the edges is antiparallel, and a gap opens in the band structure [12,14–16]. This is the ground state. Slightly above in energy, the parallel magnetic configuration is conducting. Application of either a magnetic field or a transverse electric field [15] can make the ferromagnetic configuration more stable. Here we explore the former.

Both for conceptual and practical reasons our proposal is based on finite length L graphene ribbons. For L = ∞, the long range order predicted by mean-field calculations is not robust, due to the proliferation of spin wave excitations of energy L−n, with n = 1 or n = 2 for both antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic alignments, respectively [17]. In short ribbons, in contrast, there is a gap for spin waves. Quantum fluctuations between the manifold of equivalent mean-field ground states would not alter the conducting properties of the system. Additionally, recent DFT calculations [18] show that monohydrogenated zigzag ribbons are not the most stable edge configuration unless the hydrogen density is very small. Whereas this poses a severe problem for the chemical stability of infinite monohydrogenated zigzag ribbons, short magnetic ribbons, as well as other magnetic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [19], might be more stable.

Here we show that the spin driven metal insulator transition, predicted so far for infinite ribbons, is still present in...
short ribbons attached to conducting electrodes. Using a well-established methodology [20] extended to account for electron-electron interactions in a Hubbard model, we study both the magnetic and transport properties of a system in which two conducting graphene electrodes are coupled through a finite length graphene ribbons.

Statement of the problem and methodology.—We study the system depicted in Fig. 1: A short zigzag graphene ribbon attached to two conducting graphene electrodes. In the case pictured in the figure we have chosen the electrodes to be semi-infinite metallic armchair graphene ribbons of width W. Both the device and the electrodes are described with a Hubbard model for the $\pi_z$ orbitals with first-neighbor hopping $t$ and on site repulsion $U$, solved at a collinear mean-field level [3,12,13,21–23]. This approach is known to capture the main features of the $ab$ initio calculations, both for finite [21] and infinite [12] graphene systems. Thus, the mean-field Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{I', \sigma} t_{I' \sigma} c_{I' \sigma}^\dagger c_{I \sigma} + \sum_{I} \left( n_{I \uparrow} n_{I \downarrow} + n_{I L} n_{I R} \right),$$

(1)

where $c_{I \sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron at the $\pi_z$ orbital at atom $I$ with spin $\sigma$, $n_{I \sigma} = c_{I \sigma}^\dagger c_{I \sigma}$ is the occupation operator. Since the mean fields $\langle n_{I \sigma} \rangle$ depend on the eigenstates of the mean-field Hamiltonian, this defines a self-consistent problem which is solved by numerical iteration.

In this work we have to solve the self-consistent problem for an infinite system without translational invariance. This is done using the partition method [20]. We split the system into three regions, the left and right electrodes and the central region. The Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) reads:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_L + \mathcal{H}_R + \mathcal{H}_C + \mathcal{V}_{LC} + \mathcal{V}_{RC},$$

(2)

where $\mathcal{H}_L$, $\mathcal{H}_R$ and $\mathcal{H}_C$ are the mean-field Hubbard Hamiltonians of the left, right, and central regions, respectively. On the other side, $\mathcal{V}_{LC}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{RC}$ describe the hopping between the central and the left and right regions, respectively. Sufficiently away from the central region, the mean-field Hamiltonian of the electrodes is identical to that of an infinite ribbon. In the case of the armchair electrodes, the effect of the Hubbard interactions in the charge neutrality point is a rigid shift of the bands without the appearance of magnetic moment and keeping their metallic character. We first determine the surface Green function of the semi-infinite electrodes, $\mathcal{G}_L$, and $\mathcal{G}_R$ [20]. This requires the solution of a self-consistent Dyson equation. Second, the Green function of the central region is evaluated:

$$G_C(E) = [EI - \mathcal{H}_C - \Sigma_L(E) - \Sigma_R(E)]^{-1}$$

(3)

with $\Sigma_{L(R)}(E) = \mathcal{V}_{L(R)} \mathcal{G}_{L(R)} \mathcal{V}_{L(R)}^\dagger$.

This expression is a functional of the expectation values $\langle n_{I \sigma} \rangle$, through the central Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_C$. The Green function yields the density of states projected over the orbital $\pi_z$ with spin $\sigma$ sitting in the atom $I$ in the device, is given by:

$$\rho(E, I, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \text{Tr}(\langle \mathcal{I} \sigma | G_C(E) | \mathcal{I} \sigma \rangle).$$

(4)

Here $\langle \mathcal{I} \sigma | G_C(E) | \mathcal{I} \sigma \rangle$ is a matrix of size $2N$, with $N$ being the number of atoms of the central region. In turn, the expectation values of the spin density are given by

$$\langle n_{I \sigma} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{E_F} \rho(E, I, \sigma) dE.$$ 

(5)

The spin density in a given atom $I$ is defined as $m_I = \langle n_{I \uparrow} \rangle - \langle n_{I \downarrow} \rangle$.

Equations (3) and (5) define a self-consistent problem which is solved by numerical iteration. Within this formalism the Landauer conductance is given by $G = \frac{e^2}{h} \text{Tr}(T_F)$ with:

$$T(E) = \text{Tr}(\Gamma_L E G_C \Gamma_R E G_C^\dagger(E))$$

(6)

with $\Gamma_{L(R)}(E) = i[\Sigma_{L(R)}(E) - \Sigma_{L(R)}^\dagger(\xi)]$.

Results.—The size of the zigzag ribbons is defined by two integer numbers, $N_x$, the number of unit cells of the ribbon, and $N_y$ the number of zigzag rows in the unit cell. Thus, the length of the ribbon is $L = N_x a$, with $a = 2.42$ Å and the width of the ribbon is given by $W = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} N_y a$.

![FIG. 2 (color online). (a),(b) Bands for infinite ribbon with $N_y = 12$ with AF (a) and FM (b) ground states. (c), (d) Corresponding DOS of the AF (c) and FM (d) infinite ribbons. (e) DOS of the AF finite ribbon. (f) DOS of the FM finite ribbon.](image-url)
As in the case of both isolated finite ribbons [24] and infinite ribbons [15], we obtain two kind of solutions, ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic, depending on the initial guess in the iterative self-consistent procedure. We see how only the edge atoms of the zigzag ribbon are magnetic and how the size of the edge moments is larger away from the interface. In the case of the AF solution, the largest local spin density is $m_I = 0.13$ for $U = t = 2.7$ eV almost identical to the result of infinite ribbons. In Fig. 2 we compare the electronic structure of the finite size connected zigzag ribbons with the case of infinite ribbons, both for ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AF) configurations. The electronic structure of the infinite ribbons is calculated taking advantage of crystal invariance and making use of the Bloch theorem [12]. Whereas AF infinite ribbons have a gap $\Delta_0$ [12,14] and zero density of states (DOS) at $E_F$ (hereon set to zero), two bands cross $E_F$ for the FM ribbons, resulting in a finite density of states at $E_F$. The same trend is observed in finite ribbons. The DOS of the AF configurations, summing over the atoms of a unit cell (see Fig. 2), presents a pseudogap. The DOS becomes more similar to the one of the infinite case both for longer ribbons and for unit cells in the middle of the ribbon. The small DOS inside the gap arises from the coupling to the electrodes. In contrast to the AF solution, the DOS of the FM solutions, again summing over the atoms of a unit cell (see Fig. 2), is that of a conducting system.

From the DOS we anticipate a strong dependence of the conductance on the magnetic configuration. This is confirmed by our calculations. In Fig. 3 we show the conductance curves for the $N_x = 12$, $N_y = 6$ ribbon. In the left panel we show how the transmission of the AF solution for $E = E_F$ is highly reduced, compared to the FM solution, shown in the right panel. This is the central result of our work: The conductance is strongly dependent on the relative orientation of the magnetization of the edges of the zigzag ribbon bridging the electrodes. In the ground state the ribbon is antiferromagnetic and the conductance is small or vanishes for sufficiently large $N_x$. In Fig. 4 we plot the conductance at $E_F$, both for AF and FM configurations, as a function of the ribbon length $L = aN_x$ for two widths. The conductance of the FM state is always close to $2e^2/h$, the value associated with the two bands, one per spin channel, that cross the Fermi energy. This conductance value is sensitive to the actual form of the graphene electrodes, but the vanishingly small values for the AF state are not. As in standard GMR devices, the application of a magnetic field can force the ferromagnetic solution to be the ground state, resulting in a dramatic increase of the conductance. We define the magnetoresistance (MR) of each device as

$$MR = \frac{R_{AF} - R_{FM}}{R_{AF} + R_{FM}} \times 100 = \frac{G_{FM} - G_{AF}}{G_{FM} + G_{AF}} \times 100,$$

where $R = \frac{1}{G}$ is the resistance and $G = e^2 / \partial T(E_F)$ is the conductance calculated with the Landauer formula. In Fig. 4 we plot the MR as a function of the ribbon length for ribbons of width $N_y = 6$ and $N_y = 3$. We see that, except for ribbons shorter than 1 nm, the MR saturates to 100%. The origin of the MR proposed here is different from that discussed by Brey and Fertig [25] and that of Kim and Kim [26] which require ferromagnetic electrodes. Our proposal is more similar to the original GMR experiments with current in the plane in exchanged coupled multilayers [1].

We now discuss the operational limits of our proposed device. There are three relevant magnitudes to be considered. First, the energy difference between the AF and the

![FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Spin resolved transmission for the AF infinite ribbon with $N_x = 12$ and $N_y = 6$. (b) Same for the FM solution. Insets: self-consistently calculated local spin density.](image)

![FIG. 4 (color online). (a) Conductance and (b) magnetoresistance [Eq. (7)] at the Fermi energy as a function of the ribbon length for two ribbon widths, both for FM and AF configurations.](image)
FM states, which depends both on the width of the ribbon and the length:

$$\Delta N_s N_f \approx N_f \left( \frac{30}{N_s} \right)^{1/2} \text{eV}. \quad (8)$$

This expression has been obtained from the fitting to DFT calculations by Pisani et al. [16]. Second, the temperature-dependent spin correlation length $\xi(T)$, over which magnetic order is lost [17]. Finally, the critical switching magnetic field is defined through the equation

$$g \mu_B M_T B^* = \frac{\Delta}{N_s}, \quad (9)$$

where $M_T = \sum m_i$ is the total spin density of the FM configuration, $g = 2$ for graphene and $\mu_B = 0.058 \text{meV} \cdot \text{T}^{-1}$. Equation (9) neglects the dependence of $m_i$ on $B$ [27].

The temperature determines the minimal value $\Delta_{\text{min}}$ below which thermal fluctuations make the ribbon switch between the AF and the FM states. It also determines the maximal value for the length of the ribbon $L_{\text{max}} = \xi(T)$ above which magnetic order is lost. By choosing $L = L_{\text{max}}$, we guarantee the maximum possible width $W_{\text{max}}$ for the ribbon through Eq. (8). This, in turn, provides the minimum critical switching field $B^*$ through Eq. (9). For instance, at room temperature, $\Delta_{\text{min}} = 26 \text{ meV}$ and $\xi(300) = L_{\text{max}} = 0.8 \text{ nm}$. This yields $W_{\text{max}} = 1.6 \text{ nm}$ and $B^* = 200 \text{ T}$. For liquid nitrogen temperatures ($77 \text{ K}$) $\Delta_{\text{min}} = 6 \text{ meV}$, $L_{\text{max}} = 4 \text{ nm}$, $W_{\text{max}} = 12 \text{ nm}$, and $B^* = 10 \text{ T}$. At liquid He temperatures ($4 \text{ K}$) $\Delta_{\text{min}} = 0.35 \text{ meV}$, $L_{\text{max}} = 80 \text{ nm}$, $W_{\text{max}} = 600 \text{ nm}$, and $B^* = 0.03 \text{ T}$. We note that since $\Delta(T) < \Delta(0)$ the actual values of $B^*$ are somewhat larger. Using the extrapolation formula of Son et al. [14] for the ribbon transport gaps, a ribbon of $W = 600 \text{ nm}$ has a gap of $1.55 \text{ meV}$, which is still larger than $kT$ and standard bias voltages. In summary, there is a trade-off between the operational temperature and the critical field. This trade-off is controlled by the spin correlation length. A high operational temperature requires a prohibitively large $B^*$. At liquid He temperatures, the critical field is bounded within reasonable ranges easily attainable in the laboratory.

In conclusion, we propose an ultrasmall and chemically simple magnetoresistive device based on a zigzag ribbon joining metallic graphene electrodes. The conduction properties of this device change dramatically as the relative orientation of the magnetic edges of the ribbon go from parallel to antiparallel relative orientations upon application of a magnetic field. From a more fundamental point of view, low-temperature experiments showing a drastic change in the resistance on applying strong magnetic fields would signal the existence of magnetism in zigzag graphene ribbons.
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