Molecular Sieving Properties of Nanoporous Mixed-Linker ZIF-62: Associated Structural Changes upon Gas Adsorption Application
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ABSTRACT: The evaluation of the flexibility in zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) has been very useful to understand their performance in gas adsorption and separation applications. Here, we have evaluated the adsorption properties of a nanoporous mixed-linker ZIF-62 using a combination of gas adsorption measurements, grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations, and synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction under operando conditions. While adsorption studies in nanoporous ZIF-62 at 77 K and atmospheric pressure predict a large O₂/N₂ separation ability, computational studies anticipate that the observed differences must be attributed to kinetic restrictions of N₂ to access the internal porosity at cryogenic temperatures. Interestingly, upon a small increase in the adsorption temperature (90 K vs 77 K), both N₂ and O₂ are able to access the inner porous structure through the promotion of a phase transition (ca. 3.8% volume expansion) upon gas adsorption. This narrow phase (np) to expanded phase (ep) structural transition in ZIF-62 is completely suppressed above 150 K. Based on the excellent molecular sieve properties of nanoporous ZIF-62 for O₂/N₂ at cryogenic temperatures, we extended our study to the adsorption of linear and branched hydrocarbons. This study predicts the preferential adsorption of alkanes over alkenes in ZIF-62 for small hydrocarbons (C₂), while in the case of C₃ hydrocarbons and above, the adsorption process is mainly defined by kinetic restrictions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Selective adsorption and separation of gases in industrial streams is highly challenging due to the similarity of the physicochemical properties such as size, shape, and polarity of the target molecules. Among others, excellent examples of complex separation processes include the discrimination between linear and branched hydrocarbons to increase the octane number, the O₂/N₂ separation from air, and the adsorption of CO₂ and N₂ for natural gas upgrading. These separation processes require the design of perfectly tailored porous networks with the required characteristics to promote the preferential adsorption of one of the components. Potential solutions include the design of specific pore size and shape to allow the selective adsorption of one of the molecules or the design of specific surface chemistry to promote specific adsorbate-framework interactions with the target molecules. Nanoporous zeolites and carbon molecular sieves have been widely applied due to their intrinsic characteristics such as a high surface area, large pore volume, and, in the specific case of the zeolites, a large polarity. Despite their performance, these materials are highly limited in terms of composition and associated versatility.

Among novel materials with highly promising performance for gas adsorption/separation, zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) can be considered one of the best candidates. ZIFs are a subclass of the metal–organic framework (MOF) family of materials, characterized by relatively high thermal and chemical stabilities due to the presence of a three-dimensional (3D) network that resembles zeolites, i.e., tetrahedral topology built up by metal ions (e.g., Zn and Co) linked to four imidazolate molecules with a similar configuration to the Si−O−Si angle found in zeolites. Besides stability, ZIFs exhibit unique potential for gas separation due to the combination of nanoporous-sized large cavities connected by small windows. Also, we and others have shown that some ZIFs present structural flexibility and that their 3D networks experience structural changes such as the swing effects or gate-opening phenomena, breathing ability, amorphization, and
These structural changes are associated with external stimuli such as high temperature, high pressure, or the exposure and adsorption of certain gases. These unique structural responses open the gate toward the application of ZIFs in gas separation processes based not only on steric effects (size and shape of the pore cavities) but also on the exploitation of specific adsorbent–adsorbate interactions able to induce structural changes in the ZIF, i.e., able to compete with the bonding and nonbonding interactions within the structure.

Flexibility and separation principles have been widely explored in the literature and mostly in the case of nanoporous sodalite ZIF-8 and ZIF-7 to promote selective adsorption of hydrocarbons, as well as H₂, CO₂, O₂, N₂, and CH₄. These results anticipate that, despite having a similar structure and sometimes even the same topology, the nature of the imidazolate ligand defines their adsorption performance. An excellent example that can be found in the literature is the completely different nitrogen adsorption behavior of the prototypical ZIF-8 and ZIF-7 materials, with sod topology, and ZIF-4, with cag topology. As described above, this different behavior has been attributed to the different interactions between the target molecules and the ZIF framework, including the nature of the intranet interactions, defined by the imidazolate linker and the metal.

The versatility of ZIFs has been tremendously expanded upon the discovery of mixed-linker networks, i.e., the preparation of new porous networks through the combination of different imidazolate-based linkers. This is, for example, the case of ZIF-62 [Zn(imidazolate)ₓ(benzimidazolate)ᵧ]ₓ, which is isostructural of ZIF-4, sharing the same imidazolate linker but with a small proportion (x ~ 0.25) of the bulkier benzimidazole (bim)⁻ linker. Despite sharing the same topology (cag) and having similar unit cell parameters to that of ZIF-4, recent studies have shown that the physicochemical properties of these two ZIFs are rather different. In particular, ZIF-62 exhibits a lower melting point and a wider melting range than ZIF-4 due to the higher electron-donating properties and larger steric hindrance of bim⁻. Interest-

ingly, ZIF-62 is able to form glass membranes (a-ZIF-62) upon a melt-quenching treatment with excellent selectivity values for H₂/CH₄, CO₂/N₂, and CO₂/CH₄ mixtures, although associated with a low adsorption capacity. Despite the high interest in its glass phases, the number of studies dealing with the adsorption and structural properties of the crystalline ZIF-62 phase is rather limited.

Taking into account the unique adsorption performance of ZIFs and their intrinsic structural properties, the main goal of this manuscript is to understand the molecular sieve and adsorption performance of ZIF-62 and the associated structural changes upon gas adsorption. In spite of ZIF-62 being analogous to ZIF-4, here we show that the gas adsorption behavior and separation performance of ZIF-62 follow a completely different scenario associated with its distinct structural phenomena.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Synthesis of ZIF-62. ZIF-62 was synthesized using a solvothermal method similar to those reported in the literature. Imidazole (0.525 g, 7.22 mmol) and benzimidazolate (0.130 g, 1.10 mmol) were mixed with Zn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.8 g, 3.06 mmol) in a solid mixture. The mixture was dissolved in 60 mL of N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and kept under agitation for 30 min. Afterward, the liquid solution was transferred in a Teflon vessel (100 mL) and heated in an autoclave to 403 K with a heating rate of 5 K/min and held at this temperature for 48 h. Once at room temperature, the sample was washed several times with 30 mL of DMF (∼x2) and then with 30 mL of methanol (∼x2). Finally, the sample was exposed to a solvent exchange process with methanol (30 mL/day) for 2 days. To remove the excess methanol, ZIF-62 was filtered and dried in air at 343 K for 2 h. The resulting white solid was activated under dynamic vacuum at 493 K for 8 h. Based on the synthesis molar ratio, the theoretical MOF composition is ZIF-62 [Zn-(imidazolate)ₓ(benzimidazolate)ᵧ]ₓ.

2.2. Synchrotron X-ray Powder Diffraction Analysis (SRXPD). Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction data (SRXPD) were collected on the powder diffraction end station of the MSPD beamline at ALBA synchrotron (Spain). Experiments were performed in the capillary reaction cell (fused silica capillary; inner diameter, 0.7 mm; outer diameter, 0.85 mm), using a MYTHEN detector and a wavelength of 0.4124 Å. SRXPD measurements were performed in the activated ZIF-62 material at 90 K before and after dosing oxygen and nitrogen at ranging pressures (0.3, 0.6, and 1 bar). The samples were equilibrated for 30 min at 1 bar, the measurement was repeated to ensure the stability of the structure, and then the sample temperature was scanned from 90 up to 300 K under constant pressure.

2.3. Physicochemical Characterization. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out in air using a ceramic crucible and a heating ramp of 10 K/min up to 1273 K. All experiments were performed with a TG-DTA METTLER TOLEDO equipment model TG/SDTAS81e/SC/1100.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) studies were performed using a Merlin VP Compact equipment from Zeiss, equipped with an energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) microanalysis system Quantax 400 from Bruker. This equipment has resolutions of 0.8 nm at 15 kV and 1.6 nm at 1 kV. ZIF-62 was kept in methanol until measurement.

N₂ and O₂ isotherms at 77, 195, and 298 K were performed in a homemade fully automated manometric equipment designed and constructed by the LMA group. The samples were previously degassed for 8 h at 493 K under vacuum (10⁻³ Pa). Gas hydrocarbon adsorption isotherms at 298 K were carried out under strict equilibrium conditions (equilibrium points, 10 s; interval time, 30 s; sorption rate limit, 0.01 Torr/min). These measurements were performed in a homemade fully automated manometric device designed by the LMA group and now commercialized by Quantachrome by Anton Paar as Vstar.

2.4. Computational details. Monte Carlo simulations were performed using RASPA software. First, grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations were carried out to compute adsorption isotherms of O₂ and N₂ in ZIF-62 at 77, 195, and 298 K, and to estimate the saturation loading at 0.2 bar and 77, 97, 117, 137, 157, 177, 195, 215, 235, 255, 275, and 298 K. Simulations were arranged in cycles of trial moves, including translations, rotations, insertions, and deletions of molecules. Results for uptake and energy in the system were obtained after 30 000 initialization cycles and 100 000 production cycles. To compare the energies of the system at different temperatures regardless of the uptake, the values of total energy and host–guest interactions were obtained from the corresponding GCMC simulations, divided by the number of molecules adsorbed, and converted from kJ/mol, and from K to kJ/mol. Pore size distribution for ZIF-62 was computed with RASPA and the textural properties with PoreBlazer.

Van der Waals interactions in the system were described by 12-6 Lennard-Jones (LJ) potentials with a cutoff at 12.8 Å, and Lorentz–Berthelot mixing rules were used to calculate adsorbate–adsorbate and adsorbate–adsorbate interactions. Electrostatic interactions were described by Coulomb potentials and computed using Ewald summations. The simulation box was at least twice the cutoff, for which a 2 × 2 × 2 supercell was chosen, and periodic boundary conditions were applied. TraPPE force field was used to model O₂ and N₂ molecules. ZIF-62 was modeled as rigid with its framework.
atoms fixed at their crystallographic positions, which were taken from our own data (see CIF files in the Supporting Information). The LJ parameters and partial charges for the framework atoms of ZIF-62 were derived from previously published force fields for ZIF materials. All of these force field parameters are summarized in Tables S1 and S2, and the description of the models is shown in Figure S1. The input files, models and force field definitions required to repeat these simulations in RASPA and reproduce the computational results are provided as a zip file attached to the Supporting Information.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Physicochemical Characterization of ZIF-62. The quality of the synthesized ZIF-62 crystals (Scheme 1) was evaluated in a first step using synchrotron X-ray diffraction analysis (SXRPD). Figure S2 compares the experimental SXRPD pattern obtained with the simulated one; the excellent match confirms the good quality of the synthesized crystals.

The unit cell parameters of the desolvated material obtained from the Rietveld refinement, with an orthorhombic space group Pbcn, are a = 15.4659(4) Å, b = 15.5981(3) Å, and c = 17.9667(4) Å, with a volume v = 4334.3(2) Å³. ZIF-62 crystals are rather uniform in size (ca. 5–20 μm) with well-defined crystallographic facets arranged in a truncated octahedral shape—i.e., truncated corners within the double pyramid (Figure 1). Figure S3 shows the thermogravimetric analysis of the as-synthesized material, exhibiting a first weight loss of ca. 10% between 400 and 650 K due to the removal of the solvent (mainly due to DMF remaining in the pores, although we cannot exclude some residual methanol) and a second weight loss of ca. 55% between 700 and 1273 K due to the decomposition of the framework. It is important to highlight the high temperature required to remove the DMF compared to other ZIFs such as ZIF-7 and ZIF-4, where activation temperatures between 373 and 423 K are usually sufficient to activate the porosity. The requirement of high temperature for activation is confirmed when pretreating the ZIF-62 sample under ultrahigh-vacuum conditions at either 413 or 493 K for 12 h (Figure S4). In these samples, the complete removal of the solvent is effective only after a pretreatment at 493 K.

3.2. Adsorption of N₂ and O₂ in ZIF-62. We have evaluated the adsorption behavior of ZIF-62 toward N₂ and O₂ at different temperatures. The separation of these two molecules is a challenging process due to their similar size and shape (kinetic diameter for N₂, 0.364 nm, and for O₂, 0.346 nm). Traditionally, their separation using zeolites (e.g., zeolite 13X) and MOFs is based on the faster adsorption kinetics for O₂ over N₂ on rapid pressure swing adsorption units. Figure 2 shows the experimental adsorption isotherms for N₂ and O₂ at 77, 195, and 298 K. At 77 K, ZIF-62 exhibits a large adsorption capacity for O₂ (up to 8 mmol/g at saturation pressure). This value is among the best values reported and predicted in the literature for O₂ adsorption in MOFs. For Cu(BDT) and Humphrey et al. reported up to 6 mmol/g for CUK-1 at 87 K. Interestingly, the performance of ZIF-62 is quite exceptional since the large uptake for O₂ is accompanied by a high selectivity to adsorb O₂ over N₂ at cryogenic temperatures. This selectivity is preserved over the whole relative pressure range evaluated (separation factor, calculated from the O₂/N₂ ratio of amounts adsorbed at p/p₀ = 0.4, above 68). The high separation factor value is among the best reported in the literature so far for O₂/N₂ separation in MOFs at 77 K. Unfortunately, these excellent adsorption properties vanish under less energy-demanding experimental conditions, i.e., at higher temperatures. At 195 K, both molecules exhibit a Type I adsorption isotherm with full accessibility to the inner porous structure, slightly favored for O₂ at 1 bar (1.8 (O₂) vs 1.6 mmol/g (N₂)); separation factor, O₂/N₂ ratio, of 1.14). At 298 K, the preferential adsorption of O₂ vanishes, with a total uptake of 0.15 mmol/g and no selectivity. However, at this point, it is important to highlight that, although under equilibrium conditions both probe molecules exhibit similar accessibility to the inner porous structure at 195 and 298 K, O₂ diffusion is always favored over N₂ (Figure S5). Indeed, the time needed for each point of the isotherm to reach equilibrium is around 2 times larger for N₂ compared to O₂, at least in the low-pressure regime.

The problems of accessibility for nitrogen in ZIFs at 77 K have been widely reported in the literature. For instance, computational studies, in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction and inelastic neutron scattering (INS) studies have shown that, upon nitrogen adsorption, ZIF-8 structure experiences a gate-opening effect through the swinging of the imidazolate linker. In the case of ZIF-7 and ZIF-4, although nitrogen adsorption is kinetically restricted and requires large equilibration times, synchrotron X-ray diffraction measurements have clearly shown a phase transition from a highly dense narrow pore phase to an expanded phase, i.e., these ZIFs are able to breathe. Contrarily to these ZIFs, nitrogen adsorption in ZIF-62 at 77 K is rather zero over the whole pressure range evaluated. Even when the N₂ isotherms are
performed under more strict equilibrium conditions (Figure S6), although nitrogen uptake slightly improves, the obtained values are far from the adsorption capacity for a similar molecule such as O\textsubscript{2}. The size of the crystals is another parameter defining the flexibility in ZIFs.\textsuperscript{43} However, in the case of ZIF-62, a similar adsorption performance is observed after a milling process (Figure S7). In this specific case, N\textsubscript{2} adsorption slightly increases, thus reflecting the kinetic limitations of N\textsubscript{2} to access the inner porous structure in ZIF-62, although the final uptake remains far from the values achieved with O\textsubscript{2}.

In summary, these results show that contrary to ZIF-4 and ZIF-7, the combination of imidazole and benzimidazole linkers in ZIF-62 gives rise to novel adsorption properties, with a complete exclusion of the N\textsubscript{2} molecule from the inner porous structure, while O\textsubscript{2} with a similar kinetic diameter, exhibits a high uptake. Consequently, these effects must not be attributed to any individual linker but rather to the synergy between them.

3.3. Computational Data. Figure S8 shows the available pore space of ZIF-62 and the pore size distribution obtained from the crystallographic data. Simulations show that ZIF-62 structure is characterized by cages of 0.46 nm in diameter interconnected by three narrow windows of 0.14 nm, each of which connects them to adjacent cages. Since the window size is narrower than the size of N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}, we would expect a structural distortion in ZIF-62, at least locally, leading to the swing of the organic linkers and the gate opening that will allow the diffusion of the adsorbates. To get a better insight into the differences between N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2}, we have modeled their adsorption using grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulation. We note here that all of the simulations are done in a rigid model and, due to the nature of GCMC predictions, they do not distinguish between accessible and closed porosity (i.e., a molecule does adsorb if the site is favorable even if the windows to access the porosity are too narrow to allow the molecules to diffuse). Figures S9 and S10 compare the GCMC simulations and experimental isotherms at 77, 195, and 298 K for O\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}, respectively. In the case of O\textsubscript{2}, the simulated isotherms match the experimental isotherms at the three temperatures evaluated, thus validating the model used. However, the scenario changes significantly for N\textsubscript{2}. Although the simulation perfectly predicts the adsorption performance at 195 and 298 K, it overestimates the adsorption performance at 77 K. Indeed, the N\textsubscript{2} predicted uptake at 77 K (ca. 5 and 6 mmol/g at 0.2 and 0.8 bar, respectively) is far from the experimental value (0.43 mmol/g at 0.8 bar). To make this idea clearer, Figure 3 shows the evolution of the GCMC adsorbed amount for N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} when changing the temperature. Based on the simulation, one can appreciate two well-defined stages. In Stage I, above 170 K, the adsorbed amounts of N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} are similar and spans from 170 to 298 K. Stage II (gray area) shows different adsorption behavior for N\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} and spans from 77 to 170 K.
and reaching total uptake of 7.5 mmol/g (O2) and 5.1 mmol/g (N2) at 77 K; this results in a separation factor of 1.5.

Table S3 shows the host—guest and guest—guest contributions to the energy calculated at saturation pressure. According to Figures S9 and S10, the maximum uptake is reached at 1 bar except for oxygen at 77 K, where the saturation pressure is 0.2 bar. In Stage I, where the adsorption performances are comparable and well predicted by the simulation (i.e., 298–195 K), there is no significant difference in the host—guest and guest—guest interactions. In Stage II, while the host—guest interactions are similar—slightly larger for O2 than for N2 (−14.436 kJ/mol, O2 and −14.041 kJ/mol, N2)—the guest—guest interaction is 3.3 times higher for O2 (−1.25 kJ/mol) than for N2 (−0.38 kJ/mol). Although the net contribution of the guest—guest interaction is small compared to the host—guest, the higher interaction between O2 molecules together with a slightly higher interaction between ZIF-62 and O2 is probably the reason for the higher amount adsorbed compared to that of N2.

We further explored the evolution of the energy in the system and the behavior of the two gases adsorbed in ZIF-62. Figure S11 shows the total energy and the host—guest and guest—guest contributions corresponding to the adsorption values shown in Figure 3. It is worth noting that the values of energy are calculated based on the energetic contribution per molecule. Between 150 and 298 K (Stage I), the total energy is mostly coming from the host—guest contributions, being the guest—guest contributions negligible, with the total energy being around 0.84 kJ/mol higher for N2 than for O2. This trend is inverted when the temperature decreases below 150 K (Stage II), and, at 77 K, the total energy of O2 increases to be 0.89 kJ/mol higher than that of N2. At 77 K, the host—guest contributions of N2 and O2 are virtually the same (−14.45 kJ/mol), and the guest—guest contributions are −1.24 and −0.35 kJ/mol for O2 and N2, respectively. In the case of O2, this interaction accounts for an 8% of the total energy and is responsible for compensating the lower host—guest interactions of O2 below 137 K. Even if Monte Carlo simulations do not consider kinetic effects during the adsorption process, they were able to capture key differences during the adsorption of O2 and N2 in ZIF-62. The cumulative difference in the total energy for both gases suggests that the collective effect of the stronger interactions of O2 might be the reason for which it could open the gate of the porosity at 77 K, whereas the weaker interactions of N2 underlie the kinetic problems of N2 to get access the pores of ZIF-62 due to the inability of N2 to promote structural changes in ZIF-62.

### 3.4. Synchrotron X-ray Diffraction Measurements Upon Adsorption.

To evaluate the potential structural changes in ZIF-62 upon adsorption and to understand the performance of N2 and O2 at the evaluated temperatures, we performed synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction (SXRPD) measurements under operando conditions. To this end, we first cooled ZIF-62 down to 90 K and then dosed it with O2 or N2 from low pressures up to 1 bar.

At 90 K and vacuum conditions, the activated ZIF-62 exhibits an orthorhombic structure with \( a = 15.3978(6) \) Å, \( b = 15.4138(6) \) Å, \( c = 17.9555(6) \) Å, and \( \nu = 4261.5(4) \) Å³. These values correspond to a unit cell contraction upon cooling of ca. 1.7%, in close agreement with previous data with ZIF-4.14

Once at 90 K, the reaction cell was pressurized with O2 up to 1 bar. Figure S12 shows the SXRPD patterns of ZIF-62 at 90 K loaded with O2 at different pressures. Upon oxygen incorporation, there is a decrease in the intensity of the main diffraction peaks at 3.0, 3.4, 3.7, 4.0, and 4.5°; besides, new peaks appear as shoulders at 2.9, 3.9, and 4.4°. Figure 4 shows the variation in the unit cell parameters obtained from the Rietveld refinement analysis. The sample exposed to 1 bar pressure of O2 at 90 K shows the presence of two phases, an expanded phase (ZIF-62-ep-O2) with unit cell parameters \( a = 15.6971(1) \) Å, \( b = 15.4701(1) \) Å, \( c = 18.2211(1) \) Å, and \( \nu = 4424.65(5) \) Å³ (solid symbols in Figure 4), and a contracted phase (ZIF-62-cp-O2) with cell parameters \( a = 15.4279(8) \) Å, \( b = 15.4104(7) \) Å, \( c = 17.9604(9) \) Å, and \( \nu = 4270.14(4) \) Å³ (empty symbols in Figure 4). The ZIF-62-ep-O2 corresponds to ca. 3.8% volume expansion upon O2 dosing. These two phases prevail in the low-temperature region up to 140 K, with the ZIF-62-cp-O2 disappearing at higher temperatures (Figures 4 and S13). The remaining phase exhibits a certain contraction down to 75 K (Figure S14), followed by a slight expansion up to 300 K with unit cell parameters \( a = 15.4688(4) \) Å, \( b = 15.5810(4) \) Å, \( c = 17.9484(6) \) Å, and \( \nu = 4325.9(2) \) Å³. These values at 300 K and 1 bar pressure of O2 are rather similar to those described above for the activated ZIF-62 structure at 300 K under vacuum, thus reflecting the scarce uptake of O2 at room temperature in this system, in close agreement with the adsorption isotherms in Figures 2 and S9. The presence of these two regions in ZIF-62 upon dosing 1 bar of O2 (threshold temperature 150 K—see Figure 4) and the disappearance of the ZIF-62-ep-O2 phase above this temperature (at ca. 160–170 K) perfectly correlate with the theoretical predictions (region I and region II), and the large oxygen uptake below 170 K.

We have performed similar experiments for ZIF-62 at 90 K dosing N2 up to 1 bar. Figure S15 shows the SXRPD patterns of ZIF-62 at 90 K loaded with N2 at different pressures. Interestingly, and contrary to the adsorption behavior described in Figure 2, N2 at 90 K is also able to produce significant structural changes in the XRD pattern upon adsorption. Similar to O2, the incorporation of N2 at 90 K and 1 bar gives rise to the development of two phases, the expanded phase (ZIF-62-ep-N2)} with unit cell parameters \( a = 15.3978(6) \) Å, \( b = 15.4138(6) \) Å, \( c = 17.9555(6) \) Å, and \( \nu = 4261.5(4) \) Å³.
The expanded phase observed after N₂ dosing corresponds to a 3.5% unit cell expansion. As described above for O₂, the two phases prevail only at low temperatures, with the contracted phase disappearing at 140 K. The smaller unit cell expansion upon N₂ adsorption is also in correlation with the theoretical predictions for gas uptake (uptake at 90 K, O₂ > N₂).

These results clearly show that the performance of ZIF-62 under operando conditions is rather similar for O₂ and N₂ (although slightly favored for O₂). Figure S14 compares the variation of the unit cell volume for both ZIF-62-ep and ZIF-62-cp during the adsorption of both gases. The accessibility of N₂ to the inner porosity in ZIF-62 at the synchrotron can be explained based on the different experimental conditions used at the synchrotron and at the gas adsorption equipment. These differences include a slightly different adsorption temperature (90 K vs 77 K), a slightly smaller particle size (samples for SXRPD must be finely grounded) and the different dimensions of the reactor (experiments at the synchrotron are performed using a thin capillary reactor). These results confirm that the inaccessibility of nitrogen to the inner porous structure of ZIF-62 at 77 K is not due to a pore size and shape restriction but rather to kinetic limitations due to the nature of the nitrogen− framework interactions. Slightly larger temperatures are needed to overcome these kinetic restrictions, thus promoting an expanded phase able to accommodate both, N₂ and O₂.

### 3.5. Adsorption of Bulkier Molecules: Linear and Branched Hydrocarbons

As described above, although many ZIFs are characterized by small pore apertures (e.g., predicted limiting pore size window for ZIF-4 ≈ 0.21 nm, ZIF-7 ≈ 0.3 nm, and ZIF-8 ≈ 0.34 nm), previous studies have shown that these systems are able to adsorb larger molecules, such as hydrocarbons. A similar scenario could take place in ZIF-62 despite the small pore aperture (0.14 nm) predicted above by GCMC simulations. Despite the importance of hydrocarbon adsorption and separation, to our knowledge, there are no studies in the literature dealing with hydrocarbon adsorption in ZIF-62. The only studies are on its glassy counterpart, a̅gZIF-62, which is able to adsorb linear hydrocarbons (e.g., n-butane) with a significant uptake (up to 0.8 mmol/g). However, this adsorption is irreversible due to the restricted accessibility of n-butane through the reduced pore size aperture of the glass (0.25 nm). These studies have anticipated that a̅gZIF-62 is also able to separate alkanes/alkenes based on the faster diffusion of the unsaturated hydrocarbon. To evaluate the potential of crystalline ZIF-62 to separate hydrocarbons, we performed adsorption isotherms of linear hydrocarbons, methane, ethane, ethylene, propane, propylene, and n-hexane as well as branched ones, 2-methylpentane and 2,2-dimethylbutane. In the case of linear hydrocarbons, we extended these studies to alkane/alkene to observe the effect of the unsaturated double bonds in the adsorption process. Figure 6 shows the adsorption isotherms of ZIF-62 at 298 K and up to atmospheric pressure. According to Figure 6a,b, all linear hydrocarbons are able to access the inner cavities in ZIF-62, independently of the kinetic diameter of the probe molecule (up to 0.43 nm for propane). This observation reinforces the hypothesis that the lack of accessibility for nitrogen at 77 K is not due to pore size inaccessibility but rather to kinetic restrictions due to the impossibility of N₂ to promote the expanded phase at 77 K. As expected, the adsorption uptake for hydrocarbon increases with the number of carbon atoms in the aliphatic chain from C₁ (∼0.6 mmol/g) up to C₃ (∼1.4 mmol/g) at 1 bar and 298 K. This behavior has also been observed for other porous materials, and it is attributed to the lower condensation pressure of C₃ hydrocarbons vs their lower molecular mass counterparts, such as methane. Taking a closer look at the C₂ series, the experimental results show that ethane exhibits a higher uptake compared to ethylene over the whole pressure range evaluated. The preferential adsorption of the saturated hydrocarbon can be associated with its slightly larger kinetic diameter (∼0.2 Å) compared to its unsaturated counterpart, thus having a better fitting in the ZIF cavities and a higher adsorption energy.

This phenomenon has also been observed in other ZIF materials, and it has been attributed to different reasons such as framework flexibility, cavity size, packing of the adsorbed molecules in the inner porosity of the ZIFs, and the presence of weak van der Waals interactions between the saturated hydrocarbon and the imidazole-based linker. In fact, previous studies for alkane/alkene adsorption have shown that the lack of open metal centers and charged surface groups in ZIFs promote the adsorption of the more polarizable paraffins through nonspecific interactions. Interestingly, the diffusion of the C₂ hydrocarbons follows an opposite trend to the uptake, the smaller alkene (ethylene) exhibiting a shorter equilibration time (faster diffusion), in close agreement with previous results in a̅gZIF-62 (Figure S17 reflects the time needed for each point of the isotherm to reach equilibrium, i.e., equilibrium time at the manifold vs pressure). However, the adsorption uptake for hydrocarbons is much larger in the parent ZIF-62 compared to its glass counterpart. Only propane, with a larger chain length and a larger kinetic diameter, experience some limitations to access the porosity, with the adsorption isotherm exhibiting unexpected steps (e.g., at p = 0.1−0.2 bar) and marked irreversibility. The different performance of propane is also reflected in a reversed propane/propylene selectivity (separation factor <1), compared to
ethane/ethylene (separation factor >1). Ethane/ethylene adsorption capacity at 298 K for ZIF-62 (ca. 1.3/1.2 mmol/g) resembles other ZIFs reported in the literature such as ZIF-7 (ca. 1.8/1.8 mmol/g), ZIF-4 (ca. 2.2/2.1 mmol/g), and ZIF-8 (ca. 2/1 mmol/g). However, it is exceeded by other MOFs with Lewis sites (LS) or open metal sites (OMS) MOFs such as Mg-CPO-27 (ca. 5/6.5 mmol/g), HKUST-1 (7/7.8 mmol/g), MIL-100 (Fe) (ca. 5/4 mmol/g), Ni(btzip)-(H₂btzip)·2DMF·2H₂O (ca. 3.7/3.1 mmol/g), and Co-(btzip)-(H₂btzip)·2DMF·2H₂O (ca. 3.5/3.4 mmol/g). However, these groups of decorated MOFs present challenges in terms of complex synthesis methods, use of dangerous chlorohydrin acid, and limited water stability.

In the case of longer hydrocarbons (Figure 6c), n-hexane exhibits severe problems to access the inner cavities in ZIF-62, showing an irreversible hysteresis loop. The monobranched C₆ isomer (2-methylpentane; kinetic diameter, 0.50 nm) exhibits a sudden decrease in the amount uptake (down to ≈0.5 mmol/g), whereas the dibranched isomer (2,2-dimethylbutane; kinetic diameter, 0.62 nm) is mainly excluded from the porosity. These results confirm that the pore size aperture and pore accessibility of ZIF-62 are larger than the crystallographic pore opening predicted by Monte Carlo (0.14 nm) and close to the kinetic diameter of C₂−C₆ saturated hydrocarbons, i.e., ca. 0.43 nm. The unexpected accessibility for bulkier hydrocarbons and the variation of the pressure threshold for each individual molecule (C₁−C₂−C₃ selectivity and alkane/alkene selectivity) can only be understood due to the presence of specific interactions between the hydrocarbon molecules and the ZIF-62 framework at 298 K with the associated structural changes and, in particular, to a potential breathing effect from a narrow pore to an expanded phase, as anticipated by synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction measurements for N₂ and O₂.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Structural changes taking place in nanoporous ZIF-62 upon gas adsorption and the associated benefits for the selective adsorption of different probe molecules have been carefully evaluated through the combination of single-component gas adsorption measurements, including synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction and Monte Carlo simulations. Experimental results show that the nanoporous mixed-linker ZIF-62 is able to adsorb a considerable amount of O₂ (up to 8 mmol/g at 77 K),
whereas N₂, under the same experimental conditions, is not able to access the inner porous structure. In situ synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction measurements confirm that ZIF-62 experiences a breathing effect upon gas adsorption from a narrow pore structure (np) to an expanded (ep) network (ca. 3.8% volume expansion) at 90 K. The similarity in the structural changes for O₂ and N₂ suggests that the gas-framework interactions at 77 K define the adsorption performance for these two probe molecules, with these kinetic restrictions for N₂ vanishing at 90 K. Although theoretical predictions anticipate a pore size window of 0.14 nm in ZIF-62, single-component gas adsorption measurements show that linear and even monobranched hydrocarbons are indeed able to access the porosity, in close agreement with the structural changes (structural expansion) predicted by SXRPD measurements.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsanm.1c00010. Computational details, synchrotron X-ray diffraction patterns and Rietveld refinement calculations, thermogravimetric analysis, and adsorption isotherms: experimental and simulated (PDF). Input files for RASPA, models for adsorbates, force field definition, and crystallographic data for ZIF-62 (ZIP).
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NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

This paper was published ASAP on March 19, 2021 with an incorrect image for Scheme 1. The error was corrected and the revised manuscript was reposted on March 26, 2021.