

# Urban landscape selection by Eurasian collared dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*) in eastern Spain

## Selección del paisaje urbano de la tórtola turca (*Streptopelia decaocto*) en el este de España

Alan Omar Bermúdez-Cavero <sup>1,3\*</sup> | Edgar Bernat-Ponce <sup>1</sup> | José Antonio Gil-Delgado <sup>1</sup> | Germán Manuel López-Iborra <sup>2</sup>

- Recibido: 12/Nov/2019
- Aceptado: 28/Oct/2020
- Publicación en línea: 03/Nov/2020

**Citación:** Bermúdez-Cavero A, Bernat-Ponce E, Gil-Delgado J, López-Iborra G. 2021. Urban landscape selection by Eurasian collared dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*) in eastern Spain. *Caldasia* 43(1):138-148. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.15446/caldasia.v43n1.82214>.

### ABSTRACT

The Eurasian collared dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*) is an invasive species, and its distribution is continuously on the increase. Today its expansion has spread to the American continent. In this study we describe the effects of the urban environment on the Eurasian collared dove in eastern Spain. The abundance and presence of this dove were analyzed in 46 localities using counting points. Overall, 220-point counts were surveyed between autumn 2015 and late-winter 2016. A hierarchical partitioning analysis was used to identify explanatory variables of different types such as resources, climate and urban structure, and human presence, which may influence the presence and abundance of this species. In the case of presence, the number of town inhabitants, parks, mean minimum temperature, exotic vegetation and schools had a positive association, while pedestrian number and restaurants had a negative association. Abundance was positively related to native vegetation, exotic vegetation, and water, while urban area was negatively associated with it. Exotic vegetation was the only variable that has a positive relation to presence and abundance. These results can help to predict the use of urban habitats in potential localities for its invasion.

**Keywords.** Distribution expansion, habitat selection, parks, urbanization

<sup>1</sup> Instituto Cavanilles de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva. Universidad de Valencia. c/Catedrático José Beltrán 2, 46980, Paterna, Valencia, España. [alan.bermudez@unsch.edu.pe](mailto:alan.bermudez@unsch.edu.pe); [edgar.bernat@uv.es](mailto:edgar.bernat@uv.es); [jose.a.gil@uv.es](mailto:jose.a.gil@uv.es)

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Ecología/IMEM Ramón Margalef. Universidad de Alicante. Apto. 99, 03080 Alicante, España. [german.lopez@ua.es](mailto:german.lopez@ua.es)

<sup>3</sup> Laboratorio de Biología y Genética, Universidad Nacional de San Cristóbal de Huamanga. Av. Independencia s/n, 05001 Ayacucho, Perú.

\* Corresponding author



## RESUMEN

La tórtola turca (*Streptopelia decaocto*) es una especie invasora y su ámbito de distribución aumenta continuamente. Hoy en día, su expansión incluye el continente americano. En este estudio se describen los efectos que el ambiente urbano tiene sobre la tórtola turca en el este de España. Se muestrearon 46 localidades mediante puntos de conteo. La abundancia de la tórtola turca fue evaluada en 220 puntos de conteo entre otoño de 2015 y finales de invierno 2016. Se utilizó el análisis de partición jerárquica para identificar variables explicativas como recursos, clima y estructura urbana, y presencia humana, que pueden influir en su presencia y abundancia. En el caso de la presencia de la especie, el número de habitantes de la ciudad, parques, temperatura media, vegetación exótica y colegios tuvieron una asociación positiva, mientras que el número de peatones y los restaurantes estuvieron negativamente asociados. La abundancia estuvo positivamente relacionada con la vegetación nativa, vegetación exótica y el agua, mientras que la zona urbana estuvo negativamente asociada. Estos resultados pueden predecir el uso de hábitats urbanos en localidades potenciales para su invasión.

**Palabras clave.** Expansión de distribución, parques, selección de hábitat, urbanización

## INTRODUCTION

The extent of urban areas is increasing worldwide and most of the human population now lives in towns and cities (Johnson and Munshi-South 2017). Urbanization has a strong impact on natural habitats and resources (Murgui and Hedblom 2017), and such impact can have effects on not only species distribution and abundance, but also on the composition of biological communities (Lancaster and Rees 1979, Dowd 1992). For instance, the introduction of tree brown snake (*Boiga irregularis*) was responsible for forest bird extinction on Guam Island (Savidge 1987); and the release of pig, black rat and other species on islands have had a negative effect on endemic species (Blackburn *et al.* 2009, Donald *et al.* 2010).

Urban populations are expected to rapidly grow in the future (United Nations Organization 2012), and this trend suggests that their activities will substantially transform natural habitats to meet a growing population's demands with a higher levels of resources consumption (Hutton and Leader-Williams 2003). Therefore, the emergence of new small urban areas, near big towns and cities, would be an ideal environment to facilitate the expansion of the Eurasian collared dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*). This species came from South-Western Asia (Baptista *et al.* 1997) and has expanded through Europe due to anthropic corridors that

facilitate invasions according to processes that have been known for more than 40 years (Udvardy 1969, Blackburn *et al.* 2009). Climate and biogeographic changes have also been proved to play a role in the expansion of this species (Ziska and Dukes 2014). For example, at the beginning of the twentieth century in Europe, increased rainfall weakened the North Balkan barrier and opened the way for the Eurasian collared-dove to expand from Turkey (Bernis *et al.* 1985) and its spread since the 1930s (Hengeveld and Van den Bosch 1991).

This species is one of the most successful invasive birds for its ability to travel long distances, and also due to its multi-brooding behavior (Bernis *et al.* 1985). It is believed to have arrived in the Iberian Peninsula from France (Bernis *et al.* 1985). In Spain, the first individuals were observed in Asturias in 1960, and rapidly spread along the Cantabrian coast by crossing the central part of the Spain and eventually colonizing the Mediterranean coast (Rocha-Camarero and de Trucios 2002). It has recently colonized North America (Hengeveld 1993, Fujisaki *et al.* 2010), and it has been postulated that this species expansion will continue in this continent, including Central and South America (Romagosa and Labisky 2000, Fujisaki *et al.* 2010).

The link between the Eurasian collared-dove and urban areas has been known for centuries (Hengeveld 1993, Romagosa and Labisky 2000). However, studies about its preferences in urban landscapes are scarce. In Great Britain, the Eurasian collared-dove is linked to populated zones, especially parks with trees of the genus *Pinus*, which provide food resources (Coombs *et al.* 1981). This species avoids areas where intensive agriculture takes place (Hudson 1972) but, in Spain, it inhabits other environments, such as the dehesas. Spanish dehesas are wooded open savannas where the main tree species are the holm oak evergreen tree (*Quercus ilex*) and cork oak tree (*Quercus suber*). This habitat is used by domestic herds (Rocha-Camarero and de Trucios 2002, Gaspar *et al.* 2009, Oviedo *et al.* 2013).

Analyzing the characteristics of the urban landscape used by this species would be useful to determine which factors influence the presence and abundance of the Eurasian collared dove in colonized towns and cities of Europe. Given the prediction that this species will keep expanding, this study aims to determine the most important factors that could favor Eurasian collared-dove expansion in its non-native environment. At the same time, we discuss the Eurasian collared-dove's microhabitat preferences in anthropic areas that, to our knowledge, have been poorly studied.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was carried out in 46 localities within the geographical framework of the Valencia Region in eastern Spain (37°51' - 40°47' North and 02°09' West - 04°12' East; Table 1; Fig. 1), which occupies the central sector of eastern Iberian Peninsula and covers an area of 23,255 km<sup>2</sup> (Murgui 2001, Aguilera *et al.* 2010).

The abundance and presence of the dove were determined using point counts in urban and periurban areas of the 46 pre-selected localities. Then, for five minutes after a one-minute settlement period, Eurasian collared-doves were counted once in 220-point counts without regarding distance. This number of point counts was randomly distributed in the set of localities according to their area and human population (Table 1). The distance between count points was at least 200 m. Counting periods started at sunrise and lasted for 3 h. Birds were recorded by both sight and sound (Hutto *et al.* 1986, Kasprzykowski and Goławski 2009). These point counts were carried out from autumn 2015 to late winter 2016 (before the 2016 breeding season) by one observer per point count. Point counts were carried out by four independent observers who followed the same census protocol (Table 1).


**Table 1.** Demographic (INE c2016), geographic (SigPac c2016), and climate (WCD c2016) information of the 46 selected localities of Eastern Spain to study the presence and abundance of the Eurasian collared-dove. Observers were: Alan Bermúdez (AB), José Antonio Gil-Delgado (JGD), Edgar Bernat (EB), Iván Rodríguez (I).

| Locality                 | Total Point Counts (observer) | Altitude (m) | Area (Km <sup>2</sup> ) | Number of inhabitants | Mean minimum annual temperature (°C) | Average annual precipitation (mm) | Survey zone     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Devesa*               | 2 (AB)                        | 0            | 0.21                    | 0                     | 7                                    | 427                               | Periurban       |
| 2. El Saler              | 2 (AB)                        | 3            | 8.2                     | 1699                  | 7                                    | 427                               | Urban           |
| 3. Muntanyeta dels Sants | 3 (AB; JGD)                   | 27           | 0.1                     | 0                     | 7                                    | 427                               | Periurban       |
| 4. Vinarós               | 7 (JGD)                       | 7            | 95.5                    | 28190                 | 5.7                                  | 515                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 5. Morella *             | 4 (JGD)                       | 984          | 413.5                   | 2575                  | 0                                    | 570                               | Urban           |
| 6. Villores*             | 2 (JGD)                       | 661          | 5.3                     | 37                    | 0.5                                  | 516                               | Urban           |
| 7. Forcall*              | 3 (JGD)                       | 699          | 39.2                    | 476                   | 1.2                                  | 497                               | Urban           |
| 8. Sant Mateu            | 5 (JGD)                       | 322          | 64.6                    | 1995                  | 3.9                                  | 518                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 9. L'Eliana              | 6 (JGD)                       | 93           | 8.8                     | 17436                 | 6.1                                  | 433                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 10. Pobla de Vallbona    | 7 (JGD)                       | 102          | 33.1                    | 22994                 | 6                                    | 424                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 11. Liria                | 7 (JGD)                       | 164          | 228                     | 22745                 | 5.5                                  | 424                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 12. Onil                 | 5 (EB)                        | 697          | 48.4                    | 7548                  | 2.3                                  | 480                               | Urban/Periurban |

(Continued)

**Table 1.** Demographic (INE [c2016](#)), geographic (SigPac [c2016](#)), and climate (WCD [c2016](#)) information of the 46 selected localities of Eastern Spain to study the presence and abundance of the Eurasian collared-dove. Observers were: Alan Bermúdez (AB), José Antonio Gil-Delgado (JGD), Edgar Bernat (EB), Iván Rodríguez (I)..

| Locality  | Total Point Counts (observer) | Altitude (m) | Area (Km <sup>2</sup> ) | Number of inhabitants | Mean minimum annual temperature (°C) | Average annual precipitation (mm) | Survey zone     |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 13. Benilloba   | 3 (EB)                        | 520          | 9.5                     | 786                   | 3.4                                  | 508                               | Periurban       |
| 14. Banyeres  | 5 (EB)                        | 816          | 50.3                    | 7155                  | 1.6                                  | 519                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 15. Alcoi   | 8 (EB)                        | 562          | 129.9                   | 59567                 | 3.2                                  | 493                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 16. Cocentaina  | 6 (EB)                        | 430          | 52.9                    | 11406                 | 3.9                                  | 480                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 17. Chiva*  | 6 (AB; JGD)                   | 270          | 178.7                   | 15004                 | 4.5                                  | 429                               | Urban           |
| 18. Utiel   | 6 (AB; JGD)                   | 720          | 236.9                   | 11915                 | 1.1                                  | 438                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 19. Requena   | 7 (AB; JGD)                   | 692          | 814.2                   | 20621                 | 1.7                                  | 437                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 20. Titaguas*   | 3 (AB; JGD)                   | 720          | 63.2                    | 473                   | 0.6                                  | 446                               | Urban           |
| 21. Chelva  | 4 (AB; JGD)                   | 475          | 190.6                   | 1446                  | 3                                    | 401                               | Urban           |
| 22. Losa del Obispo   | 3 (AB; JGD)                   | 520          | 12.2                    | 502                   | 3.6                                  | 409                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 23. Burjassot   | 8 (AB)                        | 59           | 3.4                     | 37546                 | 6.7                                  | 442                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 24. Torrent   | 9 (AB)                        | 49           | 69.3                    | 80107                 | 6.5                                  | 440                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 25. Valencia  | 16 (AB)                       | 15           | 136.4                   | 786189                | 6.8                                  | 445                               | Urban           |
| 26. Viver   | 4 (I)                         | 559          | 49.9                    | 1558                  | 2.8                                  | 450                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 27. Pina de Montalgrao*   | 2 (I)                         | 1039         | 31.6                    | 129                   | 0                                    | 522                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 28. Barracas*   | 2 (I)                         | 981          | 42.2                    | 181                   | 0.1                                  | 507                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 29. Azuébar*  | 2 (I)                         | 298          | 23.4                    | 325                   | 4.8                                  | 453                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 30. Chóvar*   | 2 (I)                         | 415          | 18.3                    | 327                   | 3.8                                  | 483                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 31. Aín*  | 2 (I)                         | 498          | 12.3                    | 131                   | 3.5                                  | 480                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 32. Altura  | 4 (I)                         | 391          | 129.5                   | 3647                  | 4                                    | 446                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 33. Segorbe   | 5 (I)                         | 368          | 106.1                   | 9073                  | 4.2                                  | 441                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 34. Port Sagunt   | 5 (AB; JGD)                   | 0            | 3.61                    | 40842                 | 6.4                                  | 441                               | Urban           |
| 35. Sagunt  | 3 (AB; JGD)                   | 49           | 132.4                   | 64944                 | 6.4                                  | 441                               | Urban           |
| 36. Canet de Berenguer  | 5 (AB; JGD)                   | 10           | 38                      | 6426                  | 6.7                                  | 441                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 37. Canals  | 6 (JGD)                       | 160          | 21.8                    | 13628                 | 5.4                                  | 426                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 38. Navarres  | 4 (JGD)                       | 275          | 47                      | 3104                  | 4.6                                  | 433                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 39. Sumacàrcer*   | 4 (JGD)                       | 45           | 20.1                    | 1168                  | 5.9                                  | 408                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 40. Xàtiva  | 7 (JGD)                       | 115          | 76.6                    | 29095                 | 5.8                                  | 431                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 41. Quesa   | 3 (JGD)                       | 200          | 73.2                    | 690                   | 5                                    | 412                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 42. Vallada*  | 4 (JGD)                       | 300          | 61.5                    | 3121                  | 4.5                                  | 421                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 43. Faura   | 4 (AB; JGD)                   | 27           | 1.2                     | 3477                  | 6.5                                  | 433                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 44. Benavites   | 3 (AB; JGD)                   | 36           | 4.3                     | 626                   | 6.5                                  | 435                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 45. Benicarló   | 7 (AB; JGD)                   | 21           | 47.9                    | 26403                 | 5.7                                  | 508                               | Urban/Periurban |
| 46. Peñíscola   | 5 (AB; JGD)                   | 46           | 79                      | 7444                  | 5.9                                  | 499                               | Urban/Periurban |
| <b>*Localities with no presence of the Eurasian collared-dove</b> |                               |              |                         |                       |                                      |                                   |                 |



**Figure 1.** Sampled localities to study the urban landscape selection of the Eurasian collared dove in eastern Spain. Numbers correspond to the localities identity found in Table 1.

The geographical coordinates and altitude of each point count were obtained from SigPac (SigPac [c2016](#)), while mean minimum temperature and rainfall were obtained from World Climate Data (WCD [c2016](#)). The area and human population of each locality were obtained from the Spanish National Statistics Office (INE [c2016](#)).

Two types of areas in towns and cities were sampled based on type of buildings, traffic and pedestrian traffic. Urban areas were characterized by the presence of commercial and residential buildings with more than five floors, public green areas and continuous traffic (vehicles and pedestrians), while periurban areas were composed of detached and semidetached residential houses with private gardens, less traffic where vehicles and pedestrians are more occasional (Supplementary Material Photography 1).

Eighteen explanatory variables were estimated within a 50-meter radius from the point counts to detect those factors with a positive or negative association with the presence and abundance of the Eurasian collared-dove. Explanatory variables were grouped into three categories: resources, climate and urban structure, and human presence (Table 2).

### Statistical analysis

Hierarchical partitioning (HP) analysis (Walsh and Mac Nally [c2015](#)) was used to assess the variables that best explained the presence and abundance of Eurasian collared doves. HP is used in ecological analysis to find environmental key factors for the presence or abundance of species (López-Iborra *et al.* [2011](#); Bernat-Ponce *et al.* [2018](#)). HP

fits all the possible regression models for a group of predictor variables, and estimates the independent effect ( $I$ ) of each predictor on the response variable and percentage ( $I\%$ ) of the total  $I$  of the variable group (Chevan and Sutherland [1991](#), Mac Nally [2000](#), Quinn and Keough [2002](#)). This work applied logistic regression and log-likelihood as goodness-of-fit measures for presence HP. For abundance data HP, Poisson regressions were used and  $R^2$  as the goodness-of-fit measure (Jongman *et al.* [1995](#)). In both cases, the significance of effects was determined with 999 randomizations (Mac Nally [2002](#)). For a significant contribution of the variable, the observed  $I$  had to be over the 95 percentile with Z-scores  $\geq 1.64$  (Hallstan *et al.* [2010](#)). HP analyses were carried out using the “hierpart” package (Walsh and Mac Nally [c2015](#)) in RStudio 3.5.1 (RStudio Team [c2018](#)).

A separate HP was performed for each group of variables. Then an HP analysis was performed which included the variables that were significant in the analyses per group. Since all groups included less than nine variables, HP analysis were not affected by the errors produced when more variables were analyzed (Walsh and Mac Nally [c2015](#)). The abundance HP analysis only included those localities ( $n = 33$  localities: 119-point counts) with the presence of Eurasian collared-dove. A spatial term was included in all the models as a cubic function of the coordinates to control the effect of spatial autocorrelation (Legendre [1993](#)). The directionality of the effect of each variable was obtained from univariate logistic or Poisson regression for the presence or abundance models, respectively.

To detect multicollinearity, the variance inflation factor (VIF) in each variable group was calculated using the ‘vif’ function of the package ‘car’ (Fox and Weisberg [2019](#)). Multicollinearity was considered high when  $VIF > 5$  (Zuur *et al.* [2010](#)). Altitude and mean minimum annual temperature correlated highly ( $VIF > 5$ ) in the presence and abundance analyses and, consequently, altitude was not included in the HP analyses. Considering that mean minimum annual temperature was not the same at the same altitude at different latitudes, we believed that it had more biological sense to include temperature in the analyses.

## RESULTS

The species was detected in 33 out of 46 of the studied localities and 54.1 % of point counts ( $n = 220$ ). The number

**Table 2.** Variables used in habitat description, included in the hierarchical partitioning analysis. The code names used in Table 3 are in brackets.

| Category                    | Variable   |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Resources                   | Presence/absence of parks (Parks)  |
|                             | Presence/absence of fountain water (Water)   |
|                             | Presence/absence of restaurants (Restaurants)  |
|                             | Presence/absence of schools (Schools)  |
|                             | Presence/absence of roundabouts (Roundabouts)  |
|                             | Presence/absence of native vegetation (shrubs/trees) (NatVeg)                          |
|                             | Presence/absence of exotic vegetation (shrubs/trees) (ExVeg)                           |
|                             | Presence/absence of crops (Crops)  |
| Climate and urban structure | Altitude above sea level (m) (Altitude)  |
|                             | Urban/suburban area (Urban area)   |
|                             | Town surface (km <sup>2</sup> ) (Surface)  |
|                             | Mean minimum annual temperature (°C) (Temperature)                                     |
|                             | Mean annual precipitation (mm) (Precipitation)   |
|                             | Average number of floors of the eight closest buildings to the point count (Buildings) |
| Human presence              | Average number of pedestrians entering the evaluation radius per minute (Pedestrians)  |
|                             | Average number of vehicles entering the evaluation radius per minute (Traffic)         |
|                             | Number of inhabitants in the town (Inhabitants)  |
|                             | Number of cars parked in the evaluation radius (ParkedCars)                            |

of Eurasian collared doves counted in points with presence ranged between 0 and 36.

The HP analysis showed that at least one variable in each group had a significant positive association with the presence of the Eurasian collared dove (Table 3). The presence HP analysis showed in the resources group that the positive and significant variables were parks, schools, and exotic vegetation. In the climate and urban structure group, only mean minimum annual temperature had a positive and significant relation. In the human factors group, town/city population was the only significant and positive variable. Of all the analyses, only restaurant presence (resources), and pedestrian traffic (human presence) had a negative and significant relation (Table 3). The combined HP analysis revealed that seven variables and the spatial term were significant. Apart from the spatial term, population in towns/cities and park presence explained approximately the 30 % of the deviance on the presence of Eurasian collared dove (Table 4). Exotic vegetation and restaurants had relatively small but significant contributions according to their results in final model.

The same analysis in relation to abundance identified three significant variables with positive associations with the Eurasian collared dove in the resources group (water, native vegetation, exotic vegetation) (Table 3). The urban area variable (climate and urban structure) was negative (Table 3) while the variables related with the human presence group had not effect on the abundance of Eurasian collared-dove. The final combined HP showed that exotic and native vegetation gave the highest independent contributions and together explained 35 % in deviance (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

In our study area Eurasian collared-doves inhabit urban and periurban landscapes, which agrees with previous studies in other countries (Coombs *et al.* 1981, Cramp 1985, Hengeveld 1993, Blair 1996, Romagosa and Labisky 2000). Further, it has a clear preference for green areas, such as parks and urban areas with native and exotic vegetation. This preference can be explained by the species nesting in trees and feeding especially on the ground

**Table 3.** Results of the hierarchical partitioning analysis performed for each group of variables with the presence and abundance data of Eurasian collared dove in eastern Spain. Individual contributions of variables to explain response variables are expressed as %. Significant contributions ( $p < 0.05$ ) are indicated by \*. The directions of the relation are shown only for the significant variables.

| Variable                           | Presence |       |          |           | Abundance |       |          |           |
|------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|----------|-----------|
|                                    | I        | %I    | Z.score  | Direction | I         | %I    | Z.score  | Direction |
| <b>Resources</b>                   |          |       |          |           |           |       |          |           |
| Parks                              | 5.26     | 13.87 | 6.89***  | +         | 0.02      | 5.22  | 0.68     |           |
| Restaurants                        | 3.56     | 9.38  | 3.65***  | -         | 0.02      | 6.71  | 0.94     |           |
| Water                              | 0.24     | 0.62  | -0.44    |           | 0.05      | 16.05 | 3.72***  | +         |
| Schools                            | 4.44     | 11.71 | 5.25***  | +         | 0.002     | 0.65  | -0.53    |           |
| Roundabouts                        | 0.14     | 0.36  | -0.49    |           | 0.01      | 1.80  | -0.19    |           |
| NatVeg                             | 1.56     | 4.11  | 1.46     |           | 0.05      | 17.07 | 3.85***  | +         |
| ExVeg                              | 3.42     | 9.01  | 3.62***  | +         | 0.05      | 16.68 | 3.52***  | +         |
| Crops                              | 0.57     | 1.52  | 0.06     |           | 0.01      | 1.95  | -0.17    |           |
| SpatialTerm                        | 18.73    | 49.42 | 26.08*** |           | 0.10      | 33.88 | 8.53     |           |
| %Dev                               | 24.98    |       |          |           | 37.39     |       |          |           |
| <b>Climate and urban structure</b> |          |       |          |           |           |       |          |           |
| Surface                            | 0.63     | 2.84  | 0.13     |           | 0.004     | 2.11  | -0.32    |           |
| Temperature                        | 5.03     | 22.51 | 5.77***  | +         | 0.01      | 8.08  | 0.52     |           |
| Precipitation                      | 0.6      | 2.7   | 0.16     |           | 0.01      | 2.87  | -0.27    |           |
| Buildings                          | 0.26     | 1.16  | -0.33    |           | 0.01      | 6.24  | 0.26     |           |
| Urban area                         | 0.95     | 4.25  | 0.57     |           | 0.04      | 21.06 | 2.72**   | -         |
| SpatialTerm                        | 14.89    | 66.55 | 19.35*** |           | 0.11      | 59.64 | 9.07***  |           |
| %Dev                               | 14.74    |       |          |           | 22.71     |       |          |           |
| <b>Human presence</b>              |          |       |          |           |           |       |          |           |
| Pedestrians                        | 4.16     | 13.02 | 4.85***  | -         | 0.018     | 10.75 | 0.9      |           |
| ParkedCars                         | 1.3      | 4.06  | 1.23     |           | 0.007     | 3.83  | -0.13    |           |
| Traffic                            | 0.63     | 1.97  | 0.16     |           | 0.012     | 6.93  | 0.22     |           |
| Inhabitants                        | 8.45     | 26.41 | 10.22*** | +         | 0.016     | 9.40  | 0.61     |           |
| SpatialTerm                        | 17.44    | 54.54 | 26.51*** |           | 0.117     | 69.09 | 10.43*** |           |
| %Dev                               | 21.07    |       |          |           |           |       |          |           |

%Dev is the percentage of deviance accounted for in a logistic regression (presence) or Poisson (abundance) model including all the variables.

of green areas (Coombs *et al.* 1981). Urban parks are usually associated with tree presence. Furthermore, exotic vegetation revealed a positive association with both dove presence and abundance and it is often composed by trees which are suitable for nesting and roosting. This kind of vegetation is always linked to artificial habitats managed

by humans, especially in private gardens that are part of the suburban environment.

The coastal zones of our study area have a climate with warm temperatures during summer and in winter these temperatures are mild (Rivas-Martínez 1987, Pérez-Cueva 1994).

**Table 4.** Results of the combined hierarchical partitioning analysis for the presence and abundance data of Eurasian collared dove in eastern Spain. Contributions of variables are expressed as %.  $p < 0.05$  indicated by \*. Signs are shown only for the significant variables. Variables with no values were not included in the combined analyses because were not significant in the previous HP analyses by groups.

| Variable    | Presence |       |          |           |             | Abundance |       |         |           |
|-------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|
|             | I        | %I    | Z.score  | Direction |             | I         | %I    | Z.score | Direction |
| Inhabitants | 6.98     | 14.72 | 9.19***  | +         | NatVeg      | 0.04      | 15.30 | 2.94**  | +         |
| Parks       | 6.63     | 13.98 | 8.09***  | +         | ExVeg       | 0.06      | 19.85 | 3.83*** | +         |
| Temperature | 4.65     | 9.79  | 6.12***  | +         | Water       | 0.05      | 16.54 | 3.18*** | +         |
| Schools     | 5.45     | 11.48 | 6.72***  | +         | Urban area  | 0.04      | 13.60 | 2.61**  | -         |
| Pedestrians | 5.84     | 12.30 | 6.73***  | -         | SpatialTerm | 0.10      | 34.72 | 7.74*** |           |
| Restaurants | 2.53     | 5.32  | 2.79**   | -         | %Dev        | 35.00     |       |         |           |
| ExVeg       | 2.86     | 6.02  | 3.34***  | +         |             |           |       |         |           |
| SpatialTerm | 12.52    | 26.38 | 15.99*** |           |             |           |       |         |           |
| %Dev        | 31.26    |       |          |           |             |           |       |         |           |

%Dev is the percentage of deviance accounted for in a logistic regression (presence) or Poisson (abundance) model, including all the variables.

However, the mean minimum temperature decreases when altitude increases and both variables, altitude and mean minimum annual temperature were highly negatively correlated. The expansion of the Eurasian collared-dove is associated with specific environmental gradients, such as temperature and precipitation (Bled *et al.* 2011). Our study showed that the presence of the Eurasian collared dove is restricted by the mean minimum annual temperature in the studied localities, and is less likely to establish in low temperatures (Altwegg *et al.* 2008). Meteorological variables, such as precipitation, is a negative predictor for its presence in USA (Fujisaki *et al.* 2010, Bled *et al.* 2011, Scheidt and Hurlbert 2014). However, this variable was not important in our study, which was characterized by a Mediterranean climate, where precipitations are scarce and irregular, and temperatures are warmer (Pérez-Cueva 1994). Thus, in warmer and drier areas, precipitation has no effect and, thus, temperature could have a stronger influence on presence.

Birds respond differently to landscape characteristics (Villegas and Garitano-Zavala 2010). In urbanized areas, green areas are associated with parks and avenues (Fernández-Juricic 2000, González-Oreja *et al.* 2012, Aram *et al.* 2019), while in suburban areas there are not only parks, but also many private gardens. Therefore, the surface covered by vegetation increases in these suburban areas, where vegetation is a mixture of both native

and exotic vegetation (Bernat-Ponce *et al.* 2018) which would explain the relevance of these areas for the species abundance. Further, the presence of trees provides the necessary places for Eurasian collared-doves to build nests (Coombs *et al.* 1981).

Cities and towns with a bigger human population increase the probability of finding Eurasian collared doves. A larger human population is associated with larger cities and towns, which also means more periurban areas and number of schools (Giménez García *et al.* 2018), which are variables that positively associated with the presence of doves, respectively. Schools are potential resources suppliers in urban areas because of the food scraps left by children in playgrounds. Moreover, big cities contain more parks, avenues and other types of green areas (Russo and Cirella 2018). However, the probability of finding Eurasian-collared doves in areas with heavier pedestrian traffic and restaurants is reduced. Areas with a heavier pedestrian traffic coincide with those areas where buildings, restaurants and commercial centers are more concentrated, which diminish available area, increase disturbances, and limit access to natural food and shelter resources. The negative link of restaurants with Eurasian collared-doves presence could be a consequence of increased pedestrian traffic.

The success of invasive species, especially in arid areas like the Mediterranean Region, is limited by lack of water



(Macmillen 1962, George 1975, Taylor *et al.* 2006). Thus, an additional water resource, especially during warm seasons, must supply their daily water requirements. Our results showed that water presence had a positive association with Eurasian collared-dove abundance. Usually most detached and semidetached houses in periurban areas have swimming pools, and these constructions constitute a new source of water. Water presence must be favorable during warm seasons because it facilitates access to water. Therefore, the positive selection of periurban areas in relation to the negative association with urban areas might be related to presence of water resources, the increase in green areas and the lesser impact of pedestrian traffic. Although Eurasian collared-doves also occupy urban areas, our results agree with previous studies (Hengeveld 1993, Romagosa and Labisky 2000) as suburban areas provide the necessary resources for species to avoid urban areas (Blair 1996, Chapman and Reich 2007). Furthermore, the resources offered by this environment, habitat and food resources would facilitate its use as new corridors for invasive species (Ziska and Dukes 2014).

In conclusion, our study indicates the key factors, such as parks and number of inhabitants, for the presence and, exotic vegetation and water availability, for the abundance of a species that is expanding in Europe and is invasive in America. Our results can be useful to predict which non colonized areas will be more plausibly colonized by the Eurasian collared dove. Furthermore, our results could be used to predict the parts of invaded areas that will harbor more individuals, which would be key for managing the Eurasian collared dove in its native and its non-native distribution area.

---

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Ivan Rodriguez by help us in ten points counts. Also, we are grateful to Ebbi Ahrabi, a British friend, for the text review. We also kindly appreciate the comments of the Editor and two anonymous reviewers which greatly improved the manuscript. This research was partially supported by a PhD grant of the Generalitat Valenciana

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Aguilella A, Fos S, Laguna E, editors. 2010. *Catálogo Valenciano de Especies de Flora Amenazadas*. Valencia: Conselleria de Medi Ambient, Aigua, Urbanisme i Habitatge, Generalitat Valenciana.
- Altwegg R, Wheeler M, Erni B. 2008. Climate and the range dynamics of species with imperfect detection. *Biol. Lett.* 4(5):581-584. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0051>
- Aram F, Higuera García E, Solgi E, Mansournia S. 2019. Urban green space cooling effect in cities. *Heliyon.* 5(4):1-31. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01339>
- Baptista LF, Horblit PW, Trail HM. 1997. Order Columbiformes. In: del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Sargatal J, editors. *Handbook of the Birds of the World*. Vol. 4. Sandgrouse to Cuckoos. Barcelona: Lynx Edicions. p. 60-243.
- Bernat-Ponce E, Gil-Delgado JA, Guijarro, D. 2018. Factors affecting the abundance of House Sparrows *Passer domesticus* in urban areas of southeast of Spain. *Bird Study.* 65(3):404-416. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00063657.2018.1518403>
- Bernis F, Asensio B, Benzal J. 1985. Sobre la expansión y ecología de la tórtola turca (*Streptopelia decaocto*), con nuevos datos del interior de España. *Ardeola.* 32(2):279-294.
- Blackburn TM, Lockwood JL, Cassey P. 2009. *Avian Invasions: The ecology and evolution of exotic birds*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Blair RB. 1996. Land use and avian species diversity along an urban gradient. *Ecol. Appl.* 6(2):506-519. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2269387>
- Bled F, Royle JA, Cam E. 2011. Hierarchical modeling of an invasive spread: the Eurasian Collared-Dove *Streptopelia decaocto* in the United States. *Ecol. Appl.* 21(1):290-302. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1877.1>
- Chapman KA, Reich PB. 2007. Land use and habitat gradients determine bird community diversity and abundance in suburban, rural, and reserve landscapes of Minnesota, USA. *Biol. Conserv.* 135(4):527-541. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.10.050>

and the European Social Fund (E. B-P., grant number ACIF/2018/015).

#### AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

AOBC and JAGD planned and designed the project; AOBC, JAGD and EBP participated in the field sampling; AOBC, EBP and GMLP conducted data analysis; AOBC wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the manuscript.

- Chevan A, Sutherland M. 1991. Hierarchical Partitioning. *Am. Stat.* 45(2):90-96. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2684366>
- Coombs CFB, Isaacson AJ, Murton RK, Thearle RJP, Westwood NJ. 1981. Collared doves (*Streptopelia decaocto*) in urban habitats. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 18(1):41-62. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2402478>
- Cramp S. 1985. *The birds of the Western Palearctic*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Donald PF, Collar NJ, Marsden SJ, Pain DJ. 2010. *Facing Extinction: The World's Rarest Birds and the Race to Save Them*. London: T&AD Poyser.
- Dowd C. 1992. Effect of development on bird species composition of two urban forested wetlands in Staten Island, New York. *J. Field Ornithol.* 63(4):455-461.
- Fernández-Juricic E. 2000. Avifaunal use of wooded streets in an urban landscape. *Biol. Conserv.* 14(2):513-521. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.98600.x>
- Fox J, Weisberg S. 2019. *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. California: Sage Publications.
- Fujisaki I, Pearlstine EV, Mazzotti FJ. 2010. The rapid spread of invasive Eurasian collared doves *Streptopelia decaocto* in the continental USA follows human-altered habitats. *Ibis.* 152(3):622-632. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2010.01038.x>
- Gaspar P, Mesias FJ, Escribano M, Pulido F. 2009. Sustainability in Spanish extensive farms (Dehesas): an economic and management indicator-based evaluation. *Rangeland Ecol. Manag.* 62(2):153-162. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2111/07-135.1>
- George RR. 1975. Mourning doves in Texas. Life history, habitat needs and management suggestions. Report. Texas: Texas Parks & Wildlife Department.
- Giménez García R, García Marín R, Serrano Martínez J, Pulido Fernández M. 2018. Peri-urban dynamics in Murcia region (SE Spain): The successful case of the altarreal complex. *Urban Sci.* 2(3):1-11. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci2030060>
- González-Oreja JA, Barillas-Gómez AL, Bonache-Regidor C, Buzo-Franco D, Garcia-Guzmán J, Hernández-Satín L. 2012. Does habitat heterogeneity affect bird community structure in urban parks? In: Lepczyk CA, Warren PS, editors. *Urban bird ecology and conservation*. Studies in Avian Biology. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press. p. 1-16.
- Hallstan S, Grandin U, Goedkoop W. 2010. Current and modeled potential distribution of the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) in Sweden. *Biol. Invasions.* 12(1):285-296. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-009-9449-9>
- Hengeveld R. 1993. What to do about the North American invasion by the collared dove? *J. Field Ornithol.* 64(4):477-489.
- Hengeveld R, Van den Bosch F. 1991. The expansion velocity of the collared dove *Streptopelia decaocto* population in Europe. *Ardea.* 79(1):67-72.
- Hudson R. 1972. Collared Doves in Britain and Ireland during 1965-70. *Brit. Birds.* 65:139-155.
- Hutto RL, Pletschet SM, Hendricks P. 1986. A fixed-radius point count method for nonbreeding and breeding season use. *Auk.* 103(3):593-602. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/auk/103.3.593>
- Hutton JM, Leader-Williams N. 2003. Sustainable use and incentive-driven conservation: realigning human and conservation interests. *Oryx.* 37(2):215-226. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605303000395>
- INE. c2016. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Demografía y población. Padrón. Población por municipios. [last accessed: 15 Sep 2016]. [https://www.ine.es/dyngs/INEbase/es/operacion.htm?c=Estadistica\\_C&cid=1254736177011&menu=resultados&idp=1254734710990](https://www.ine.es/dyngs/INEbase/es/operacion.htm?c=Estadistica_C&cid=1254736177011&menu=resultados&idp=1254734710990)
- Johnson MTJ, Munshi-South J. 2017. Evolution of life in urban environments. *Science.* 358(6363):1-11. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam8327>
- Jongman RHG, Ter Braak CJF, van Tongeren OFR, editors. 1995. *Data analysis in community and landscape ecology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kasprzykowski Z, Gołowski A. 2009. Does the use of playback affect the estimates of numbers of grey Partridge *Perdix perdix*? *Wildlife Biol.* 15(2):123-128. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2981/08-001>
- Lancaster RK, Rees WE. 1979. Bird communities and the structure of urban habitats. *Can. J. Zool.* 57(12): 2358-2368. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1139/z79-307>
- Legendre P. 1993. Spatial autocorrelation: Trouble or new paradigm? *Ecol. Model.* 74(6):1659-1673. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1939924>
- López-Iborra GM, Limiñana R, Pavón D, Martínez-Pérez JE. 2011. Modelling the distribution of short-toed eagle (*Circaetus gallicus*) in semi-arid Mediterranean landscapes: identifying important explanatory variables and their implications for its conservation. *Eur. J. Wildl. Res.* 57(1):83-93. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-010-0402-0>
- Macmillen RE. 1962. The minimum water requirements of Mourning doves. *Condor.* 64(2):165-166.
- Mac Nally R. 2000. Regression and model-building in conservation biology, biogeography and ecology: The distinction between - and reconciliation of - “predictive” and “explanatory” models. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 9(5):655-671. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008985925162>
- Mac Nally R. 2002. Multiple regression and inference in ecology and conservation biology: further comments on identifying important predictor variables. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 11(2002):1397-1401. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016250716679>
- Murgui E. 2001. Factors influencing the distribution of exotic bird species in Comunidad Valenciana (Spain). *Ardeola.* 48(2):149-160.
- Murgui E, Hedblom M, editors. 2017. *Ecology and conservation of birds in urban environments*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Oviedo JL, Ovando P, Forero L, Huntsinger L, Álvarez A, Mesa B, Campos P. 2013. The Private Economy of Dehesas and Ranches: Case Studies. In: Campos P, Huntsinger L, Oviedo JL, Paul FS, Diaz M, Standiford R, Montero G, editors. *Mediterranean Oak Woodland Working Landscapes*. Landscape Series, vol 16. Dordrecht: Springer. p. 389-424. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6707-2\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6707-2_13)

- Pérez-Cueva A. 1994. Atlas Climático de la Comunidad Valenciana: 1961-1990. Valencia: Conselleria d'Obres Públiques, Urbanisme i Transports.
- Quinn GP, Keough MJ. 2002. *Experimental Design and Data Analysis for Biologists*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rivas-Martínez S. 1987. Memoria del mapa de vegetación potencial de España. Madrid: ICONA.
- Rocha-Camarero G, de Trucios SJH. 2002. The spread of the Collared Dove *Streptopelia decaocto* in Europe: colonization patterns in the west of the Iberian Peninsula. *Bird Study*. 49(1):11-16. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00063650209461239>
- Romagosa CM, Labisky RF. 2000. Establishment and dispersal of the Eurasian Collared-Dove in Florida. *J. Field Ornithol.* 71(1):159-166. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1648/0273-8570-71.1.159>
- RStudio Team. c2018. RStudio: integrated development for R. Boston, MA, USA: RStudio Inc. [last accessed: 14 Dec 2018]. <https://rstudio.com/>
- Russo A, Cirella GT. 2018. Modern compact cities: How much greenery do we need? *Int. J. Env. Res. Pub. He.* 15(10):1-15. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15102180>
- Savidge JA. 1987. Extinction of an Island Forest Avifauna by an Introduced Snake. *Ecology*. 68(3):660-668. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1938471>
- Scheidt SN, Hurlbert AH. 2014. Range expansion and population dynamics of an invasive species: The eurasian collared-dove (*Streptopelia decaocto*). *PLoS ONE* 9(10):1-10. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111510>
- SigPac. c2016. Visor SigPac. [last accessed: 15 Sep 2016]. <http://sigpac.mapama.gob.es/fega/visor/>
- Taylor B, Rollins D, Johnson J, Roberson J, Schwertner TW, Silvy NJ, Linex RJ. 2006 *Dove management in Texas*. Texas: Texas A&M Agrilife.
- Udvardy MDF. 1969. *Dynamic Zoogeography*. New York: Van Nostrand.
- United Nations Organization. c2012. *World Population Prospects: The 2012 Revision*. [last accessed: 15 Sep 2016]. <https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/publications/world-population-prospects-the-2012-revision.html>
- Villegas M, Garitano-Zavala A. 2010. Bird community responses to different urban conditions in La Paz, Bolivia. *Urban Ecosyst.* 13(3): 375-391. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-010-0126-7>
- WCD. c2016. *Climate Data for Cities Worldwide*. [last accessed: 15 Sep 2016]. <https://en.climate-data.org/>
- Walsh C, Mac Nally R. c2015. *Hierarchical Partitioning*. R project for statistical computing. [last accessed: 15 Sep 2016]. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/hier.part/hier.part.pdf>
- Ziska LH, Dukes JS, editors. 2014. *Invasive Species and Global Climate Change*. London: CAB International.
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 1(1):3-14. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x>