Article

Radical Arylation of Triphenyl Phosphite Catalyzed by Salicylic Acid: Mechanistic Investigations and Synthetic Applications

Manel Estruch-Blasco, Diego Felipe-Blanco, Irene Bosque, and José C. González-Gómez

J. Org. Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.0c00795 • Publication Date (Web): 18 May 2020

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on May 24, 2020

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

Radical Arylation of Triphenyl Phosphite Catalyzed by Salicylic Acid: Mechanistic Investigations and Synthetic Applications

Manel Estruch-Blasco,[†] Diego Felipe-Blanco,[†] Irene Bosque, Jose C. Gonzalez-Gomez*

Instituto de Síntesis Orgánica (ISO) and Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Apdo. 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain

Email: josecarlos.gonzalez@ua.es

ABSTRACT: A straightforward and scalable methodology to synthesize diphenyl arylphosphonates at 20 °C within 1–2 h is reported, using inexpensive SA as the catalytic promoter of the reaction. Mechanistic investigations suggest that the reaction proceeds *via* radical-radical coupling, consistent with the so-called Persistent Radical Effect. The reaction tolerated a wide range of functional groups and heteroaromatic moieties. The synthetic usefulness and the unique reactivity of the obtained phosphonates were demonstrated in different one-step transformations.

INTRODUCTION

Aryl phosphonates are privileged scaffolds among pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and organic materials; as well as being versatile building blocks in organic synthesis or ligands in transition-metal (TM) catalysis.¹ Traditionally, these organophosphorus compounds were prepared by reaction of electrophilic dialkyl chlorophosphonates with organolithium² or Grignard reagents.³ Since the seminal contribution of Hirao and coworkers,⁴ the TM-catalyzed cross-coupling of (pseudo)haloarenes with *H*-phosphonates or trialkyl

phosphites has been one of the most extensively used strategies to prepare aryl phosphonates.⁵⁻⁷ Given the recent advancements in visible light photocatalysis,⁸ the room temperature preparation of aryl phosphonates has also been accomplished using this methodology. In this frame, the photoinduced reductive generation of aryl radicals trapped by trisubstituted phosphites has been successfully implemented,⁹ as well as the single-electron oxidation of arenes to obtain radical cations that easily add nucleophilic phosphites.¹⁰ In addition, intensive efforts have been made recently in the development of TM-free synthesis of aryl phosphonates at room temperature. In this context, one successful approach relied on the nucleophilic addition of dialkyl phosphites to *in situ* generated arynes from 2-(trimethylsilyl)aryl triflates (Kobayashi precursors, Scheme 1a).¹¹ It has been also recently reported that the combination of diaryliodonium salts with phosphites can form an electron donor-acceptor complex, upon irradiation with blue light in the presence of a base, leading to the desired aryl phosphonates (Scheme 1b).¹² The photoinduced oxidation of arylhydrazines to produce aryl radicals that were trapped with trialkyl phosphites was also recently developed,¹³ using an organic photocatalyst and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) as additive under aerobic conditions (Scheme 1c). The visible light-driven generation of aryl radicals from arylazo sulfones in the absence of photocatalyst, which was trapped with triaryl phosphites, is another elegant approach recently reported (Scheme 1d).¹⁴ Furthermore, a Sandmeyer-type reaction of *in situ* formed diazonium salts with triaryl phosphites promoted by stoichiometric amounts of *p*-toluene sulfonic acid (TsOH), has also allowed the efficient preparation of aryl phosphonates (Scheme 1e).¹⁵ *Despite these remarkable contributions, a TM-free synthesis of aryl phosphonates at room temperature, from readily available starting materials, without stoichiometric additives or excess of reagents, is still desired.*

Given the high reactivity and low selectivity of aryl radicals, a sustained catalytic aryl radical generation is a more convenient approach for a successful synthetic transformation.^{16,17} We have recently demonstrated that aryl radicals can be catalytically generated from readily available anilines that are *in situ* transformed into diazonium salts with *tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN),¹⁸ by using salicylic acid (SA) as a catalyst at room temperature.¹⁹ *We do think that SA is a very convenient catalyst because is inexpensive, non-toxic, and a renewable feedstock derived from natural salicin.* As part of our ongoing

program, we decided to explore these mild and environmentally benign conditions to obtain diphenyl arylphosphonates.

Scheme 1. Context of the TM-free synthesis of diaryl phosphonates

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since at 60 °C the diazonium salts can react with CH_3CN to form acetamides *via* *N*-arenenitrilium salts (Ritter reaction),²⁰ we carefully controlled the reaction temperature at 20 °C. We were pleased to observe how the addition of 10 mol % of salicylic acid (SA) significantly accelerated the formation of phosphonate **2a** in CH_3CN (Table 1, entries 1-2). *Importantly, the reaction was complete within 1 h without preforming of the diazonium salt, and without requiring an excess of triphenyl phosphite (TPP) or any other additive.* We have seen that the presence of minor amounts of H_2O is not critical for the success of the reaction, but its addition to the reaction media was not beneficial neither (entry 3). The reaction performance was worst under air atmosphere, which is in accordance with the intermediacy of radicals, as also suggested by its complete inhibition in the presence of 2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl (TEMPO, entries 4-5). Given the precedents in the photogeneration of aryl radicals from aryl diazonium salts,²¹ we carefully checked that the ambient light was not promoting the reaction (entry 6). *Importantly, no reaction was observed using P(OEt)_3 (entry 7), and the addition of TPP after the formation of the*

diazonium salt was detrimental to the reaction yield (entry 8). When stoichiometric amounts of the more acidic *p*-TsOH were used to promote the reaction, in analogy to the conditions reported by Wang and coworkers but without preforming the diazonium salt,¹⁵ only 38% of **2a** was obtained (entry 9).²² We have also confirmed that with both hydroxyl groups free in the SA, the formation of **2a** is more efficient (entries 10-11). Remarkably, the reaction is complete after only 30 min under our optimal reaction conditions (entry 12 and Figure S3), and only 2 mol % of SA is enough to efficiently promote the reaction (Figure S4) with about 1 equivalent of TPP. These conditions represent a significant improvement over the method shown in Scheme 1e,¹⁵ where 3 equivalents of TPP are required in a sequential procedure (preformation of the diazonium salt) that uses stoichiometric amounts of *p*-TsOH and needs about 8 h for completion.

Table 1. Reaction optimization and control experiments.^a

Entry	Deviation from above	Yield ^b
1	Without SA	27%
2	none	94%
3	in 3:1 CH ₃ CN: H ₂ O	88%
4	Without an Ar atmosphere	64%
5	+ TEMPO (2.0 equiv)	traces
6	Protected from light	93%
7	With P(OEt) ₃ as phosphite	0%
8 ^c	TPP added to the diazonium salt	45%
9	<i>p</i> -TsOH•H ₂ O (1.2 equiv) instead of SA	38%
10	With Methyl salicylate instead of SA	37%
11	With <i>O</i> -Acetyl SA instead of SA	48%
12	After 30 min	93%

^aReactions with 0.30 mmol of aniline in 1.5 mL of CH₃CN.

^bDetermined by GC, using adamantane as the internal standard (Figure S1). ^cTPP was added 30 min after the other reactants.

To gather information about our arylation of TPP, we conducted a series of experiments. When the isolated pure diazonium salt of **1a** reacted with TPP in MeCN at 20 °C, only 17% of **2a** was obtained, being improved to 40% in the presence of SA (Scheme 2a). *This experiment excludes a direct single-electron transfer (SET) between the diazonium salt and TPP as the main pathway under our optimized conditions (Sandmeyer-like reaction),²³ as proposed by Wang and coworkers under the conditions shown in Scheme 1e.¹⁵* The formation of diazo anhydrides (Ar-N=N-O-N=N-Ar)²⁴ or triazene intermediates (ArN=N-NHAr)²⁵ and their radical fragmentation could explain the background reaction. The *p*-nitrophenyl radical was trapped by TEMPO (Scheme 2b) and a competitive experiment in the presence of 2-propanol ($k_{\text{HAT}} \approx 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)²⁶ allowed us to estimate the rate constant for the addition of the *p*-chlorophenyl radical to the TPP (Scheme 2c). This very fast reaction ($k \approx 2.2 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) indicates that TPP is functioning as a very efficient radical trap under our reaction conditions, competing favorably with the other possible radical side-reactions. It has been known for a long time that phenyl radicals react very rapidly with trimethyl phosphite to give dimethyl phenylphosphonate, likely through a radical Arbuzov-like mechanism.²⁷ However, in a reaction of aryl radical with TPP, this pathway involves the cleavage of a stronger O-C(sp²) bond to furnish the desired product and the phenyl radical. More importantly, this phenyl radical would eventually be trapped by TPP, and we never observed the formation of **2c** when we submitted anilines **1a** or **1h** to our reaction conditions (Scheme 2d). Trying to identify the catalytically active species of the process, we put into reacting the diazonium salt of **1h** with SA. After careful crystallization, we only isolated the azo compound **II-h** in a rather low yield (Scheme 2e).²⁸ However, this tan azo compound was unreactive with stoichiometric amounts of TPP, as well as in THF solution, and resulted catalytically inactive in the studied reaction (Scheme 2f).

Scheme 2. Mechanistic investigations

The redox potentials of TPP, P(OEt)₃ and SA were measured by cyclic voltammetry (CV, Figure S5) to examine their different reactivities (entries 2 and 7 of Table 1). Considering the experimental values obtained, the salicyloyl radical (SA[•], $E_{p/2}^{ox} = +1.97$ V vs SCE) would be able to oxidize TPP ($E_{p/2}^{ox} = +1.85$ V vs SCE) but the oxidation of P(OEt)₃ is slightly unfavored ($E_{p/2}^{ox} = +2.04$ V vs SCE). As shown in Figure 1 (left), the onset potential of the mixture of SA and TPP was found to be like the one of the SA alone, but higher current density was achieved. This result suggests that after the oxidation of the SA, an electron transfer occurs from TPP to SA[•]. On the contrary, when a similar experiment was conducted with P(OEt)₃, the onset potential for the oxidation of the mixture started at least 400 mV

before than the one of SA alone (Figure 1, right). This significant difference suggests that $\text{P}(\text{OEt})_3$ reacts directly with SA (not with $\text{SA}^{\bullet}$), resulting in the consumption of the SA before the catalytic cycle starts.²⁹

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammograms. See SI for more details.

Based on our experimental investigations and literature precedents, we propose the mechanism depicted in Scheme 3. The reaction of aniline **1** with TBN in the presence of SA would form the diazonium salt **I**. This intermediate would lead to the azo compound **II**, which is catalytically inactive, or to the aryl diazobenzoate **III**.³⁰ Based on literature precedents, acyloxydiazaryls decompose easily to generate N_2 and aryl radicals.^{31,32} The fragmentation of **III** would lead to the aryl radicals and to the salicyloyl radical $\text{SA}^{\bullet}$, likely stabilized by an intramolecular H-bond. We have then shown by CV measurements that the SET between TPP and $\text{SA}^{\bullet}$ is feasible, enabling the turnover of the SA and producing the phosphoniumyl radical cation **IV**.³³ We speculate that hydrolysis of **IV** would produce phenol (almost 1 equiv of phenol in the formation of **2a**, see SI) and the phosphanyl radical **V**, which is stabilized by electron-donation from the two phenoxy groups and can be considered a persistent radical. We thus propose that nucleophilic radical **V** serves as an efficient trap of the aryl radicals, which are $\sigma\text{-sp}^2$ radicals and therefore more electrophilic than common π -alkyl radicals (pathway A).³⁴ *This highly selective cross-coupling is consistent with the reaction between a transient aryl radical and a longer-lived phosphanyl radical, being both generated at equal rates within the catalytic cycle (Persistent Radical Effect).*³⁵ Alternatively, aryl radical can also be trapped by the radical cation **IV** to obtain the quaternary phosphonium intermediate

VI, which after hydrolysis could provide the product 2, with concomitant formation of phenol and deprotonation (pathway B).¹⁴

Scheme 3. Plausible mechanism

Having identified the optimal conditions for the radical arylation of TPP, we examined the scope of the reaction with diversely substituted anilines. As shown in Scheme 4, unsubstituted aniline and halogen-containing anilines at the *ortho*-, *meta*- or *para*- position, ready for further functionalization, afforded the phosphonates (2a, 2b, 2c, 2m, 2q, 2x) in good-to-excellent yields. Anilines bearing electron-donating groups (methyl, ethynyl, phenyl, methoxy, *N*-acetyl) were also suitable substrates, leading to the expected phosphonates (2d-2g, 2p, 2r-2s) in moderate-to-good yields. However, the yield dropped when the electron-rich 2-methoxy aniline (1t) was used to obtain compound 2t. On the other hand, a variety of electron-withdrawing groups in the aniline remained intact (NO_2 , CN, Ac, CO_2H , CO_2Me , CF_3), affording the corresponding products in good-to-excellent yields (2h-2l, 2n-2o, 2u-2v). Electron-donating- and electron-withdrawing-groups could also be successfully combined in the same aniline, as exemplified by the product 2w. More importantly, the reaction was not restricted to anilines since heteroaryl amines were also suitable substrates. While 8-aminoquinoline and 2-aminobenzothiazole gave the corresponding phosphonates (2y and 2z) in rather low yields, the thiophene-derived 2aa and

pyridine-phosphonate **2ab** were obtained in moderate-to-good yields. Furthermore, the 2-(4-aminophenyl)benzothiazole (**1ac**), which exhibits a potent anti-breast cancer activity,³⁶ was smoothly transformed into the phosphonate **2ac** under our optimized conditions.

Scheme 4. Reaction scope

We further carried out the preparation of phosphonates **2s**, **2x** and **2ac** on the gram scale, using only 2 mol % of SA (Scheme 5a). Remarkably, we obtained similar yields of isolated pure products than in 0.30 mmol-scale, even after recrystallization (see SI for details). With these diphenyl arylphosphonates in gram-quantities, we explored the underexploited reactivity of this family of compounds. To overcome the limitation of this methodology to triaryl phosphites we examined the transesterification of diphenyl phosphonates into dialkyl phosphonates (Scheme 5b). This two-step protocol provided a convenient TM-free approach to the calcium channel blocker compound **3**,³⁷ which is analogous to the pharmaceutical fostedil. We also studied the transformation of diaryl phosphonates into phosphine derivatives since they can be used as ligands in TM catalysis and their preparation usually requires expensive and multistep procedures. It is known that the reaction of dialkyl

phosphonates with Grignard reagents is limited by Arbuzov-like decomposition of the starting material,^{38a} and this limitation was recently circumvented by the use of stoichiometric amounts of NaOTf as additive.^{38b} In stark contrast, we have observed that diphenyl phosphonate **2s** smoothly reacted with MeMgBr at 0 °C, in the absence of additives, obtaining dimethyl(aryl)phosphine oxide **4** in excellent yield (Scheme 5c). Importantly, compound **4** can be easily transformed by one-step reduction into Methyl JohnPhos, a Buchwald-type ligand.^{38b} Under similar conditions but using an excess of PhMgBr and longer reaction time, compound **5** was prepared in excellent yield. It is worthy of mention that compound **5** and some derivatives have been used as “platform molecules” for the Pd-catalyzed R₂(O)P-directed C(sp²)-H activation, introducing a wide range of functionalities at the adjacent phenyl ring.³⁹ Moreover, by only reducing the amount of PhMgBr and running the reaction over 30 min at 0 °C, we obtained the racemic phosphinate **6** in an unoptimized but useful synthetic yield. The reaction of this compound with MeMgBr allowed the efficient preparation of chiral, albeit racemic, tertiary phosphine oxide **7**. The results shown in Scheme 5c support diaryl phosphonates as convenient synthetic precursors for the preparation of tertiary phosphine oxides by reaction with Grignard reagents.⁴⁰ Finally, we explored an intramolecular C-H arylation reaction with diaryl phosphonate **2x** (Scheme 5d). Using (*R*)-BINAP as chiral ligand and Pd(OAc)₂ we obtained the *P*-chiral biaryl phosphonate **8**. Although in an unoptimized yield and enantioselectivity, this reaction demonstrates the straightforward access to chiral biaryl phosphonates in only two steps from readily available starting materials.⁴¹

Scheme 5. Scale-up and follow-up reactions

(a) Gram-scale preparation (only 2 mol % SA used)

(b) Transformation into dialkyl phosphonates

(c) Transformation into phosphine oxides and phosphinates

(d) Intramolecular C-H arylation

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated that SA efficiently catalyzes the arylation of TPP, using readily available anilines as starting materials and TBN for the *in situ* formation of diazonium salts, without thermal or photochemical activation. Our mechanistic studies supported the intermediacy of transient aryl radicals which rapidly coupled with the longer-lived phosphanyl radical, being the SA regenerated by SET between the TPP and the SA $\cdot$. The protocol was easily adapted for the gram-scale preparation of three diphenyl arylphosphonates and we examined the unique reactivity of these compounds by straightforward transformations into dialkyl phosphonates, phosphinates, phosphine oxides, and cyclic *P*-chiral biaryl phosphonates.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. Most commercial chemicals were used as obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, TCI Europe or Alfa-Aesar. However, after the long storage of TPP, it became yellow

and it was purified by washing its solution in EtOAc with a 1 M solution of NaOH. CH₃CN (for analysis, ACS) was purchased from Panreac 99.7% pure. The preparation of starting materials not obtained from commercial sources is detailed below. TLCs were performed on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄, using aluminum plates and visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light. Flash chromatographies (FC) were carried out on handpacked- columns of silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Melting points (mp) were measured in a Riecher Thermovar heating stage microscope and were not corrected. GC yields were determined by GC-FID (6890 Agilent, HP-5 30 m column), using adamantane as the internal standard. LRMS were obtained using a mass spectrometer coupled with a gas chromatographer (GC); the mobile phase was helium (2 mL·min⁻¹); HP-1 column of 12 m was used; temperature program starts at 80 °C for 3 min, then up to 270 °C at a rate of 15 °C·min⁻¹, and 15 min at 300 °C (unless other conditions are indicated). NMR spectra were recorded at 300 or 400 MHz for ¹H, at 75 or 101 MHz for ¹³C, and at 122 or 202 MHz for ³¹P, using CDCl₃ as solvent (unless otherwise stated). For ¹H NMR, TMS was used as an internal standard (0.00 ppm) and for ³¹P-NMR, H₃PO₄ was the external standard used (0.00 ppm). The data are reported as (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet or unresolved, brs = broad signal, coupling constant(s) in Hz, integration). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with ¹H-decoupling {¹H} at 101 MHz and referenced to CDCl₃ at 77.16 ppm. Enantiomeric ratios were determined by chiral HPLC (1100 Series Agilent Hewlett-Packard, G1311A pump, DAD G1315B detector, Chiral column AD-H Chiralpack, Particle size: 5 µm; Dimensions: 4.6 mm x 250 mm). Exact masses were determined by HRMS (Agilent 7200 de Quadrupole-Time of Flight (Q-TOF)).

Procedure for the synthesis of anilines 1g and 1p:⁴² Into an oven-dried pressure tube was added the corresponding *N*-(nitrophenyl)acetamide (360.0 mg, 2 mmol) capped with a rubber septum and the system was evacuated and filled with Ar (3 times), then MeOH anhydrous (10 mL) was added, followed by 10% wt Pd/C (100.0 mg, 0.10 mmol) and ammonium formate (252.22 mg, 4 mmol). After 24 h at 20 °C (water bath), the reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The corresponding pure products were obtained after FC in silica gel from (50:50 hexane/EtOAc to 100% EtOAc).

N-(4-Aminophenyl)acetamide (**1g**). Compound **1g** was obtained as an off-white solid (169.1 mg, 1.12 mmol, 57 %): TLC (EtOAc 100%) *R*_f 0.30; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ

7.33 – 7.25 (m, 2H), 6.78 – 6.71 (m, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 2.08 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C -NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 171.6 (CO), 143.6 (C-NH₂), 132.2 (C-NHAc), 123.4 (2xCH), 117.9 (2xCH), 23.8 (Me).

N-(3-Aminophenyl)acetamide (**1p**). Compound **1p** was obtained as a pale-yellow solid (145.2 mg, 0.96 mmol, 48%): TLC (EtOAc 100%) R_f 0.42; ^1H -NMR (300 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 7.18 – 6.98 (m, 2H), 6.87 – 6.80 (m, 1H), 6.51 (ddd, J = 8.0, 2.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (s, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C -NMR (75 MHz, CD_3OD) δ ^{13}C -NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz) δ 171.5 (CO), 149.2 (C³-NH₂), 140.5 (C¹-NHAc), 130.3 (C⁵-H), 112.6 (C⁶-H), 111.2 (C⁴-H), 108.4 (C²-H), 23.8 (Me).

4-(Benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)aniline (**1ac**). Aniline **1ac** was synthesized by minor modifications of a reported procedure.⁴³ Into a pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirrer were added 4-aminobenzoic acid (1.37 g, 10.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) and polyphosphoric acid (4 g). The reaction mixture was gently heated to obtain a homogeneous mixture, before adding 2-Aminophenol (1.04 mL, 10.0 mmol, 1 equiv.). The tube was closed with a Teflon cap and heated at 220 °C into a sand bath for 3 h. After cooling at room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into aqueous ammonia (15 mL, 25%v/v). The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water (50 mL). The solid product was recrystallized from EtOH (13 mL, 60 °C to 20 °C) (1.358 g, 6 mmol, 60%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 70:30) R_f 0.36; ^1H -NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.05 – 7.79 (m, 2H), 7.38 (dt, J = 38.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H) ppm; ^{13}C -NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 168.7 (C⁵), 154.3 (C^{10a}), 149.4 (C¹-NH₂), 134.7 (C^{6a}-S), 129.3 (2xC³-H), 126.2 (C⁹-H), 124.66 (C⁸-H), 124.04 (C⁴), 122.6 (C⁶-H), 121.5 (C¹⁰-H), 114.9 (2xC²-H) ppm.

Procedure for the synthesis of 4-chlorobenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a-diazonium salt) and 4-nitrobenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1h-diazonium salt): The corresponding aniline (10.0 mmol) was dissolved in a 50% (w/w aqueous solution) mixture of tetrafluoroboric acid (5 mL, 39.9 mmol, 4 equiv) and water (5 mL). After cooling near to 0 °C, a freshly-prepared solution of sodium nitrite (700 mg, 10.1 mmol) in H₂O (3 mL), was added in portions (0.25 mL each) during 10 min. The mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min and the thick precipitate formed was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dissolved in the minimal amount of acetone. The diazonium tetrafluoroborate was then precipitated by the addition of Et₂O. The solid was filtered out and dried under vacuum for

several hours. The corresponding diazonium salts were employed directly without further purification.

2-Hydroxy-5-((4-nitrophenyl)diazenyl)benzoic acid (II-h): Into and oven-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer, 4-nitrophenyl diazonium tetrafluoroborate (1.185 g, 5.0 mmol) and SA (690 mg, 5.0 mmol) were added. The system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times), before adding MeCN (13.20 mL) and water (6.80 mL). Then, the reaction mixture was protected from the light and was stirred for 45 min at 20 °C (water bath). After this time, the aqueous solution was extracted with Et₂O (3x20 mL), the combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure without heating. The residue was dissolved in Et₂O and precipitated by the addition of hexane. The solid was filtered out and dried *in vacuo* to give the pure product as a brick-red solid (287.2 mg, 0.90 mmol, 18 %). The spectral data matched that reported.⁴⁴

¹H-NMR (500 MHz, Acetone-*d*₆) δ 8.56 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 8.22 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.42 – 3.12 (m, 1H) ppm; ¹³C-NMR {¹H} (126 MHz, Acetone) δ 172.2, 166.2, 156.7, 149.7, 146.2, 130.0, 128.7, 125.7, 124.2, 119.5, 113.8 ppm.

2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-1-(4-nitrophenoxy)piperidine (1h-TEMPO). *p*-Nitroaniline (41.4 mg, 0.30 mmol), TEMPO (93.9 mg, 0.6 mmol), SA (4.14 mg, 0.04 mmol), TPP (90 μ L, 0.345 mmol) and TBN (60 μ L, 0.45 mmol), were put into reacting in acetonitrile (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was then diluted with EtOAc (25 mL), transferred to a round-bottom flask, and the solvent was evaporated *in-vacuo*. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel from hexane 100% to 90:10 hexane/EtOAc obtaining the TEMPO-adduct as a white amorphous solid (26.7 mg, 0.096 mmol, 32%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 90:10) *R*_f 0.65; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (br s, 2H), 1.65 – 1.56 (m, 5H), 1.45 – 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.24 (s, 6H), 0.98 (s, 6H) ppm; ¹³C-NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.8 (C), 141.2 (C), 125.6 (CH), 114.2 (CH), 61.0 (C), 39.8 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₃), 20.6 (CH₃), 17.0 (CH₂) ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) *m/z* (%) 278 (M⁺, 11), 263 (43), 149 (20), 125 (100), 97 (40).

General Procedure (GP) for the synthesis of compounds 2: The corresponding aromatic amine (0.30 mmol) and the salicylic acid (SA, 4,14 mg, 0,03 mmol, 10 mol%) were added into an oven-dried Schlenk tube. The system was evacuated and filled with argon

(three times), then acetonitrile (1.5 mL) and the TPP (90.4 μ L, 0.35 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously until a homogeneous solution was obtained. At this point, *tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN, 60 μ L, 0.45 mmol) was added (the solution turned orange after some minutes) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h, keeping the temperature at 20 $^{\circ}$ C with an external water bath. For most substrates, the reaction was complete within 1 h, but we ran the reactions over 2 h to use uniform conditions. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (25 mL), concentrated *in vacuo*, and the residue was purified by FC.

Diphenyl (4-chlorophenyl)phosphonate (2a). Following the general procedure, compound **2a** was obtained after FC (from 98:2 hexane/EtOAc to 90:10 hexane/EtOAc) as a yellow liquid (93.18 mg, 0.27 mmol, 92%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.40; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (dd, J = 13.6, 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.4, 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.17 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101, CDCl_3) δ 150.3 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 7.6 Hz, 2xC^{1'}-H), 140.1 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 4.2 Hz, C⁴-Cl), 133.9 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 11.4 Hz, 2xC²-H), 130.0 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 5.6 Hz, 4xC^{3'}-H), 129.3 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 16.6 Hz, 2xC³-H), 125.48 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 195.8 Hz, C¹-P), 125.47 (2xC^{4'}-H), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 4.6 Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 346 ($\text{M}^+ + 2$, 27) 344 (M^+ , 100), 251 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{OPh}$, 42), 77 (100). *For the numbering of the skeleton of compounds 2 used to assign the peaks in ^{13}C NMR, see the structure shown for compound 2n as example*

Diphenyl (4-bromophenyl)phosphonate(2b). Following the general procedure, compound **2b** was obtained after FC (from 95:5 hexane/EtOAc to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as a brown liquid (74.98 mg, 0.193 mmol, 65%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.32; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.86–7.76 (m, 2H), 7.67–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.22 (m, 4H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.3 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 7.5 Hz, 2xC^{1'}-H), 133.9 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 11.2 Hz, 2xC²-H), 132.2 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 16.4 Hz, 2xC³-H), 129.9 (4xC^{3'}-H), 128.7 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 4.2 Hz, C⁴), 126.0 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 195.4 Hz, C¹-P), 125.4 (2xC^{4'}-H), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}}$ = 4.5 Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 390 ($\text{M}^+ + 2$, 100), 388 (M^+ , 97), 297 ($\text{M}^+ + 2 - \text{OPh}$, 40) 295 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{OPh}$, 43), 170, (28), 77 (89).

Diphenyl phenylphosphonate (2c). Following the general procedure, compound **2c** was obtained after FC (90:10 hexane/EtOAc) as a dark orange solid (75.18 mg, 0.242 mmol, 81%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.25; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.97 (dd, J = 14.1, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.65–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.49 (td,

$J = 7.5, 4.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.36–7.24 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.10 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.5 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.6$ Hz) ($2\times\text{C}^1$), 133.3 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.3$ Hz) ($\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 132.4 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.3$ Hz) ($4\times\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 129.8 ($4\times\text{C}^3\text{'-H}$), 128.8 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 15.7$ Hz) ($2\times\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 127.0 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 192.9$ Hz) (C-P), 125.3 ($2\times\text{C}^4\text{'-H}$), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz) ($4\times\text{C}^2\text{'-H}$) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 310 (M^+ , 78), 309 ($\text{M}^+\text{-H}$, 100), 217 (41), 170 (33), 77 (46).

Diphenyl p-tolylphosphonate (2d). Following the general procedure, compound **2d** was obtained after FC (from 98:2 hexane/EtOAc to 95:5 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (49.7 mg, 0.15 mmol, 50%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.50; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.91 – 7.77 (dd, $J = 13.8, 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.33 – 7.23 (m, 6H), 7.18 (dq, $J = 7.8, 1.3$ Hz, 4H), 7.13 (tt, $J = 7.2, 1.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101, CDCl_3) δ 150.6 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.5$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1\text{'-H}$), 144.2 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-Me}$), 132.5 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.8$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 129.9 ($4\times\text{C}^3\text{'-H}$), 129.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 16.3$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 125.2 ($2\times\text{C}^4\text{'-H}$), 123.7 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 195.1$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 120.8 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^2\text{'-H}$), 21.9 (Me) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 324 (M^+ , 81), 323 ($\text{M}^+\text{-H}$, 100), 231 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OPh}$, 70), 77 (45).

Diphenyl (4-ethynylphenyl)phosphonate (2e). Following the general procedure, compound **2e** was obtained after FC (from 95:10 hexane/EtOAc to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as a pale brown solid (65.1 mg, 0.192 mmol, 65%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc/AcOH 80:20) R_f 0.28; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.92 (dd, $J = 13.8, 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.60 (dd, $J = 8.3, 4.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.33 – 7.25 (m, 4H), 7.21 – 7.11 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.33 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.5$ Hz, C^1), 132.29 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 16.0$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 132.28 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.7$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 129.9 ($4\times\text{C}^3\text{'-H}$), 127.3 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 193.8$ Hz, C^1), 125.4 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.3$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^4\text{'-H}$), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.5$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^2\text{'-H}$), 120.2 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.8$ Hz, C^4), 82.5 (d, $^5J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.8$ Hz, $\text{C}\equiv\text{CH}$), 80.7 (CC-H) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 334 (M^+ , 96), 333 ($\text{M}^+\text{-H}$, 100), 241 (54), 194 (42), 77 (68).

Diphenyl (4-methoxyphenyl)phosphonate (2f). Following the general procedure, compound **2f** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 hexane/EtOAc to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as a dark orange liquid (62.0 mg, 0.182 mmol, 61%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.28; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.97–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.12 (m, 6H), 7.05–6.95 (m, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.6 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-OMe}$), 150.6 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.4$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1$), 134.5 (d,

$^2J_{C-P} = 11.9$ Hz, $2\times C^2-H$), 129.8 ($4\times C^{3'}-H$), 125.2 ($2\times C^{4'}-H$), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times C^{2'}-H$), 117.9 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 200.5$ Hz, C^1-P), 114.3 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 16.9$ Hz, $2\times C^3-H$), 55.5 (OCH_3) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 340 (M^+ , 73), 247 (M^+-OPh , 100), 200 (17), 77 (39).

Diphenyl (4-acetamidophenyl)phosphonate (2g). Following the general procedure, compound **2g** was obtained after FC (from 50:50 hexane/EtOAc to 100% EtOAc) as an orange sticky oil (69.0 mg, 0.19 mmol, 63%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁴ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 50:50) R_f 0.32 ; 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.67 (s, $1H$), 7.81 (dd, $J = 13.4$, 8.4 Hz, $2H$), 7.67 (dd, $J = 8.4$, 4.1 Hz, $2H$), $7.32 - 7.16$ (m, $4H$), $7.17 - 7.05$ (m, $6H$), 2.07 (s, $3H$) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1H\}$ (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 169.5 (CO), 150.3 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 7.5$ Hz, C^1'), 143.3 (d, $^4J_{C-P} = 3.6$ Hz, C^4-NH), 133.4 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 11.4$ Hz, $2\times C^2-H$), 129.9 ($4\times C^{3'}-H$), 125.4 ($2\times C^{4'}-H$), 120.73 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.5$ Hz, $C^{2'}-H$), 120.61 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 198.6$ Hz, C^1-P), 119.3 (d, $J = 16.1$ Hz, $2\times C^3-H$), 24.6 ($NHCOCH_3$) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 367 (M^+ , 100), 325 (25), 274 (64), 232 (86).

Diphenyl (4-nitrophenyl)phosphonate (2h). Following the general procedure, compound **2h** was obtained after FC (from 95:5 hexane/EtOAc to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (100.2 mg, 0.28 mmol, 94%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.20 ; 1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ $8.37 - 8.32$ (m, $2H$), $8.21 - 8.12$ (m, $2H$), $7.36 - 7.28$ (m, $4H$), 7.19 (m, $6H$) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1H\}$ (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 150.8 (d, $^4J_{C-P} = 4.0$ Hz, C^4-NO_2), 150.0 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 7.8$ Hz, $2\times C^1'$), 133.91 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 191.8$ Hz, $C-P$), 133.73 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 11.1$ Hz, $2\times C^2-H$), 130.1 ($4\times C^{3'}-H$), 126.0 ($2\times C^{4'}-H$), 123.7 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 16.1$ Hz, $2\times C^3-H$), 120.6 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.5$ Hz, $4\times C^{2'}-H$) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 355 (M^+ , 90), 354 (M^+-H , 100), 308 (M^+-NO_2 , 17), 262 (M^+-OPh , 15), 215 (37), 77 (89).

Diphenyl (4-cyanophenyl)phosphonate (2i). Following the general procedure, compound **2i** was obtained after FC (from 85:15 to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as pale brown solid (82.4 mg, 0.25 mmol, 82%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.18 ; 1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ $8.13 - 8.02$ (m, $2H$), $7.84 - 7.76$ (m, $2H$), $7.36 - 7.27$ (m, $4H$), $7.21 - 7.14$ (m, $6H$) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1H\}$ (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 150.0 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 7.8$ Hz, $2\times C^1'$), 132.89 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 10.3$ Hz, $2\times C^2-H$), 132.33 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 15.8$ Hz, $2\times C^3-H$), 132.07 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 192.5$ Hz, C^1-P), 130.1 ($4\times C^{3'}-H$), 125.8 (d, $^5J_{C-P} = 1.4$ Hz, $2\times C^{4'}-H$), 120.6 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times C^{2'}-H$), 117.7 (d, $^5J_{C-P} = 1.3$ Hz, CN), 117.1 (d, $^4J_{C-P} = 3.7$ Hz, C^4-CN) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 335 (M^+ , 79), 334 (M^+-1 , 90), 242 (M^+-OPh , 19), 195 (45), 77 (100).

Diphenyl (4-acetylphenyl)phosphonate (2j). Following the general procedure, compound **2j** was obtained after FC (from 85:15 to 7:3 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (79.80 mg, 0.23 mmol, 76%); The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.15; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.10 – 7.99 (m, 4H), 7.34 – 7.26 (m, 4H), 7.22 – 7.14 (m, 6H), 2.63 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75, CDCl_3) δ 197.4 (C=O), 150.2 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.7$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1$), 140.5 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-COMe}$), 132.7 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.6$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 131.6 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 191.1$ Hz, C-P), 129.9 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.1$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^3\text{'-H}$), 128.3 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 15.9$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 125.5 (d, $^5J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.3$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^4\text{'-H}$), 120.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.5$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^2\text{'-H}$), 26.9 (CH_3) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 352(M^+ , 100), 259 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OPh}$, 33), 77 (82).

4-(Diphenoxyphosphoryl)benzoic acid (2k). Following the general procedure, compound **2k** was obtained after FC (from 89:10:1 to 69:30:1 hexane/EtOAc/AcOH) as a pale yellow solid (59.54 mg, 0.17 mmol, 56%); TLC (hexane/EtOAc/AcOH 79:20:1) R_f 0.32; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 8.25 – 8.09 (m, 4H), 7.42 – 7.32 (m, 4H), 7.29 – 7.15 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 166.7 (CO_2H), 151.24 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.3$ Hz, C^1), 135.8 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.3$ Hz, C^4), 133.4 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.7$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 132.4 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 189.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 130.74 ($4\times\text{C}^3\text{'-H}$), 130.56 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 15.8$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 126.22 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.2$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^4\text{'-H}$), 121.42 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^2\text{'-H}$) ppm; ^{31}P -NMR (202 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 11.40 ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 279 ($\text{M}^+\text{-Ph}$, 18), 167 (25), 149 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_5\text{P}$ 354.0657, found 354.0652.

Methyl 4-(diphenoxyphosphoryl)benzoate (2l). Following the general procedure, compound **2l** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 hexane/EtOAc to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange solid (83.14 mg, 0.226 mmol, 75%); TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.18; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.20–8.13 (m, 2H), 8.09–7.98 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.24 (m, 4H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 6H), 3.95 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 166.1 (CO), 150.2 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.8$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1$), 134.5 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.2$ Hz, C^4), 132.4 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.6$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 131.5 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 191.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 130.0 ($4\times\text{C}^3\text{'-H}$), 129.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 16.0$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 125.6 ($2\times\text{C}^4\text{'-H}$), 120.7 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{'-H}$), 52.7 ($\text{CH}_3\text{-O}$) ppm; ^{31}P -NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.04 ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 368 (M^+ , 82), 367 ($\text{M}^+\text{-H}$, 100), 337 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OMe}$, 11), 275 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OPh}$, 29), 228 (25), 77 (50); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_5\text{P}$ 368.0814, found 368.0798.

Diphenyl (3-chlorophenyl)phosphonate (2m). Following the general procedure compound **2m** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange solid (66.87 mg, 0.194 mmol, 65%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.30; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.96 (dt, $J = 14.5, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.84 (ddt, $J = 13.6, 7.5, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.44 (td, $J = 7.8, 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.10 (m, 10H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.2 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.7$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1$), 135.2 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 21.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-Cl}$), 133.5 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.2$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 132.2 (d, $^2J = 11.2$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 130.41 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 130.23 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 17.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 129.9 ($4\times\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 127.0 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 254.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 125.5 ($2\times\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^{2'}$ -H) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 346 ($\text{M}^+ + 2\text{H}$, 34), 344 (M^+ , 100), 309 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{Cl}$, 10), 251 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{OPh}$, 32), 77 (72); ^{31}P -NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 9.30 ppm; HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_3\text{P}$ ($\text{M}^+ - \text{Cl}$) 309.0681, found 309.0675.

Diphenyl (3-nitrophenyl)phosphonate (2n).

Following the general procedure compound **2n** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange solid (92.3 mg, 0.260 mmol, 87%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁴ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.15; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.87–8.77 (m, 1H), 8.53–8.41 (m, 1H), 8.29 (ddt, $J = 13.1, 7.6, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.73 (ddd, $J = 8.6, 7.6, 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.23–7.15 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.0 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.7$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1$), 148.2 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 19.2$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-NO}_2$), 138.0 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 130.23 (d, $^3J = 16.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 130.08 ($4\times\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 129.6 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 196.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 127.9 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.0$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 127.2 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 12.0$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 125.8 ($2\times\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 120.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^{2'}$ -H) ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) m/z (%) 355 (M^+ , 100), 308 (37), 262 ($\text{M}^+ - \text{OPh}$ 17), 207 (24), 77 (64).

Diphenyl (3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)phosphonate (2o). Following the general procedure, compound **2o** was obtained after FC (90:10 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (75.10 mg, 0.198/ mmol, 66 %): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.32; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.23 (d, $J = 14.3$ Hz 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 13.6, 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.65 (tdt, $J = 7.8, 4.3, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.14 (m, 10H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.2 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.8$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^1$), 135.6 (dd, $^2J_{\text{C-P}}, ^5J_{\text{C-F}} = 10.0, 1$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 131.4 (dq, $^2J_{\text{C-F}}, ^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 32, 16.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-CF}_3$), 130.01 ($4\times\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 129.97 (q, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 3.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$) 129.4 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 15.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 129.3 (dq, $^4J_{\text{C-P}}, ^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 8.6, 3.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 126.7 (d, $^1J = 186.2$ Hz,

C¹-P), 125.6 (d, $^5J_{C-P} = 1.4$ Hz, 2xC^{4'}-H), 123.5 (qd, $^1J_{C-F}$, $^4J_{C-P} = 272$, 3 Hz, CF₃), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.5$ Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H) ppm; ^{31}P -NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 378 (M⁺, 100), 377 (M⁺-H, 98), 285 (28), 238 (45), 77 (85); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₁₉H₁₄F₃O₃P 378.0633, found 378.0615.

Diphenyl (3-acetamidophenyl)phosphonate (2p). Following the general procedure, compound **2p** was obtained after FC (from 50:50 hexane/EtOAc to 100% EtOAc) as an orange sticky oil (53.0 mg, 0.144 mmol, 48 %). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 50:50): R_f 0.45; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.07 (s, 1H), 8.42 – 8.35 (m, 1H), 7.91 (dt, $J = 16.0$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (ddt, $J = 13.4$, 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (td, $J = 7.9$, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.35 – 7.20 (m, 4H), 7.17 – 7.01 (m, 6H), 1.86 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR { ^1H } (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5 (CONHAr), 150.2 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 7.7$ Hz, 2xC^{2'}), 139.7 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 20.2$ Hz, C³-NHAc), 129.9 (4xC^{3'}-H), 128.6 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 227.0$ Hz, C¹-P), 126.5 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 8.8$ Hz, C⁶-H), 125.6 (2xC^{4'}-H), 124.6 (C⁴-H), 123.3 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 13.5$ Hz, C⁵-H), 120.8 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.3$ Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H), 24.2 (NHCOCH₃) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 367 (M⁺, 48), 325 (100), 232 (15).

Diphenyl (2-chlorophenyl)phosphonate (2q). Following the general procedure, compound **2q** was obtained after FC (from 95:5 to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (69.1 mg, 0.20 mmol, 67%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.28; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 – 8.09 (m, 1H), 7.57 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.41 – 7.32 (m, 1H), 7.34 – 7.21 (m, 8H), 7.19 – 7.11 (m, 2H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR { ^1H } (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.3 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 7.6$ Hz, C^{1'}), 137.1 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 2.9$ Hz, C²-Cl), 137.0 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 8.9$ Hz, C³-H), 134.7 (d, $^4J_{C-P} = 2.7$ Hz, C⁴-H), 131.2 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 10.8$ Hz, C⁶-H), 129.8 (4xC^{3'}-H), 126.8 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 14.7$ Hz, C⁵-H), 125.9 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 196.5$ Hz, C¹-P), 125.4 (2xC^{4'}-H), 120.7 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 4.7$ Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H) ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) m/z (%) 346 (M⁺+2, 21), 344 (M⁺, 86), 309 (M⁺-Cl, 72), 215 (82), 77 (100).

Diphenyl o-tolylphosphonate (2r). Following the general procedure, compound **2r** was obtained after FC (from 95:5 to 85:15 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid. (60.8 mg, 0.19 mmol, 63%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁵ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.28; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (ddd, $J = 15.2$, 7.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.44 (m, 1H), 7.35 – 7.09 (m, 13H), 2.76 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR { ^1H } (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.5 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 7.8$ Hz, 2xC^{1'}), 142.2 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 10.6$ Hz, C²-Me), 134.5 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 11.1$ Hz,

C⁶-H), 133.4 (d, ⁴J_{C-P} = 3.1 Hz, C⁴-H), 131.6 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 15.8 Hz, C³-H), 129.8 (4xC^{3'}-H), 125.79 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 15.8 Hz, C⁵-H), 125.73 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 188.6 Hz, C-P), 125.1 (d, ⁵J_{C-P} = 1.2 Hz, 2xC^{4'}-H), 120.5 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 4.6 Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H), 21.6 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 3.6 Hz, CH₃) ppm; LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%) 324 (M⁺, 100), 288 (18), 231 (25), 212 (30), 77 (54).

Diphenyl [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ylphosphonate (2s). Following the general procedure, compound **2s** was obtained after FC (from 95:5 to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) and further purified by recrystallization from *i*-PrOH as an orange crystalline solid (67.2 mg, 0.174 mmol, 58%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) *R_f* 0.30; mp (*i*-PrOH) 93-95 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.36 – 8.27 (m, 1H), 7.65 (tt, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dtd, *J* = 7.6, 3.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.38 (m, 4H), 7.25 – 7.17 (m, 4H), 7.13 – 7.05 (m, 2H), 6.93 – 6.86 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.5 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 8.4 Hz, 2xC^{1'}), 146.6 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 10.0 Hz, C²), 141.2 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 4.2 Hz, C⁷), 134.6 (d, ²J = 10.8 Hz, C⁶-H), 133.0 (d, ⁴J_{C-P} = 3.1 Hz, C⁴-H), 131.9 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 14.9 Hz, C⁵-H), 129.7 (2xC⁹-H), 129.6 (4xC^{3'}-H), 127.8 (C¹⁰-H), 127.8 (2xC⁸-H), 127.2 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 15.6 Hz, C³-H), 125.9 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 192.4 Hz, C¹-P), 124.9 (d, ⁵J_{C-P} = 1.2 Hz, 2xC^{4'}-H), 120.5 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 4.8 Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H) ppm; ³¹P-NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.43 ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) *m/z* (%) 386 (M⁺, 42), 293 (M⁺-OPh), 199 (100); HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calculated for C₂₄H₁₉O₃P 386.1072, found 386.1071.

Diphenyl (2-methoxyphenyl)phosphonate (2t). Following the general procedure, compound **2t** was obtained after FC (from 75:25 to 65:35 hexane/EtOAc) as a brown liquid (37.1 mg, 0.11 mmol, 37%). The spectral data matched that reported:⁴⁴ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) *R_f* 0.13; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.96 (ddd, *J* = 15.7, 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dddd, *J* = 8.4, 7.4, 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.33 – 7.26 (m, 11H), 7.26 – 7.14 (m, 1H), 7.06 – 6.89 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.5 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 2.5 Hz, C²-OMe), 150.8 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 7.5 Hz, 2xC^{1'}), 135.9 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 7.9 Hz, C³-H), 135.5 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 2.2 Hz, C⁶-H), 129.7 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 1.0 Hz, 4xC^{3'}-H), 125.0 (d, ⁴J_{C-P} = 1.3 Hz, 2xC^{4'}-H), 120.8 (d, ²J_{C-P} = 4.6 Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H), 120.55 (C⁴-H), 115.0 (d, ¹J_{C-P} = 192.2 Hz, C¹-P), 111.4 (d, ³J_{C-P} = 9.9 Hz, C⁵-H), 55.9 (OCH₃) ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) *m/z* (%) 340 (M⁺, 27), 309 (M⁺-OMe, 11), 247 (M⁺-OPh, 100), 215 (49), 77 (42).

Diphenyl (2-cyanophenyl)phosphonate (2u). Following the general procedure, compound **2u** was obtained after FC (80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as a pale orange solid (69.5 mg, 0.207 mmol, 69%). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁴ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) *R_f* 0.15; ¹H

NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.31–8.21 (m, 1H), 7.91–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.78–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.23 (m, 8H), 7.21–7.09 (m, 2H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 150.1 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 8.1$ Hz, $2\text{x}\text{C}^1$), 135.7 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 134.8 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 11.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 133.5 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 2.7$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 132.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 14.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 130.0 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 193.1$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 129.9 ($4\text{x}\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 125.6 ($2\text{x}\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 120.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\text{x}\text{C}^{2'}$ -H), 117.1 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 5.9$ Hz, CN), 115.0 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.7$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{-CN}$) ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) m/z (%) 335 (M^+ , 100), 242 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OPh}$, 33), 195 (55), 170 (17), 77 (51).

Diphenyl (2-nitrophenyl)phosphonate (2v). Following the general procedure, compound **2v** was obtained after FC (from 98:2 to 50:50 hexane/EtOAc) as a brown solid (96.9 mg, 0.273 mmol, 91%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.18; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.35–8.23 (m, 1H), 8.02 (ddd, $J = 7.5, 6.2, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.12 (m, 10H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 151.9 ($\text{C}^2\text{-NO}_2$), 150.3 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 8.3$ Hz, $2\text{x}\text{C}^1$), 136.3 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 6.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 134.3 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 2.7$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 132.8 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 13.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 129.9 ($4\text{x}\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 125.6 ($2\text{x}\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 124.9 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 8.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 121.9 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 196.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\text{x}\text{C}^{2'}$ -H) ppm; ^{31}P -NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 4.70 ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) m/z (%) 355 (M^+ , 6), 262 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OPh}$, 100), 232 (64), 207 (36); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_5\text{P}$ 355.0610, found 355.0619.

Diphenyl (4-methoxy-2-nitrophenyl)phosphonate (2w). Following the general procedure, compound **2w** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as a pale orange oil (62.4 mg, 0.162 mmol, 54%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc/AcOH 80:20) R_f 0.20; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.18 (dd, $J = 14.7, 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, $J = 5.3, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 – 7.25 (m, 4H), 7.24 – 7.07 (m, 7H), 3.91 (s, 3H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.8 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.0$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-OMe}$), 153.3 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{-NO}_2$), 150.3 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 8.1$ Hz, $2\text{x}\text{C}^1$), 138.0 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 129.8 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.0$ Hz, $4\text{x}\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 125.4 (d, $^5J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.4$ Hz, $2\text{x}\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 120.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.7$ Hz, $4\text{x}\text{C}^{2'}$ -H), 117.4 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 14.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 112.5 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 204.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 111.2 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 56.3 (OCH_3); ^{31}P -NMR (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.55 ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 385 (M^+ , 3), 355 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OMe}$, 7), 292 ($\text{M}^+\text{-OPh}$, 100), 262 (24); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_6\text{P}$ 385.0715, found 385.0716.

Diphenyl (2-bromo-5-fluorophenyl)phosphonate (2x). Following the general procedure, compound **2x** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as a pale orange

crystalline solid. (87.5 mg, 0.216 mmol, 72%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.20; mp (*i*-PrOH/hexane 3:1) 64–66 °C; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.89 (ddd, $J = 16.1, 8.4, 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.69 (ddd, $J = 8.7, 6.5, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.36 – 7.23 (m, 8H), 7.21 – 7.10 (m, 3H); ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 161.4 (dd, $J = 250.9, 20.7$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-F}$), 150.2 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^{1'}$), 136.4 (dd, $J = 14.0, 7.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 130.2 (dd, $J = 197.7, 6.2$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 129.9 ($4\times\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 125.6 ($2\times\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 124.5 (dd, $J = 24.6, 9.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 121.9 (dd, $J = 22.2, 3.1$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 120.6 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^{2'}$ -H), 119.6 (t, $J = 3.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^2\text{-Br}$) ppm; ^{31}P -NMR (202 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.11 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz) ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 408 (M^{+2} , 56), 406 (M^{+} , 58), 327 ($\text{M}^{+}\text{-Br}$, 50), 233 (46), 77 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{13}\text{BrFO}_3\text{P}$ 405.9777, found 405.9773.

Diphenyl quinolin-8-ylphosphonate (2y). Following the general procedure, compound **2y** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as a dark brown solid (34.7 mg, 0.096 mmol, 32%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc/AcOH 80:20) R_f 0.12; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 9.15 (dd, $J = 4.3, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.54 (ddd, $J = 16.9, 7.1, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.24 (dt, $J = 8.3, 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.07 (dt, $J = 8.2, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.62 (ddd, $J = 8.2, 7.1, 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, $J = 8.3, 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 8H), 7.10 (tdd, $J = 6.4, 3.2, 1.8$ Hz, 2H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 151.4 ($\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 150.9 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.5$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^{1'}$), 148.0 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, C^{8a}), 146.5 (d, $J = 205.0$ Hz, C^8), 138.3 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 134.1 (d, $J = 3.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 129.6 ($\text{C}^{3'}$), 128.4 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 11.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^7\text{-H}$), 127.5 (C^{4a}), 125.9 (d, $J = 17.1$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 125.0 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz, $\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 122.1 ($\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 121.0 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^{2'}$ -H) ppm; ^{31}P -NMR (122 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.7 ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) m/z (%) 361 (M^{+} , 6), 268 ($\text{M}^{+}\text{-OPh}$, 100), 192 (12); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_3\text{P}$ 361.0868, found 361.0854.

Diphenyl benzo[d]thiazol-2-ylphosphonate (2z). Following the general procedure, compound **2z** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as a pale yellow oil (29.7 mg, 0.081 mmol, 27%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc/AcOH 80:20) R_f 0.21; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.31 (dd, $J = 8.4, 1.0$ Hz, 1H), 8.01 (ddd, $J = 7.9, 1.4, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.66 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.27 (m, 8H), 7.23 – 7.14 (m, 2H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR $\{^1\text{H}\}$ (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 157.9 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 251.2$ Hz, C^2), 154.6 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 30.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^{3a}\text{-N}$), 150.0 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^{1'}$), 136.9 (C^{7a}), 130.0 ($4\times\text{C}^{3'}$ -H), 127.6 ($\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 127.3 ($\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 125.9 ($\text{C}^7\text{-H}$), 125.5 ($\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 122.2 (d, $^5J_{\text{C-P}} = 1.9$ Hz, $2\times\text{C}^{4'}$ -H), 120.9 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.6$ Hz, $4\times\text{C}^{2'}$ -H) ppm;

³¹P-NMR (122 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -10.28 ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) *m/z* (%) 367 (M⁺, 8), 303 (21), 207 (100); HRMS (EI-TOF) *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₁₄NO₃PS 367.0432, found 367.0407.

Methyl 3-(diphenoxyphosphoryl)tiophene-2-carboxylate (2aa). Following the general procedure, compound **2aa** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (56.2 mg, 0.15 mmol, 50%): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) *R_f* 0.10; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.66 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.25 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.8 (CO₂Me), 150.6 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 8.0 Hz, 2xC^{1'}-H), 140.3 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 15.0 Hz, C²), 134.7 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 15.4 Hz, C⁴-H), 132.2 (d, ¹*J*_{C-P} = 201.4 Hz, C²-P), 130.9 (d, ³*J*_{C-P} = 20.8 Hz, C⁵-H), 129.8 (4xC^{3'}-H), 125.3 (2xC^{4'}-H), 120.7 (d, ³*J*_{C-P} = 4.7 Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H), 53.0 (O-CH₃) ppm; ³¹P-NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.40 ppm; LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%) 374 (M⁺, 1), 343 (M⁺-OMe, 4), 281 (M⁺-OPh, 100), 77 (6); HRMS (EI-TOF) *m/z* calculated for C₁₇H₁₂O₄PS 343.0194 (M⁺-OMe), found 343.0164.

Diphenyl (2-chloropyridin-3-yl)phosphonate (2ab). Following the general procedure, compound **2ab** was obtained after FC (from 95:5 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc) as an orange liquid (76.75 mg, 0.22 mmol, 74 %). The spectral data matched that reported:¹⁴ TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) *R_f* 0.10; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.57 (dt, *J* = 4.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (ddd, *J* = 14.7, 7.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.38 – 7.22 (m, 9H), 7.19 – 7.12 (m, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.6 (C⁶), 153.1 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 5.8 Hz, C²-H), 150.0 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 7.7 Hz, C^{1'}), 146.1 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 8.6 Hz, C⁴-H), 129.9 (4xC^{3'}-H), 125.7 (d, ⁴*J*_{C-P} = 1.4 Hz, 2xC^{4'}-H), 123.5 (d, ¹*J*_{C-P} = 186.7 Hz, C³-P), 122.2 (d, ³*J*_{C-P} = 10.9 Hz, C⁵-H), 120.6 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 4.7 Hz, C^{2'}-H); LRMS (EI-DIP) *m/z* (%) 347 (M⁺+2, 21), 345 (M⁺, 82), 310 (M⁺-Cl, 62), 169 (100).

Diphenyl (4-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)phenyl)phosphonate (2ac). Following the general procedure, compound **2ac** was obtained after FC (from 90:10 to 80:20 hexane/EtOAc) as a crystalline pale yellow solid (107.6 mg, 0.24 mmol, 81 %): TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) *R_f* 0.36; mp (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) 133–135 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.24 – 8.19 (m, 2H), 8.14 – 8.04 (m, 3H), 7.92 (dt, *J* = 7.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 7.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (ddd, *J* = 8.3, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 7.25 – 7.11 (m, 6H) ppm; ¹³C NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.3 (C⁵), 154.1 (C^{10a}-N), 150.3 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 7.5 Hz, 2xC^{1'}), 137.8 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 3.5 Hz, C⁴), 135.3 (C^{6a}-S), 133.1 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 10.6 Hz, 2xC²-H), 129.93 (4xC^{3'}-H),

129.24 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 192.9$ Hz, C¹), 127.6 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 16.0$ Hz, 2xC³-H), 126.8 (C⁸-H), 126.0 (C⁹-H), 125.4 (2xC^{4'}-H), 123.7 (C⁷-H), 121.9 (C¹⁰-H), 120.7 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 4.4$ Hz, 4xC^{2'}-H) ppm; ³¹P-NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.32 ppm; LRMS (EI-DIP) m/z (%) 443 (M⁺, 98), 350 (M⁺-OPh, 100), 77; HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₅H₁₈NO₃PS 443.0745, found 443.074.

Diethyl (4-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yl)phenyl)phosphonate (3). The phosphonate **2ac** (443.5 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added into a flame-dried round bottom flask, and the system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times). EtOH (3 mL, 99.9 %) was then added and the mixture was stirred until a homogeneous solution was obtained. Then, freshly prepared NaOEt (3 mL, 2 M, 6 equiv) was added dropwise, while stirring at 20 °C (external water bath). After 2 h, NaOH (40 mL, 1 M) was added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with Et₂O (3x20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by FC on silica gel (from 90:10 to 70:30 hexane/EtOAc), to obtain the pure product as a pale yellow solid (277.5 mg, 0.80 mmol, 80%). The spectral data matched that reported.⁴⁵

TLC (hexane/EtOAc 80:20) R_f 0.24; ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 – 8.15 (m, 2H), 8.11 (ddd, $J = 8.2, 1.3, 0.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.98 – 7.88 (m, 3H), 7.52 (ddd, $J = 8.3, 7.2, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, $J = 8.3, 7.3, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.26 – 4.01 (m, 4H), 1.34 (td, $J = 7.1, 0.6$ Hz, 6H); ¹³C-NMR {¹H} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7 (C⁵), 153.9 (C^{10a}-N), 137.0 (C⁴), 135.2 (C^{6a}-S), 132.6 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 10.1$ Hz, 2xC²-H), 131.1 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 188.3$ Hz, C¹-P), 127.6 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 15.2$ Hz, 2xC³-H), 126.8 (C⁸-H), 125.92 (C⁹-H), 123.6 (C⁷-H), 121.9 (C¹⁰-H), 62.5 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 5.5$ Hz, O-CH₂), 16.5 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 6.5$ Hz, CH₃).

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-yl dimethylphosphine oxide (4). Phosphonate **2s** (115.92 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added into a flame-dried round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and capped with a rubber septum. The system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times), before adding dry THF (3 mL) and then cooled to 0 °C with an external ice-water bath. Once the temperature was reached, MeMgBr (400 μ L, 2.4 M, 2.6 equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 min, letting the drop fall down the walls of the flask to cool the MeMgBr solution. The reaction was stirred for an additional 30 min, before being quenched with H₂SO₄ (0.5 mL, 0.10 M), while keeping the cooling bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x10 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent was

removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by FC on silica gel (from 100% EtOAc to 80:20 EtOAc/MeOH) giving the pure product as a white solid (63.0 mg, 0.28 mmol, 92 %). The spectral data matched that reported.^{38b}

TLC (EtOAc/MeOH 90:10) R_f 0.18; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.16 – 8.06 (m, 1H), 7.50 (p, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.42 – 7.37 (m, 3H), 7.35 – 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.28 – 7.24 (m, 1H), 1.37 (d, $J = 13.1$ Hz, 6H) ppm; $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ { ^1H } (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 144.5 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.9$ Hz, C^2), 141.2 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.3$ Hz, C^7), 132.8 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 94.7$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 132.1 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 131.3 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 2.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 131.1 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 129.7 (2x $\text{C}^9\text{-H}$), 128.2 ($\text{C}^{10}\text{-H}$), 128.2 (2x $\text{C}^8\text{-H}$), 127.5 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 10.7$ Hz, $\text{C}^5\text{-H}$), 19.0 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 71.5$ Hz, 2xMe-P) ppm; $^{31}\text{P-NMR}$ (202 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 36.19 ppm; LRMS (EI): m/z (%) 230 (M^+ , 12), 229 ($\text{M}^+\text{-H}$, 100), 215 ($\text{M}^+\text{-Me}$, 8), 152 (10).

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-ylidiphenylphosphine oxide (**5**). Phosphonate **2s** (193.2 mg, 0.50 mmol) was added into a flame-dried round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and capped with a rubber septum. The system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times), before adding dry THF (3 mL) and then cooled to 0 °C with an external ice-water bath. Once the temperature was reached, PhMgBr (910 μL , 2.2 M, 4 equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 min, letting the drop fall down the walls of the flask to cool the PhMgBr solution. The reaction was stirred for an additional 4 h, before being quenched with H_2SO_4 (0.5 mL, 0.10 M), while keeping the cooling bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3x10 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by FC on silica gel (from 50:50 hexane/EtOAc to 100% EtOAc), giving the pure product as a white solid (141.80 mg, 0.40 mmol, 80 %). The spectral data matched that reported:⁴⁶

TLC (hexane/EtOAc 50:50) $R_f = 0.30$; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.61 – 7.50 (m, 5H), 7.45 – 7.24 (m, 9H), 7.23 – 7.19 (m, 2H), 7.08 – 6.99 (m, 3H) ppm; $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ { ^1H } (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 147.6 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 8.5$ Hz, C^2), 140.2 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.1$ Hz, C^7), 134.0 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 12.2$ Hz, $\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 132.9 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 104.6$ Hz, 2x $\text{C}^{1'}\text{-P}$), 131.9 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^6\text{-H}$), 131.7 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 2.5$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 131.52 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.3$ Hz, 4x $\text{C}^{3'}\text{-H}$), 131.50 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 96.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^1\text{-P}$), 131.1 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 2.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^{4'}\text{-H}$), 130.1 (2x $\text{C}^9\text{-H}$), 128.0 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 12.0$ Hz, 4x $\text{C}^{2'}\text{-H}$),

127.1 (2xC⁸-H), 127.06 (C¹⁰-H), 126.5 (d, $J = 12.4$ Hz, C⁵-H) ppm; ³¹P-NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 32.60 ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 353 (M⁺, 43), 277 (100), 199 (27).

Phenyl [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl(phenyl)phosphinate (6). Phosphonate **2s** (193.2 mg, 0.50 mmol) was added into a flame-dried round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and capped with a rubber septum. The system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times), before adding dry THF (3 mL) and then cooled to 0 °C with an external ice-water bath. Once the temperature was reached, PhMgBr (273 μ L, 2.2 M, 1.2 equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 min, letting the drop fall down the walls of the flask to cool the PhMgBr solution. The reaction was stirred for an additional 1 h, before being quenched with H₂SO₄ (0.5 mL, 0.10 M), while keeping the cooling bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x10 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by FC on silica gel (from 70:30 to 50:50 hexane/EtOAc) giving the pure product as a white solid (113 mg, 0.31 mmol, 62%).

TLC (hexane/EtOAc 50:50) R_f 0.50; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.25 (ddd, $J = 13.2, 7.6, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 (tt, $J = 7.6, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.50 (tdd, $J = 7.6, 2.9, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.42 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.30 – 7.10 (m, 10H), 7.02 (tt, $J = 6.6, 1.1$ Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C-NMR {¹H} (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.9 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 8.0$ Hz, C^A), 146.7 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 12.1$ Hz, C²), 140.4 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.4$ Hz, C⁷), 133.0 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 8.8$ Hz, C⁶-H), 132.2 (d, $^4J_{C-P} = 2.7$ Hz, C⁴-H), 131.82 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 10.5$ Hz, 2xC^{3'}-H), 131.80 (C^{4'}-H), 131.6 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 12.4$ Hz, C³-H), 131.5 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 120.9$ Hz, C¹-P), 131.3 (d, $^1J_{C-P} = 118.7$ Hz, C^{1'}-P), 129.9 (2xC^C-H), 129.5 (C⁹-H), 128.0 (d, $^2J_{C-P} = 13.6$ Hz, 2xC^{2'}-H), 127.5 (2xC⁸-H), 127.40 (C¹⁰-H), 127.0 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 12.6$ Hz, C⁵-H), 124.4 (C^D-H), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{C-P} = 4.8$ Hz, 2xC^B-H) ppm; ³¹P-NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 30.87 ppm; LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 370 (M⁺, 49), 293 (M⁺-Ph, 64), 277 (M⁺, 100), 199 (85); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for C₂₄H₁₉O₂P 370.1123, found 370.1113.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-yl(methyl)(phenyl)phosphine oxide (7). Phosphonate **6** (115.92 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added into a flame-dried round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and capped with a rubber septum. The system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times), before adding dry THF (3 mL) and then cooled to 0 °C with an external ice-water bath. Once the temperature was reached, MeMgBr (18 μ L, 2.4 M, 1.5 equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 min, letting the drop fall down the walls of the flask to cool the MeMgBr

solution. The reaction was stirred for an additional 1 h, before being quenched with H₂SO₄ (0.5 mL, 0.1 M), while keeping the cooling bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x10 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by flash FC on silica gel (from 100% EtOAc to 95:05 EtOAc/MeOH) giving the pure product as a white solid (76.0 mg, 0.26 mmol, 87%).

TLC (100% EtOAc) *R_f* 0.50; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (ddd, *J* = 13.2, 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (tt, *J* = 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49 – 7.35 (m, 4H), 7.35 – 7.24 (m, 4H), 7.21 (ddd, *J* = 8.4, 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (dt, *J* = 6.9, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 1.59 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C-NMR {¹H} (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.1 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 9.5 Hz, C²), 140.7 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, C⁷), 134.7 (d, *J* = 102.4 Hz (C^{1'}-P), 132.70 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, C⁶-H), 131.71 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, C-H), 131.54 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, C³-H), 131.36 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, C-H), 130.5 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 2xC^{3'}-H), 129.9 (2xC⁹-H), 128.4 (d, *J* = 12.1 Hz, 2xC^{2'}-H), 127.83 (2xC⁸-H), 127.78 (C¹⁰-H), 127.17 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, C⁵-H), 16.72 (d, *J* = 74.1 Hz, CH₃); ³¹P-NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 28.52 ppm; LRMS (EI) *m/z* (%) 292 (M⁺, 21), 291 (M⁺-H, 68), 215 (M⁺-Ph, 100), 199 (17); HRMS (EI-TOF) *m/z* calculated for C₁₉H₁₇OP 292.1017, found 292.0993.

*8-Fluoro-6-phenoxydibenzo[*c,e*][1,2]oxaphosphinine 6-oxide (8)*. Following a previously reported procedure,⁴⁷ the phosphonate **2x** was added into a flame dried pressure tube (81.43, 0.20 mmol), followed by KOAc (29 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), (*R_a*)-BINAP (12.45 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol-%) and Pd(OAc)₂ (11.25 mg, 0.01 mmol, 5 mol-%). The tube was capped with a rubber septum, and the system was evacuated and filled with argon (three times). Then, dry toluene (1 mL) was added under Ar and the pressure tube was finally capped with a pressure cap. The resulting mixture was stirred at 100 °C (sand bath) for 24 h. After this time, the tube was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was directly purified by FC on silica gel (from 70:30 to 60:40 hexane/EtOAc), affording the desired product as a white solid (20.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 31%). The enantiomeric ratio was determined by chiral HPLC: Chiralpak AD-H, 25 °C, flow rate: 1 mL/min, hexane/*i*-PrOH: 60:40, 254 nm, 8.6 min (*S*), 9.3 min (*R*). The absolute configuration was assigned by analogy with compounds shown in the literature.⁴⁷ The authentic racemic mixture to determine the enantiomeric ratio by HPLC (see the traces in SI) was obtained with similar yield, using

almost identical conditions, but in the absence of phosphine ligands. The spectral data matched that reported:⁴⁸

TLC (hexane/EtOAc 70:30) R_f 0.24; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.99 (ddd, $J = 8.9, 7.4, 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.90 (dd, $J = 7.9, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.69 (ddd, $J = 16.0, 7.4, 2.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.48 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.34 – 7.21 (m, 4H), 7.18 – 7.12 (m, 1H), 7.04 (dt, $J = 8.4, 1.3$ Hz, 2H) ppm; $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (^1H) (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 162.1 (dd, $^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 252.8$, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 22.3$ Hz, $\text{C}^8\text{-F}$), 149.6 (2xd, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.8$ Hz, $\text{C}^{1'}$ and C^{4a}), 133.5 (dd, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 6.4$, $^4J_{\text{C-F}} = 3.4$ Hz, C^{10a}), 130.7 ($\text{C}^3\text{-H}$), 129.9 (2xC $^{3'}$ -H), 126.9 (dd, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 14.6$, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 7.6$ Hz, $\text{C}^{10}\text{-H}$), 125.6 ($\text{C}^1\text{-H}$), 125.33 ($\text{C}^2\text{-H}$), 125.29 ($\text{C}^{4'}\text{-H}$), 123.8 (dd, $^1J_{\text{C-P}} = 182.6$, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 6.8$ Hz, C^{6a}), 122.1 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 11.8$ Hz, C^{10b}), 121.6 (dd, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.0$, $^4J_{\text{C-P}} = 3.0$ Hz, $\text{C}^9\text{-H}$), 120.7 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 4.4$ Hz, 2xC $^{2'}$ -H), 120.4 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-P}} = 7.1$ Hz, $\text{C}^4\text{-H}$), 117.4 (dd, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 23.1$, $^2J_{\text{C-P}} = 9.9$ Hz, $\text{C}^7\text{-H}$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P-NMR}$ (162 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.04 (d, $^4J_{\text{P-F}} = 8.0$ Hz); LRMS (EI) m/z (%) 326 (M^+ , 78), 233 (100), 186 (45); HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z calculated for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{12}\text{FO}_3\text{P}$ 326.0508, found 326.0511.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website. GC-calibrations, mechanistic experiments, gram-scale preparations, HPLC traces of chiral compound **8**, and spectroscopy data (PDF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: josecarlos.gonzalez@ua.es

Author Contributions

†These authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was generously supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (MICIU; grant no. CTQ2017-88171-P) and the University of Alicante (grant

no. VIGROB-285/19). I.B. is also grateful to the Spanish MICIU for a Juan de la Cierva-incorporación grant (no. IJCI-2017-33706).

REFERENCES

- (1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Moonen, K.; Laureyn, I.; Stevens, C. V. Synthetic Methods for Azaheterocyclic Phosphonates and their Biological Activity. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 6177–6216. (b) Demmer, C. S.; Krogsgaard-Larsen, N.; Bunch, L. Review on Modern Advances of Chemical Methods for the Introduction of a Phosphonic Acid Group. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 7981–8006. (c) Queffelec, C.; Petit, M.; Janvier, P.; Knight, D. A.; Bujoli, B. Surface Modification using Phosphonic Acids and Esters. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 3777–3807.
- (2) (a) Edder, C.; Frechet, M. J. Synthesis of Bridged Oligothiophenes: Toward a New Class of Thiophene-Based Electroactive Surfactants. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1879–1882. (b) Reisinger, C. M.; Nowack, R. J.; Volkmer, D.; Rieger, B. Novel palladium complexes employing mixed phosphine phosphonates and phosphine phosphinates as anionic chelating [P,O] ligands. *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 272–278. (c) Bonnaventure, I.; Charette, A. B. Probing the Importance of the Hemilabile Site of Bis(phosphine)Monoxide Ligands in the Copper-Catalyzed Addition of Diethylzinc to N-Phosphinoylimines: Discovery of New Effective Chiral Ligands. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 6330–6340.
- (3) (a) Suto, Y.; Tsuji, R.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Cu(I)-Catalyzed Direct Enantioselective Cross Aldol-Type Reaction of Acetonitrile. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3757–3760. (b) Branion, S.; Benin, V. Preparation of Some Substituted Terephthalic Acids. *Synth. Commun.* **2006**, *36*, 2121.
- (4) (a) Hirao, T.; Masunaga, T.; Ohshiro, Y.; Agawa, T. Stereoselective synthesis of vinylphosphonate. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 3595–3598. (b) Hirao, T.; Masunaga, T.; Ohshiro, Y.; Agawa, T. A Novel Synthesis of Dialkyl Arenephosphonates. *Synthesis* **1981**, *1981*, 56–57.
- (5) For selected recent Pd-catalyzed examples, see: (a) Xu, W.; Hu, G.; Xu, P.; Gao, Y.; Yin, Y.; Zhao, Y. Palladium-Catalyzed C-P Cross-Coupling of Arylhydrazines with H-Phosphonates via C-N Bond Cleavage. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 2948–2954. (b) Wang, T.; Sang, S.; Liu, L.; Qiao, H.; Gao, Y.; Zhao, Y. Experimental and Theoretical Study on Palladium-Catalyzed C-P Bond Formation via Direct Coupling of Triarylbi-muths with P(O)-H Compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 608–617. (c) Fu, W.-C.; So, M. C.; Kwong,

F. Y. Palladium-Catalyzed Phosphorylation of Aryl Mesylates and Tosylates. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5906–5909. (d) Luo, H.; Liu, H.; Chen, X.; Wang, K.; Luo, X.; Wang, K. Ar–P bond construction by the Pd-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling of arylsilanes with H-phosphonates via C–Si bond cleavage. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 956–958.

(6) For selected examples of Cu-catalyzed preparation of aryl phosphonates, see: (a) Zhuang, R.; Xu, J.; Cai, Z.; Tang, G.; Fang, M.; Zhao, Y. Copper-Catalyzed C–P Bond Construction *via* Direct Coupling of Phenylboronic Acids with H-Phosphonate Diesters. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2110–2113. (b) Chen, S.-Y.; Zeng, R.-S.; Zou, J.-P.; Asekun, O. T. Copper-Catalyzed Coupling Reaction of Arylhydrazines and Trialkylphosphites. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 1449–1453.

(7) For selected examples of Ni-catalyzed preparation of aryl phosphonates, see: (a) Yang, J.; Xiao, J.; Chen, T.; Han, L.-B. Nickel-Catalyzed Phosphorylation of Phenol Derivatives *via* C–O/P–H Cross-Coupling. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 3911–3916. (b) Isshiki, R.; Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J. Decarbonylative C–P Bond Formation Using Aromatic Esters and Organophosphorus Compounds. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 1150–1153.

(8) For recent reviews, see: (a) Romero, N. A.; Nicewicz, D. A. Organic Photoredox Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.*, **2016**, *116*, 10075–10166. (b) Staveness, D.; Bosque, I.; Stephenson, C. R. J. Free Radical Chemistry Enabled by Visible Light-Induced Electron Transfer. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2016**, *49*, 2295–2306. (c) Matsui, J. K.; Lang, S. B.; Heitz, D. R.; Molander, G. A. Photoredox-Mediated Routes to Radicals: The Value of Catalytic Radical Generation in Synthetic Methods Development. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 2563–2575. (d) Marzo, L. M.; Pagire, S. K.; Reiser, O.; König, B. Visible-Light Photocatalysis: Does It Make a Difference in Organic Synthesis? *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 10034–10072.

(9) (a) He, Y.; Wu, H.; Toste, F. D. A dual catalytic strategy for carbon–phosphorus cross-coupling *via* gold and photoredox catalysis. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 1194–1198. (b) Shaikh, R. S.; Düsel, S. J.; König, B. Visible-Light Photo-Arbuzov Reaction of Aryl Bromides and Trialkyl Phosphites Yielding Aryl Phosphonates. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 8410–8414. (c) Ghosh, I.; Shaikh, R. S.; König, B. Sensitization-Initiated Electron Transfer for Photoredox Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 8544–8549. (d) Neumeier, M.; Sampedro, D.; Májek, M.; de la Peña O’Shea, V. A.; Jacobi von Wangelin, A.; Pérez-Ruiz, R. Dichromatic Photocatalytic Substitutions of Aryl Halides with a Small Organic Dye. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**,

- 24, 105-108. (e) Jian, Y.; Chen, M.; Huang, B.; Jia, W.; Yang, C.; Xia, W. Visible-Light-Induced C(sp²)-P Bond Formation by Denitrogenative Coupling of Benzotriazoles with Phosphites. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 5370-5374.
- (10) (a) Shaikh, R.; Ghosh, I.; König, B. Direct C-H Phosphonylation of Electron-Rich Arenes and Heteroarenes by Visible-Light Photoredox Catalysis. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12120-12124. (b) Niu, L.; Liu, J.; Yi, H.; Wang, S.; Liang, X. A.; Singh, A. K.; Chiang, C. W.; Lei, A. Visible-Light-Induced External Oxidant-Free Oxidative Phosphonylation of C(sp²)-H Bonds. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 7412-7416.
- (11) Chen, Q.; Yan, X.; Du, Z.; Zhang, K.; Wen, C. P-Arylation of dialkyl phosphites and secondary phosphine oxides with arynes. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 276-281.
- (12) Lecroq, W.; Bazille, P.; Morlet-Savary, F.; Breugst, M.; Lalevée, J.; Gaumont, A.-C.; Lakhdar, S. Visible-Light-Mediated Metal-Free Synthesis of Aryl Phosphonates: Synthetic and Mechanistic Investigations. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 4164-4167.
- (13) Li, R.; Chen, X.; Wei, S.; Sun, K.; Fan, L.; Liu, Y.; Qu, L.; Zhao, Y.; Yu, B. A Visible-Light-Promoted Metal-Free Strategy towards Arylphosphonates: Organic-Dye-Catalyzed Phosphorylation of Arylhydrazines with Trialkylphosphites. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 4807-4813.
- (14) Qiu, D.; Lian, C.; Mao, J.; Ding, Y.; Liu, Z.; Wei, L.; Fagnoni, M.; Protti, S. Visible Light-Driven, Photocatalyst-Free Arbuzov-Like Reaction via Arylazo Sulfones. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2019**, *361*, 5239-5244.
- (15) Wang, S.; Qiu, D.; Mo, F.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Metal-Free Aromatic Carbon-Phosphorus Bond Formation via a Sandmeyer-Type Reaction. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 11603-11611.
- (16) For recent reviews on the formation of aryl radicals from aryldiazonium salts, see: (a) Heinrich, M. R. Intermolecular Olefin Functionalisation Involving Aryl Radicals Generated from Arenediazonium Salts. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 820-833. (b) Hari, D. P.; König, B. The Photocatalyzed Meerwein Arylation: Classic Reaction of Aryl Diazonium Salts in a New Light. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 4734-4743. (c) Mo, F.; Dong, G.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Recent applications of arene diazonium salts in organic synthesis. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 1582-1593. (d) Koziakov, D.; Wu, G.; von Wangelin, A. J. Aromatic substitutions

of arenediazonium salts *via* metal catalysis, single electron transfer, and weak base mediation. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 4942–4953.

(17) For precedents in the organocatalytic generation of aryl radicals from aryldiazonium salts, see: (a) Crisóstomo, F. P.; Martín, T.; Carrillo, R. Ascorbic Acid as an Initiator for the Direct C-H Arylation of (Hetero)arenes with Anilines Nitrosated In Situ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 2181–2185. (b) Perretti, M. D.; Monzón, D. M.; Crisóstomo, F. P.; Martín, V. S.; Carrillo, R. Radical C–H arylations of (hetero)arenes catalysed by gallic acid. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 9036–9039.

(18) For a recent review, see: Dahiya, A.; Sahoo, A. K.; Alam, T.; Patel, B. K. *tert*-Butyl Nitrite (TBN), a Multitasking Reagent in Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Asian J.* **2019**, *14*, 4454–4492.

(19) (a) Felipe-Blanco, D.; Alonso, F.; Gonzalez-Gomez, J. C. Salicylic Acid-Catalyzed One-Pot Hydrodeamination of Aromatic Amines by *tert*-Butyl Nitrite in Tetrahydrofuran. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, *359*, 2857–2863. (b) Felipe-Blanco, D.; Gonzalez-Gomez, J. C. Salicylic Acid-Catalyzed Arylation of Enol Acetates with Anilines. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360*, 2773–2778. (c) Felipe-Blanco, D.; Gonzalez-Gomez, J. C. Metal-Free Protocol for the Arylation-Lactonization Sequence of γ -Alkenoic Acids using Anilines as Aryl Radical Sources. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 7735–7744.

(20) Zeng, Y.-F.; Li, Y.-N.; Zhang, N.-N.; Kang, H.; Duan, P.; Xiao, F.; Guo, Y.; Wen, X. Synthesis of Acetamides from Aryl Amines and Acetonitrile by Diazotization under Metal-Free Conditions. *Synlett* **2019**, *30*, 2169–2172.

(21) Cantillo, D.; Mateos, C.; Rincon, J. A.; de Frutos, O.; Kappe, C. O. Light-Induced C-H Arylation of (Hetero)arenes by In Situ Generated Diazo Anhydrides. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 12894–12898.

(22) Sulfonic acids have been successfully employed in substoichiometric amounts to promote the Pd-catalyzed Heck-Matsuda coupling of *in situ* formed arenediazonium salts with alkyl acrylates. See: Le Callonnec, F.; Fouquet, E.; Felpin, F.-X. Unprecedented Substoichiometric Use of Hazardous Aryl Diazonium Salts in the Heck-Matsuda Reaction via a Double Catalytic Cycle. *Org. Lett.*, **2011**, *13*, 2646–2649.

(23) For seminal investigations on the SET of trivalent phosphorous compounds to arenediazonium salts, see: (a) Yasui, S.; Fujii, M.; Kawano, C.; Nishimura, Y.; Shioji, K.;

Ohno, A. Mechanism of Dediazonation of Arenediazonium Salts with Triphenylphosphine and Trialkyl Phosphites. Generation of Cation Radicals from Trivalent Phosphorus Compounds and Their Reactions. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2* **1994**, 177-183. (b) Yasui, S.; Shioji, K.; Ohno, A. Reaction of a Cation Radical Generated from Trivalent Phosphorous Compound Through Single-Electron Transfer to Arenediazonium salt. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 2695- 2698. (c) Yasui, S.; Shioji, K.; Ohno, A. Dediazonation of Arenediazonium Salts with Trivalent-Phosphorus Compounds. Tool for Examination of the Reactivity of Phosphorus Centered Radicals. *Heteroat. Chem.* **1995**, 6, 223-233.

(24) Kauffmann, T.; Friestad, H. O.; Henkler, H. Konstitution und Konfiguration der Diazoanhydride von E. Bamberger. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1960**, 634, 64-78.

(25) Colleville, A. P.; Horan, R. A. J.; Olazabal, S.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. C-H Arylation of Heterocyclic N-Oxides Through in Situ Diazotisation Of Anilines without Added Promoters: A Green And Selective Coupling Process. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2016**, 20, 1283–1296.

(26) For a seminal report on the addition of aryl radicals to diazonium salts, see: Minisci, F.; Coppa, F.; Fontana, F.; Pianese, G.; Zhao, L. Polar Effects in Reactions of Carbon-Centered Radicals with Diazonium Salts: Free-Radical Diazocoupling. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 3929-3933.

(27) (a) Fu, J.-J. L.; Bentrude, W. G. Free-Radical Chemistry of Organophosphorus Compounds. I. Reactions of Phenyl Radicals from Phenylazotriphenylmethane with Trimethyl Phosphite. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, 94, 7710-7716. (b) Fu, J.-J. L.; Bentrude, W. G.; Griffin, C. E. Free-Radical Chemistry of Organophosphorus Compounds. II. Reactivity of Phenyl Radical toward Trimethyl Phosphite and the Mechanism of the Corresponding Photo-Arbuzov Reaction with Phenyl Iodide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, 94, 7717-7722.

(28) When we put into reacting **1b** with TBN and SA (1 equiv) at 20 °C we were unable to isolate any product from the reaction mixture. The NMR data of **I-b** was in accordance with the one previously reported in: M. A. Faith; Watts, P. The in-situ generation and reactive quench of diazonium compounds in the synthesis of azo compounds in microreactors. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, 12, 1987–2004.

(29) For a more detailed explanation, see the Supporting Information (from Figure S6 to S9).

(30) We proposed diazobenzoate **B** after checked that blocking the carboxyl group of the SA is more detrimental to the reaction than blocking the OH of the phenol moiety (Table 1, entries 9 and 10). We have also observed a similar effect in previous transformations developed in our group (Ref. 19a).

(31) (a) Hey, D. H.; Waters, W. A. The free-radical reactions of the aromatic diazo-compounds: a reply to Hodgson's criticisms. *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1948**, 882–885. (b) Huisgen, R.; Horeld, G. Der Mechanismus des Zerfalls labiler Diazo- und Azoverbindungen I Die Phenylierung aromatischer Verbindungen mit Nitroso-acyl-aniliden. *Liebigs Ann. Chem* **1949**, 562, 137-162. (c) Rüchardt, C.; Freudenberg, B. Der thermische zerfall von nitroso-acylarylaminen. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1964**, 5, 3623-3628. (d) Cadogan, J. I. G. Decomposition of acylaryl nitrosamines. A multipathway reaction. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1971**, 4, 186-192.

(32) For a recent report on the fragmentation of the closely related arylazo sulfones, see Ref 14.

(33) We can not rule out that the aryl radical is trapped by TPP, and after single-electron oxidation of this radical intermediate (the structure is shown in Scheme 2d) by SA[•], the corresponding phosphonium is hydrolyzed to give the phosphonate **2**. However, this possibility is purely speculative, and we have demonstrated that the oxidation of TPP by SA[•] is feasible.

(34) A similar cross-coupling of an aryl radical with a phosphanyl radical has been recently proposed by other authors: (a) Reference 15. (b) Zeng, H.; Dou, Q.; Li, C.-J. Photoinduced Transition-Metal-Free Cross-Coupling of Aryl Halides with H-Phosphonates. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, 21, 1301–1305.

(35) For a recent detailed analysis of this kinetic effect, see: Leifert, D.; Studer, A. The Persistent Radical Effect in Organic Synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, 59, 74– 108.

(36) Shi, D.-F.; Bradshaw, T. D.; Wrigley, S.; McCall, C. J.; Lelieveld, P.; Fichtner, I.; Stevens, M. F. G. Antitumor Benzothiazoles. 3.1 Synthesis of 2-(4-Aminophenyl)benzothiazoles and Evaluation of Their Activities against Breast Cancer Cell Lines *in Vitro* and *in Vivo*. *J. Med. Chem.* **1996**, 39, 3375-3384.

(37) Yoshino, K.; Kohno, T.; Morita, T.; Tsukamoto, G. Organic Phosphorus Compounds. Synthesis and Coronary Vasodilator Activity of (Benzothiazolylbenzyl)phosphonate Derivatives. *J. Med. Chem.* **1989**, 32, 1528-1532.

- (38) (a) Mikulski, C. M.; Karayannis, N. M.; Minkiewicz, J. V.; Pytlewski, L. L.; Labes, M. M. Interactions of neutral phosphonate esters with metal halides. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1969**, *3*, 523–526. (b) Kendall, A. J.; Salazar, C. A.; Martino, P. F.; Tyler, D. R. Direct Conversion of Phosphonates to Phosphine Oxides: An Improved Synthetic Route to Phosphines Including the First Synthesis of Methyl JohnPhos. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 6171–6178.
- (39) (a) Zhang, H.; Yang, S. Palladium-catalyzed $R_2(O)P$ -directed $C(sp^2)$ -H activation. *Sci China Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 1280–1285, and references cited therein. (b) Ji, W.; Wu, H.-H.; Zhang, J. Axially Chiral Biaryl Monophosphine Oxides Enabled by Palladium/ WJ-Phos-Catalyzed Asymmetric Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-coupling. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 1548–1554.
- (40) For a recent report, see: Nishiyama, Y.; Hazama, Y.; Yoshida, S.; Hosoya, T. Synthesis of Unsymmetrical Tertiary Phosphine Oxides *via* Sequential Substitution Reaction of Phosphonic Acid Dithioesters with Grignard Reagents. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 3899–3902.
- (41) An exhaustive exam of these reaction conditions was recently accomplished, achieving high yields of and excellent enantioselectivities for the *P*-chiral biaryl phosphonates. Xu, G.; Li, M.; Wang, S.; Tang, W. Efficient synthesis of *P*-chiral biaryl phosphonates by stereoselective intramolecular cyclization. *Org. Chem. Front.*, **2015**, *2*, 1342–1345.
- (42) Diana, M.; Pokladek, Z.; Dudek, M.; Mucha, S. G.; Mazur, L. M.; Powlik, K.; Mlynarz, P.; Samoc, M.; Matczyszyn, K. Remote-control of the enantiomeric supramolecular recognition mediated by chiral azobenzenes bound to human serum albumin. *Phys Chem. Chem. Phys* **2017**, *19*, 21272–21275.
- (43) Narva, S.; Chitti, S.; Amaroju, S.; Goud, S.; Alvala, M.; Debajan, B.; Jain, N.; Gowri, C.S.K.V. Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of 2-(4-Aminophenyl)benzothiazole Analogues as Antiproliferative Agents. *J. Het. Chem.* **2019**, *56*, 520–532.
- (44) Harveer, K.; Jasmeen, S. Synthesis, Characterization and Radical Scavenging activity of aromatic amine conjugates of 5-aminosalicylic acid. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* **2014**, *28*, 475–480.
- (45) Kohler, M.C.; Stockland, R.A.; Rath, R. P. Steric and Electronic Effects on Arylphosphonate Elimination from Organopalladium Complexes. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 5746–5756.

- (46) Zeng, H.; Dou, Q.; Li, C.-J. Photoinduced Transition-Metal-Free Cross-Coupling of Aryl Halides with H-Phosphonates. *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 1301-1305.
- (47) Xu, G.; Li, M.; Wang, S.; Tang, W. Efficient synthesis of *P*-chiral biaryl phosphonates by stereoselective intramolecular cyclization. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2015**, *2*, 1342-1345.
- (48) Baillie, C.; Xiao, J. Palladium-catalysed synthesis of biaryl phosphines. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 4159-4168.