Tennis and learning through the Fit Junior Program: Observations and results for the didactics aim
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ABSTRACT

For some years, the Italian Tennis Federation has made use of the national didactic evaluation and learning quality certification system of the Fit Junior Program, geared at accompanying young students on an introductory path consisting of a series of steps, with the aim of dividing learning into goals. With respect to this program, the aim of this study is to observe the learning of tennis within a group of "Dolphin level" beginner children, of the Potenza Tennis Club. Attention was focused on the evaluation of five technical aspects: strikes with feet planted firmly on the ground; strikes by swinging the racket forward; also uses the non-dominant limb; shifts body weight from back to front; and strikes the ball at the right time. Each of them has been assigned a value between 1 (poor skill) and 5 (excellent skill), based on the skills acquired by the child during the five months of observation. The children underwent an evaluation both before and after the training period. Through the use of the Pearson correlation, it can be said that the working method carried out has made it possible to achieve positive results, confirming the effectiveness and validity of the Fit Junior Program.
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INTRODUCTION

Mini tennis: A sport in which you learn by playing and in which equipment, spaces and rules are tailor-made for children, allowing you to correctly and quickly learn technical skills (Raiola, 2020, Raiola, Altavilla, Raiola, 2017). At the heart of mini tennis’s didactic proposal, there is a game that represents for us an absolute priority. As stated by the Italian Tennis Federation guidelines, learning follows several principles and takes into account multiple factors, related both to technique and psychology, not to mention motor and tactical ones. The first objective, in fact, is to create positive emotions in the child by helping him/her to develop a good image of himself/herself and his/her skills; for this reason, it is important that the mini tennis instructor take a gradual approach by acting according to increasing levels of difficulty, in order to ensure that the student keeps his/her motivation high and, above all, has fun. A child who approaches tennis must, therefore, be able to understand that the movements that appear complicated (because they require visual acuity, timing and a remarkable capacity for motor coordination) are, in fact, absolutely within his/her reach (Altavilla, Raiola, 2019, Altavilla et al, 2018, Altavilla, Raiola, 2015, D’Elia, Raiola, 2019, D’Elia et al, 2019, Invernizzi et al, 2020, 2014ab, 2008). The particularities of mini tennis concern the reduced size of the court, the net and the rackets, as well as the use of depressurized balls that have a lesser rebound than those generally used by adults. All this facilitates learning in children, because it makes tennis a fully accessible sport and, above all, allows greater effectiveness in the execution of the shots (Sgrò et al, 2018, 2017ab, 2016, 2009). Additionally, with rackets suitable for children of 5, 6, and 7 years of age, and balls that bounce at heights appropriate to that of the students, one is able to set proper articulatory movements; thus the physical effort required is age-appropriate (Izzo et al, 2020ab, Izzo et al, 2019ab).

Furthermore, within the teaching of mini tennis, it is essential to work on the basic coordination skills that are developed and improved through games and activities that stimulate them: space, balance, reactivity and rhythm orientation (Sannicandro et al, 2017, 2016, 2015ab, 2014, 2012ab, 2011a, 2010). We have thus set upon ourselves the goal of focusing on observing the learning of tennis in a group of children who are approaching this space for the first time.

Attention was focused on the technical aspects; therefore, the goal was to home in on the learning of the technique, while working from a holistic perspective that includes not only technical but also mental, motor and tactical aspects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants
The observation lasted five months, from October 2019 to March 2020 and was carried out during biweekly training. The sample group consists of 12 children, including nine boys and three girls.

Table 1. Data collected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Age 1st Survey</th>
<th>Age 2nd Survey</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>29/09/2008</td>
<td>11.1 yrs.</td>
<td>11.6 yrs.</td>
<td>36 Kg</td>
<td>142 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>11/12/2008</td>
<td>10.10 yrs.</td>
<td>11.3 yrs.</td>
<td>35.5 Kg</td>
<td>140 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>30/05/2010</td>
<td>9.5 yrs.</td>
<td>9.10 yrs.</td>
<td>29 Kg</td>
<td>130 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 4</td>
<td>20/07/2010</td>
<td>9.3 yrs.</td>
<td>9.8 yrs.</td>
<td>25 Kg</td>
<td>120 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 5</td>
<td>09/12/2010</td>
<td>8.10 yrs.</td>
<td>9.3 yrs.</td>
<td>23 Kg</td>
<td>122 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 6</td>
<td>31/01/2011</td>
<td>8.9 yrs.</td>
<td>9.2 yrs.</td>
<td>34 Kg</td>
<td>136 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 7</td>
<td>21/06/2011</td>
<td>8.4 yrs.</td>
<td>8.9 yrs.</td>
<td>22 Kg</td>
<td>120.5 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Student 8  13/10/2011  8 yrs.  8.5 yrs.  22.5 Kg  127 cm
Student 9  15/03/2012  7.7 yrs.  8 yrs.  24.5 Kg  120 cm
Student 10  22/05/2012  7.5 yrs.  7.10 yrs.  22.5 Kg  118 cm
Student 11  01/06/2012  7.4 yrs.  7.9 yrs.  24 Kg  123 cm
Student 12  01/03/2014  5.7 yrs.  6 yrs.  19.5 Kg  111 cm

AVERAGE VALUES  8.5  8.9  26.7  125.8

Procedures
Five technical objectives have been obtained from the official instrument of the Italian Tennis Federation, the Fit Junior Program, and each of them has been assigned a value between 1 and 5, based on the skills acquired by the child during the five months of observation.

1. Strikes with feet planted firmly on the ground: A primary objective in all technical skills is balance; in fact, it is appropriate to focus attention on the starting position, characterized by a broad support base and correct core posture. In going for the ball and during the execution of technical skills, students will have to assume a position of the body in order to procure good balance. From this point of view, hitting from the side with respect to the net (in “neutral stance”) is particularly useful as it is easier to control the centre of gravity.

2. Strikes by swinging the racket forward: It is important to encourage students to perform various skills by imagining that they “cross the ball” thanks to a predominantly horizontal trajectory of the racket.

3. Also uses the non-dominant limb: The value of bilateral exercises, which must be considered an integral part of the ideal structure of a mini tennis lesson, is important.

4. Shifts body weight from back to front: Represents an aspect of priority importance in order to ensure quality in the execution of technical skills.

5. Strikes the ball at the right time: The key to an effective execution of technical skills is associated with rhythm. If students are able to recognize the direction, trajectory and speed of the incoming ball, then they will be easily reaching the ball and time preparatory movements.

How the objectives were achieved:

The exercises proposed to the sample group, with regard to the first technical objective, concerned some games designed mainly by the instructor:

- “Throwing” game: Each student, placed on one side of the court, must throw a ball beyond the net and immediately thereafter position himself/herself at the exact point where it bounced. The furthest throw wins.
- “Inside and outside” game: Represents the next step of the previous game, that is, where the goal is to throw the ball over the net but inside the boundary lines; otherwise the throw is invalid.
- “Bounce and catch” game: Each student has a cone in his/her hands; the instructor will throw a ball which must be caught by the child by making it enter inside the cone, after a single bounce.
- Balance game: Each student keeps a tennis ball between his/her knees while remaining on one foot (first the right foot and then the left foot). The goal is to maintain the position (without dropping the ball) for a time established by the instructor (a few seconds).
• Jump competition: A short route with a start and endpoints is established, the students independently choose the foot on which to perform the task, hopping with the aim of reaching the finish line (previously identified by the instructor). The course is then repeated, changing the support foot.

With regard to the second and third objectives, the students were offered games and play activities; in particular:

• Game of “we hold the racket!”: The rackets are placed on the ground and each student is asked to take his/her own from the middle portion (“open throat”) with his/her non-preferred hand (the right for left-handers and the left for the right-handers). Students are asked to position the racket in front of the sideways body so that the dominant hand can be positioned on the ropes and slowly descend to the grip where it should be held.

• “High five” game: Students are shown the position that the body and the racket must take before striking the ball and they are asked to “give the ball a high five”.

• Finally, the fourth and fifth objectives were trained through activities of:
  • Balance (staying balanced on the back foot and then moving on the front foot only);
  • Rhythm: Through the use of the metronome, which marks the time (established and regulated by the instructor), and “regulates” the action to be taken.

Statistical analysis
Two surveys of primary importance were made for the purpose of the study, the first at the beginning of the training path and the second at the end thereof.

In the data collection carried out in October 2019, it was possible to better observe the predisposition of each child regarding the objectives to be achieved and to notice a fairly homogeneous and general trend in which the students seemed to start from an almost similar skill level, from a motor point of view.

During the second survey, carried out in March 2020, it was possible to verify the progress/regress of each student for each set goal.

In both surveys, each goal was assessed by assigning a numerical value of 1 to 5, where 1 indicated poor skill and 5 indicated excellent execution ability.

Ultimately, each of the five objectives was taken into consideration and the correlation was calculated by relating the values of the first survey with those of the second; the values obtained were indicative of what was expected from our study, i.e. an improvement.

RESULTS

From the first survey, it was possible to observe the predisposition of each student regarding the objectives to be achieved:
During the training period, these values underwent a change based on the progress or otherwise of the students' skills, which were assessed by assigning a score of 1 to 5:

Table 2. Score chart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competitive</td>
<td>Very poor skill</td>
<td>Poor skill</td>
<td>Sufficient skill</td>
<td>Good skill</td>
<td>Very good skill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Far beneath the instructor's requirements</th>
<th>Disregards the required tasks or carries them out correctly only with support or guidance</th>
<th>Correctly carries out the required tasks</th>
<th>Has achieved a level higher than that required</th>
<th>Understands and intuits the overall playing situation, taking decisive action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

During the training period, these values underwent a change based on the progress or otherwise of the students' skills, which were assessed by assigning a score of 1 to 5:
Finally, each of the five objectives was taken into consideration and the correlation was calculated by relating the values of the first survey with those of the second.

The values obtained are the following:

Table 3. Pearson correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Pearson index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strikes with feet planted firmly on the ground (balance)</td>
<td>0.269679945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strikes by swinging the racket forward (grip)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also uses the non-dominant limb</td>
<td>0.254823596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifts body weight from back to front (broadness, support base and lateral distance)</td>
<td>0.433012702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strikes the ball at the right time (timing)</td>
<td>0.603022689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Comparison correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Correlation</th>
<th>Reference Value</th>
<th>Our “r” Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good, direct correlation</td>
<td>0.5 = r &lt; 1</td>
<td>r = 0.60 (Strikes the ball at the right time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r = 0.26 (Strikes with feet planted firmly on the ground)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r = 0.25 (Uses the non-dominant limb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r = 0.43 (Shifts body weight from back to front)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct correlation trend</td>
<td>0.2 = r &lt; 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The aim of the research was to observe the learning of tennis in a group of students who approached this sport for the first time. We observed how the activities proposed to the students were valid in order to show, at the end of the observation period, an improvement of our sample group.

From the incoming data, a fairly homogeneous and general trend can be seen; meaning the children seemed to start more or less from the same level, except an exceptional case in which, out of five skills, four were very scarce: the explanation could be due to the fact that the child in question, student 12, is the youngest of the group (5.7 yrs.).

During the training period, carried out in a playful way but trying to achieve specific goals, it was possible to note the progress of each child for each individual goal. In general, among the 12 children in the sample group, there are those who have improved in all abilities and those who only improved in some.

In fact, by looking at the outgoing data, it can be seen that student 12 filled the gaps present at the beginning of the course by reaching a uniform score for all skills and, also, the skills most learned (achieving a score of 3, sufficient skill) were those related to striking the ball by swinging the racket forward and striking the ball while keeping both feet planted firmly on the ground, which entailed the use of the non-dominant limb.

By comparing the input data with the output data by applying the Pearson correlation, from the table that we obtained, we observe that all the values are > 0; therefore, this shows us the gradual improvement that occurred during the training period. Specifically, student 12 has achieved a uniform score for all skills (although he is still at a low level); the skills most learned were those related to striking the ball by swinging the racket forward and striking the ball while keeping both feet planted firmly on the ground, whereas the skill which was still difficult to acquire (but was, albeit slightly, improved to a score turns of 2, poor skill), was shifting body weight from back to front.

It is important to note the lack of the correlation index as regards the “strikes by swinging the racket forward” objective, and this is because, since the starting values were the same, the dispersion value was not detected.

Furthermore, given the similarity between the values collected and having used a rather narrow range (from 1 to 5), it was not considered appropriate to report the linear dispersion graph.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be said, therefore, that this observation work has allowed for the detection of improvement in the learning of tennis, and this can be seen from the fact that the correlation values are all > 0.

The table shows how the work carried out has made it possible to achieve positive results by confirming the improvement towards the proposed objectives; each objective, therefore, can be achieved thanks to focused and constant work through the use of valuable guidance, as is the Fit Junior Program.
REFERENCES


Izzo, R., Raiola, G., D’isanto, T., Ciejudo, A., Giovannelli, G.M. (2020a) Modelling an adequate profile for a more targeted work methodology, with dedicated technologies, for elite-level footballers: Comparison between sub 17 vs sub 19, highlights and shadows, Sport Science, 13 (1), pp. 36-42.


This work is licensed under a Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).