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#### Abstract

Predicting the features of behaviour of big data and multivariable systems has been a research subject in various fields of science. When it comes to football, as it is a field of sports followed by the whole world, the number of studies carried out aiming at predicting the results of football games has been increasing in the field of football science. Although the result of a football match depends on various variables, it is mainly determined over the offensive and defensive strengths of the teams. Different variables have so far been determined in the literature to figure out these strengths of the teams. In this study, it was aimed to predict correctly how many goals a team could score or concede in the last 5 weeks based on the average number of goals they scored and conceded since the beginning of the season in 6 European leagues. For this reason, a Poisson distribution model was established based on these offensive and defensive strengths. A total of 4264 matches and 5938 goals were analysed in the study and the established model yielded affirmative results at the level of $50 \%$ in the leagues analysed.
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## INTRODUCTION

Today, football, which we increasingly encounter in larger and more advanced organizations as a sports event, has also evolved into a crowd puller around the world. In recent years, with the latest technological developments, football has its name and consent frequently mentioned as a field of sports about which all information has become accessible. These developments have initiated a structural transformation process in the football sector all over the world. With this change over the time, football has gradually evolved into an industry needing to be managed based on the principles of maximization of profit and benefit through strategic and financial techniques. Today, football organizations around the world have taken the form of a profitable business. The reports published following the studies conducted on football economy estimate that despite the effects of the global economic crisis, the European football market is still growing economically and by the end of the 2017-2018 season, this size is estimated to reach 27 billion Euros. According to the report published by Deloitte, the income of 20 clubs with the highest income in Europe was determined as EUR 9.3 billion in 2017.

This economic size and development in the football field have influenced the technical-tactical understanding of the football game, the players' characteristics, the types of fans, the social and economic levels of those interested in football, which has led to structural developments in the management perception of football clubs. This change and development in football have attracted the attention of different disciplines and researches have been carried out in an academic sense on the field. Some of these researches are composed of econometric researches. With the help of mathematical models and the science of statistics, different studies are frequently encountered in the literature on this field.

In recent years, the models which attempt to explain the variables which will have an effect on football match results have been started to be used frequently. We can say that the most important factor related to the reason why football is so popular around the world is the fact that the results of the matches cannot be predicted beforehand.

In particular, if all conditions are equal for the home and visiting team, the fact that the match result is not known beforehand creates a great interest for all people interested in football and also increases the desire to follow the matches. This problem has led to the development of methodologies aimed to predict the match results using scientific methods before the games are played. When the literature is examined, it can be seen that the factors affecting the match result have already been determined by the majority of the studies and that mathematical models and statistical methods which calculate the impact shares of these factors and then classify them according to their functions have been used. The majority of the statistical methods consist of models that calculate what is expected in the next game by using the regression technique over the averages of the variables that can have an effect on the match result from the beginning of the season or in the last week within a given past time period. In fact, there are many variables that affect the results of football matches. Some of these are related to the internal dynamics of the tools and are measurable. However, for the visiting team, the variables that affect the result of the match in subjective examples are such ones as weather condition, location, team morale, coach tactics. Therefore, the result of the match depends on many factors, so that it is necessary to reduce these factors to measurable numerical values. Variables such as points obtained in and out, number of goals scored, number of dangerous shots thrown from the penalty area should be made meaningful via mathematical models and the offensive and defensive strengths of the teams should be calculated.

When the literature is examined, we encounter different methods to calculate the strengths of the teams based on various variables. On the other hand, it can be seen that most of the studies were carried out by linear regression analysis. For the first time in the literature, Moroney (1956) pointed out that the predictions of football matches could be explained with a statistical model. The book states that the negative binomial distribution and the Poisson distribution are appropriate methods to be utilized in predicting the results of football matches.

As with all professional team sports, it is possible to say that there is an advantage in football for the home teams (Pollard 1986). There are many studies in the literature pointing out that it is advantageous to play at home field. According to Pollard (1986), in the UK League, the home field advantage decreased from 67.9\% to $63.9 \%$. Courneya and Carron (1992), in their study they carried out for home teams, found the percentage of home teams winning the game as $69 \%$. Following this study, Carron and Hausenblas (1998) reported that the home field advantage is in favour of the home team. Pollard and Pollard (2005) stated that home field advantage values in most countries where European football is played are between $60 \%$ and $65 \%$. They also found that there are multiple variables that affect the home field advantage as a result of the studies they conducted in line with home field advantage hypothesis.

It has been revealed in almost all of the studies based on the home field advantage that the result of a football game is determined by mathematical calculations according to the league table formed at the end of the season. The basis of these studies are formed by the calculation method proposed by Pollard (1986). However, this method shows us only whether there is home field advantage, and if so, what the percentage of this advantage is in terms of the team. In time, especially in football, as a result of the increase in the interest in predicting the results of the matches, different studies in the field of sports science have shown up. It is especially vital to be able to predict the results of matches both for betting companies and bettors. Two systems are used aimed towards betting games in football. The first one is referred to as paramutual games. According to this system, the bettors will not know how much they will win, even if they have correctly predicted the results of matches. This is because all the money deposited by the bettors is collected in a specific pool and is paid to the winners after the deductions have been made from the people who predicted the results of the matches. There are a number of risks for the better.

For weekly match results, money is streamed to the system by people who bet on certain amounts and the money collected is shared by the people who have predicted the results correctly. If the predictions are not made correctly, all the money bet is left to the company. There are no commercial risks posed for the betting company in this system. As a result of this, many people are needed to stream money to the system by depositing large amounts of money for those betting in this system to make a great deal of money, and also very few people should predict the results of the matches correctly.

While in the other system, there are some risks for the betting company. In the system called Fix odd betting, the result of the match must be explained by the company beforehand. In this system, the bettor will know in advance how much money he will earn if he correctly predicts the result of the match before the matches are completed. There is no risk of the person placing a bet here; however, the betting company must correctly predict the result of the matches, otherwise the odds to be misrepresented will increase the commercial risk, even leading the company to pay more than the money it has collected.

It is observed that two-variable Poisson and probity regression models were used in the studies to predict the results of the matches. Crowder et al. (2002) analysed the British Premier League matches between 1992 and 1997 and discussed the dynamic models between a team's scoring and conceding a goal. Moura et al.
(2007) analysed Brazilian First League goals based on the possible goal shots. Leitner et al. (2010) carried out studies aiming to determine the degree of impact of various variables such as corner shots, free kicks and offside positions. Williams and Walters (2011) examined the effects of altitude above sea level in football matches in South America. Koopman and Lit (2012) expressed in their study where they benefited from a two-variable dynamic Poisson model that the number of goals scored by a team indicates the attacking power of the team and that it depends on the defence capacity of the opponent, home field advantage, if any, and the importance of the match. They tried to predict the results of the matches according to the Poisson model they formed. In this study, Poisson distributions were calculated to determine the defence and offense based strength of the teams in football and to predict the results of their future matches. For this purpose, this model was established based on the number of goals they scored and conceded by the teams in past matches.

## METHODS

Poisson model was used in the study to predict the results of football matches.

## Poisson Model

Poisson distribution is a discrete probability distribution in the probability theory and statistics science branches and it aims to express the probability of occurrence of certain events in a fixed time unit interval. It is accepted that the mean number of events occurring during this time period is known and that the time difference between any event and the event that follows it is independent of the previous time differences. While the Poisson distribution is applied to problems with certain fixed time units interval, it can also be successfully applied to football match results. This probability distribution was first introduced by SiméonDenis Poisson in 1838.

The general focus of the Poisson distribution is a variable event; this event occurs at a certain time interval and the number of events observed in this range is considered to be a random variable for the Poisson distribution. The expected value of the number of events occurring in this fixed range (the mean number of occurrences) is fixed as $\lambda$, and this mean value is proportional to the range length. To explain this with the result of the goals scored by the home team inside; If it scored an average of 15 goals in the last 6 matches it has played home, it would have the probability of scoring an average of $20(=8 \times 15 / 6)$ goals in a fixed 8 match intervals. The probability of occurrence of a $k$-number ( $k=0,1,2,3 \ldots$ ) of any non-negative phenomenon is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k, \lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{\mathrm{k}} e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$e$, the base of the natural logarithm.
$k$, The number of occurrences of an event whose probability is given with function.
k !, Factorial for k .
$\lambda$ The expected value of occurrence of an event in a given fixed interval, a positive real number.
The function of $k$ is the probability mass function for the Poisson distribution. The $\lambda$ parameter for the Poisson distribution is not only the expected value, i.e. the average for events occurring ( $k$ ) times. At the same time, it can also be probability distribution variance.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\sum\left[k^{2} \cdot P(x)\right]-k^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

While the mean value of the number of events observed is expressed with, $\lambda$ the Poisson model having standard deviation and variance.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\sqrt{\lambda} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Poisson distribution can be applied in systems where a large number of events are possible to occur yet where these occurrences are accepted as very rare to occur. In terms of football, what exemplifies this situation best is the example that the possibility of every shot thrown to the goal to be scored is very low. Many conditions must be fulfilled in order to be able to score a goal in a football match and this can be expressed mathematically as a rare number. Then, the number of rare events occurring is expressed as the result of discrete trials. It can also be modelled using a binomial distribution to give more accurate results. But a binomial distribution with $n$ and $\lambda / n$ parameters (i.e. the probability distribution for ( $n$ ) number of shot attempts with $\lambda / \mathrm{n}$ success probability for each goal) is more compatible with a Poisson distribution with the expected value of $\lambda$ as the ( n ) number of trials approaches to infinite limit. This limit is sometimes referred to as a rare event rule. This expression is somewhat misleading; there can also be some events that can be modelled with many Poisson distributions (such as being more than two goals in one match) which are not at all rare. However, the calculation of the binomial distribution for large numbers requires the use of factorial numbers. This long calculation takes a lot of time and therefore the Poisson distribution in the literature is used instead of approximate binomial distribution.

Subtracting the limit Poisson distribution mass function from binomial distribution is mathematically proved as follows:

The limit used for the variables is calculated as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n}=e^{-\lambda} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p=$ If $\lambda / n$ equality is taken into this expression, the following general equation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}(X=k)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right) \mathrm{k}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When this equation is opened, the result is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}-1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}-2}{n}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}+1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, the following occurs at the limit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda^{\mathrm{k}} e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, if a row of binomial expressions for binomial random variables with n and pn parameters is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n p_{n=\lambda} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This series approximates the series for a Poisson random variable with a mean $\lambda$ in the distribution.
The expected value and variance value for a random variable exhibiting the Poisson distribution is $\lambda$. The high moments of the Poisson distribution are Touchard polynomials formed by the terms $\lambda$. If the expected value for the Poisson distribution is 1 , then the number of a set of size $n$ equals the $n$-th moment of that distribution according to Dobinski's formula. The mode value of a random variable that indicates the Poisson distribution with an integer $\lambda$ lambda parameter equals to the largest positive integer less than $\lambda$, namely to $\lambda$.

The sum of the random variables showing the Poisson distribution: If the expression of $x, \sim$ Poi ( $\lambda i$ ) shows Poisson distribution with $\lambda i$ parameter and the terms x , are independent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \sim \operatorname{Poi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the above given equation shows a Poisson distribution made up of parameter sums whose parameters are added to the sum.

The moment-generating function of the Poisson distribution with the expected value $\lambda$ is expressed as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(e^{t X}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{t k} f(k ; \lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{t k} \frac{\lambda^{k} e^{-\lambda}}{k!}=e^{\lambda\left(e^{t-1)}\right.} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Poisson distribution, all cumulants are equal to the expected value $\lambda$. For Poisson distribution, the N th factorial moment is $\lambda$ n. Poisson distributions are also infinitely divisible probability distributions and are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1} \sim \operatorname{Pois}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Poisson distribution can be used to predict the results of sports events, especially for football matches. The variables that are expected to be distributed must be equal to the home and visiting team. Then, the following model emerges:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \cdot \operatorname{Poi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Poi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{k}_{i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Models predicting the results of a football match should take the differences between the variables of the two teams to compete into account. The excess of variables that can affect the results of football matches cause various problems during the application. For this reason, it is more meaningful to estimate the result of the match based on the goal scored and the goal conceded at home field. The most important feature that distinguishes a strong team from a weak team can be expressed as scoring more goals and conceding less goals.

While the offensive strength of a team suggests how many more and how many less goals a team has scored per game out of the total average in the league they are competing in defensive strength indicates how many more and how many less goals a team has conceded per game out of the total average. When calculating offensive and defensive strengths of teams, the average goal a team has scored per game in the league must be calculated using equation (13).

$$
\begin{equation*}
L O d=L A T G S /(L T S . N) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

LOd - The mean variable in the league.
LATGS- The total goal scored in the league.
LTS - The number of teams in the league.
$N$ - The number of weeks in evaluation.

Then the offensive and defensive strengths of the teams are calculated. Equation (14)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.O_{i}=O A G_{\mathrm{i}} / L O d\right) \\
& \left.D_{i}=O Y G_{\mathrm{i}} / L O d\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

LOd - Number of goals scored/conceded by a team in the league.
$\mathrm{OAG}_{i}$ - i average goal scored by the team.
$\mathrm{OYG}_{i^{-}}$i the average number of goals the team has conceded.
$\mathrm{D}_{i}$ - i defensive strength of the team.
$\mathrm{O}_{i}$ - i offensive strength of the team.
If the score of a match played between i and j teams is $(\mathrm{Xij}, \mathrm{Yij}), \mathrm{Xij}$, which is the number of goals scored by the team (i) against team (j), depends on the offensive strength of $i$ and defensive strength of team j. Similarly, $Y_{i j}$, which is the number of goals scored by team $j$ against team $i$ depends on defensive strength of team $i$ and offensive strength of team $j$. The function of the teams based on offensive and defensive strengths shows Poisson distribution and the algorithm is given in the equation (15).

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X_{i j} \sim \text { Poisson }\left(\text { LOd. } \mathrm{O}_{i} . \mathrm{D}_{j}\right) \\
Y_{i j} \sim \text { Poisson }\left(\text { LOd. } \mathrm{D}_{i} . \mathrm{O}_{j}\right) \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

LOd - Mean variable in the league.
$\mathrm{O}_{i^{-}}$- Offensive strength of the team (i).
$\mathrm{D}_{i}$ - i defensive strength of the team (i).
$\mathrm{O}_{j}-\mathrm{j}$ offensive strength of team j .
$\mathrm{D}_{j}$ - j defensive strength of team j .

## Material

In this study we conducted, a data set made up of the competitions between the last 29th and 38th weeks of the 2017-2018 season of the 6 major leagues in Europe was used (England Premier League, France Ligue 1, Germany Bundesliga, İtaly Serie A, Spain La Liga, Turkey Super League). The dataset was generated from data obtained from the website "mackolik.com". In this study, a total of 5938 goals were analysed, with a total of 4264 matches and the total number of goals scored by the teams in all matches. The values of the leagues analysed are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of leagues.

|  | Premier League | Ligue 1 | Bundesliga | Serie A | La Liga | Super League |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weeks | $34-38$ | $34-38$ | $29-34$ | $34-38$ | $34-38$ | $29-34$ |
| Number of matches | 760 | 760 | 612 | 760 | 760 | 612 |
| Number of goals | 1018 | 1024 | 855 | 1017 | 1024 | 1000 |

## RESULTS

In the study, a data set consisting of the competitions played in the last 5 weeks of the league was used in 2017-2018 season of the 6 major leagues in Europe. Table 1 shows the week in which the data set was analysed and how many matches were analysed. In this study, Poisson distribution was used to estimate how many goals were scored as a result of a football match. In establishing the model, the scoring strengths of the teams in a league was determined. In the event that the teams involved in the study were home and the away team, the offensive and defensive strengths were given in the appendix. In order to determine the strengths of the teams, the distributions of the goals they conceded and scored during certain weeks in a particular league were used. Poisson model was developed to predict the results of the next weeks' match results through these distributions and strengths. After the establishing Poisson model, the average number of goals and the number of goals we expected to be scored by the home team were determined. The results of the match week were compared with the number of goals in the match in the following week. The percentage of goals predicted correctly according to the Poisson probability results for the last five weeks of the leagues is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. The percentage of goals predicted correctly.

| England | France | Germany | Italy | Spain | Turkey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Premier League | Ligue 1 | Bundesliga | Serie A | La Liga | Super League |
| $54 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $60 \%$ |

According to the findings obtained from the study; Poisson probability model, which was established in the last five weeks of the leagues, predicted the number of goals to be scored at the highest level in France Ligue at a level of $62 \%$, whereas Italy was the lowest in Serie A with $52 \%$. The established model achieved a success rate of more than $50 \%$ in all leagues, as can be seen in Table 2, which shows us that the Poisson probability method is a method that can be used to predict the number of goals to be scored in football matches in the coming weeks. The tables belonging to the last week's results of the findings obtained in the study are given in the appendix.

## DISCCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In 2015, Mwembe et al. conducted a study to determine the results of the matches. They established a model to predict the results of the matches played in the 1st, 16th and 30th weeks of the league and the success rate of the model was determined to be $45.83 \%$.

On the other hand, the fact that the model established in the study consisted alone of the goals scored and conceded correctly predicted the match results in an average of $57.3 \%$. Although this ratio seems to be larger than the examples given above, further studies are needed to increase this rate. Working with a larger data set that will cover the entire league instead of the last week data of the leagues can improve the reliability of the study. In addition, when determining the offensive and defensive strengths of the teams, many factors that affect the results of the match as well as the goals they have scored or conceded must be taken into consideration. Such variables as the passes made, the balls thrown into the penalty area, the shots thrown to goal area should be included into the studies.

In addition, supporting the studies with such algorithms as the home field advantage, the competitive balance index within the league and machine learning would improve the prediction of the number of goals to be scored in the match more accurately. As a result, in addition to determining the number of goals scored in
the matches played by the teams in an attempt to achieve higher modelling success, it is thought that the evaluation of the leagues with different variables to be added to the model and the development of a probity regression model by adding different variables will also increase the rate of correct prediction rate of the match results in the future studies.
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## Appendix

Table 3. Home, away offensive, and defensive strengths in England.

| Team | Home |  |  | Away |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offensive | Defensive | Offensive | Defensive |
|  | Strengths | Strengths | Strengths | Strengths |
| Manchester City | 2.119 | 0.643 | 2.128 | 0.475 |
| Manchester United | 1.356 | 0.436 | 1.375 | 0.658 |
| Tottenham | 1.284 | 0.582 | 1.558 | 0.693 |
| Liverpool | 1.504 | 0.485 | 1.788 | 0.970 |
| Chelsea | 1.042 | 0.735 | 1.548 | 0.695 |
| Arsenal | 1.876 | 0.919 | 0.919 | 1.133 |
| Burnley | 0.550 | 0.727 | 0.917 | 0.762 |
| Everton | 0.973 | 1.011 | 0.726 | 1.206 |
| Leicester City | 0.869 | 1.011 | 1.306 | 1.206 |
| Newcastle United | 0.660 | 0.824 | 0.825 | 1.039 |
| Crystal Palace | 0.990 | 1.309 | 0.733 | 0.970 |
| Bournemouth | 0.903 | 1.378 | 0.823 | 1.097 |
| Watford | 0.938 | 1.424 | 0.823 | 1.170 |
| Brighton \& Hove Albion | 0.834 | 1.149 | 0.484 | 0.914 |
| West Ham United | 0.770 | 1.212 | 1.100 | 1.455 |
| Huddersfield Town | 0.587 | 1.164 | 0.550 | 1.143 |
| Southampton | 0.733 | 1.212 | 0.779 | 1.039 |
| Swansea City | 0.587 | 1.067 | 0.504 | 1.108 |
| West Bromwich | 0.730 | 1.332 | 0.484 | 0.914 |
| Stoke City | 0.695 | 1.378 | 0.629 | 1.353 |

Table 4. Home and away offensive and defensive strengths in France.

| Team | Home |  | Away |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths |
| Paris Saint-Germain | 2.420 | 0.665 | 1.780 | 0.510 |
| Monaco | 1.625 | 0.754 | 1.639 | 1.021 |
| Lyon | 1.277 | 0.749 | 2.174 | 0.863 |
| Marseille | 1.533 | 0.842 | 1.597 | 0.967 |
| Rennes | 0.730 | 0.983 | 1.287 | 0.760 |
| Nice | 1.003 | 1.020 | 1.030 | 0.948 |
| Bordeaux | 0.934 | 1.020 | 1.030 | 0.911 |
| Saint-Etienne | 0.985 | 1.264 | 0.666 | 0.794 |
| Montpellier | 0.692 | 0.842 | 0.703 | 0.474 |
| Nantes | 0.511 | 0.796 | 0.932 | 0.829 |
| Guingamp | 1.037 | 1.064 | 0.796 | 1.203 |
| Amiens SC | 0.899 | 0.842 | 0.468 | 0.765 |
| Dijon | 1.204 | 1.264 | 0.887 | 1.554 |
| Angers | 0.795 | 1.242 | 0.843 | 0.802 |
| Lille | 0.830 | 1.197 | 0.796 | 1.276 |
| RC Strasbourg | 0.934 | 1.197 | 0.796 | 1.421 |
| Caen | 0.620 | 0.889 | 0.444 | 1.139 |
| Toulouse | 0.766 | 0.936 | 0.666 | 1.139 |
| Troyes | 0.620 | 0.889 | 0.666 | 1.278 |
| Metz | 0.584 | 1.545 | 0.799 | 1.347 |

Table 5. Home and away offensive and defensive strengths in Germany.

| Team | Home |  | Away |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths |
| Bayern München | 2.166 | 0.588 | 1.812 | 0.482 |
| Schalke 04 | 1.024 | 0.802 | 1.309 | 0.816 |
| Borussia Dortmund | 1.483 | 1.056 | 1.230 | 0.906 |
| Hoffenheim | 1.379 | 0.802 | 1.410 | 1.186 |
| Bayer Leverkusen | 1.024 | 0.909 | 1.460 | 0.927 |
| RB Leipzig | 1.260 | 1.308 | 0.909 | 0.985 |
| Eintracht Frankfurt | 0.964 | 0.956 | 1.016 | 0.985 |
| Stuttgart | 0.667 | 0.453 | 0.749 | 1.024 |
| Mönchengladbach | 1.038 | 1.006 | 0.963 | 1.182 |
| Hertha Berlin | 0.827 | 1.122 | 1.007 | 0.704 |
| Augsburg | 0.890 | 1.207 | 1.016 | 0.788 |
| Werder Bremen | 0.741 | 0.855 | 0.802 | 0.867 |
| Hannover 96 | 1.038 | 1.258 | 0.749 | 1.024 |
| Mainz 05 | 0.827 | 1.016 | 0.805 | 1.149 |
| Freiburg | 0.591 | 0.909 | 0.755 | 1.446 |
| Wolfsburg | 0.748 | 1.283 | 0.654 | 0.853 |
| Hamburg | 0.591 | 0.962 | 0.604 | 1.260 |
| Köln | 0.741 | 1.509 | 0.749 | 1.418 |

Table 6. Home and away offensive and defensive strengths in Italy.

| Team | Home |  | Away |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths |
| Juventus | 1.652 | 0.317 | 1.762 | 0.582 |
| Napoli | 1.575 | 0.770 | 1.461 | 0.400 |
| Roma | 1.128 | 0.816 | 1.315 | 0.345 |
| Lazio | 1.652 | 0.816 | 1.891 | 1.018 |
| Inter | 1.347 | 0.687 | 1.179 | 0.461 |
| Milan | 0.768 | 0.680 | 1.332 | 0.945 |
| Atalanta | 1.092 | 0.773 | 1.225 | 0.768 |
| Fiorentina | 0.983 | 0.944 | 1.179 | 0.729 |
| Sampdoria | 1.310 | 0.858 | 0.862 | 1.420 |
| Torino | 1.056 | 0.773 | 1.043 | 1.036 |
| Sassuolo | 0.423 | 0.951 | 0.773 | 1.345 |
| Genoa | 0.845 | 1.133 | 0.430 | 0.582 |
| Bologna | 0.910 | 1.116 | 0.680 | 0.959 |
| Udinese | 0.884 | 1.359 | 1.031 | 1.200 |
| Chievo | 0.807 | 1.087 | 0.602 | 1.272 |
| Cagliari | 0.653 | 1.359 | 0.645 | 1.127 |
| SPAL | 0.730 | 1.269 | 0.730 | 1.091 |
| Crotone | 0.837 | 1.073 | 0.726 | 1.497 |
| Hellas Verona | 0.510 | 1.502 | 0.680 | 1.573 |
| Benevento | 0.837 | 1.717 | 0.454 | 1.650 |

Table 7. Home and away offensive and defensive strengths in Spain.

| Team | Home |  | Away |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths |
| Barcelona | 1.870 | 0.536 | 2.120 | 0.613 |
| Atletico Madrid | 1.007 | 0.292 | 1.290 | 0.476 |
| Real Madrid | 1.840 | 0.923 | 1.848 | 0.790 |
| Valencia | 1.223 | 0.730 | 1.336 | 0.749 |
| Villarreal | 1.187 | 0.974 | 1.014 | 0.953 |
| Real Betis | 1.193 | 1.430 | 1.119 | 0.970 |
| Sevilla | 1.079 | 1.071 | 0.829 | 1.225 |
| Getafe | 0.886 | 0.600 | 0.730 | 0.718 |
| Eibar | 0.886 | 0.877 | 0.778 | 1.042 |
| Real Sociedad | 1.601 | 1.338 | 0.924 | 1.042 |
| Girona | 0.886 | 1.015 | 1.070 | 1.293 |
| Deportivo Alaves | 0.716 | 1.061 | 0.924 | 0.934 |
| Celta Vigo | 1.079 | 1.023 | 1.152 | 1.259 |
| Espanyol | 0.681 | 0.738 | 0.730 | 0.934 |
| Levante | 0.852 | 1.292 | 0.827 | 0.934 |
| Athletic Bilbao | 0.683 | 0.730 | 1.014 | 1.123 |
| Leganes | 0.575 | 0.828 | 0.691 | 1.089 |
| Deportivo La Coruna | 0.750 | 1.522 | 0.730 | 1.473 |
| Las Palmas | 0.504 | 1.753 | 0.415 | 1.225 |
| Malaga | 0.504 | 1.266 | 0.461 | 1.157 |

Table 8. Home and away offensive and defensive strengths in Turkey.

| Team | Home |  | Away |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths | Offensive Strengths | Defensive Strengths |
| Galatasaray | 1.627 | 0.416 | 1.376 | 0.901 |
| Fenerbahçe | 1.466 | 1.030 | 1.665 | 0.459 |
| Medipol Başakşehir | 1.240 | 0.589 | 1.203 | 0.706 |
| Beşiktaş | 1.466 | 0.441 | 1.156 | 0.706 |
| Trabzonspor | 1.127 | 1.374 | 1.388 | 0.812 |
| Göztepe | 1.127 | 1.079 | 0.879 | 0.954 |
| Sivasspor | 0.920 | 0.923 | 0.885 | 1.051 |
| Kasımpaşa | 1.132 | 1.339 | 1.130 | 0.976 |
| Kayserispor | 0.884 | 1.200 | 0.836 | 0.976 |
| Yeni Malatyaspor | 0.827 | 0.932 | 0.601 | 0.848 |
| Bursaspor | 0.849 | 0.831 | 0.934 | 1.088 |
| Akhisarspor | 0.676 | 1.128 | 1.110 | 1.024 |
| Antalyaspor | 0.884 | 1.062 | 0.639 | 1.238 |
| Aytemiz Alanyaspor | 1.015 | 1.275 | 1.156 | 1.095 |
| Atiker Konyaspor | 0.849 | 0.693 | 0.590 | 0.901 |
| Osmanlispor FK | 0.920 | 1.108 | 1.081 | 1.276 |
| Gençlerbirliği | 0.639 | 0.687 | 0.879 | 1.413 |
| Karabükspor | 0.354 | 1.893 | 0.491 | 1.576 |

Table 9. Week actual outcomes versus model outcomes for Premier League from England.

| Home Team -Away Team | $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ | Actual Result | Model Result | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Huddersfield -Arsenal | $0-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| West Ham Un -Everton | $3-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Manchester United-Watford | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |
| Southampton-Manchester City | $0-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |
| Newcastle United-Chelsea | $3-0$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Swansea City-Stoke City | $1-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Liverpool-Brighton \& Hove Albion | $4-0$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Tottenham-Leicester City | $5-4$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Crystal Palace-West Bromwich | $2-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Burnley-Bournemouth | $1-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |

Table 10. Week actual outcomes versus model outcomes for Ligue 1 from France.

| Home Team -Away Team | $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ | Actual Result | Model Result | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NantesRC-Strasbourg | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Metz-Bordeaux | $0-4$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Rennes-Montpellier | $1-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Toulouse-Guingamp | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Lyon-Nice | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Troyes-Monaco | $0-3$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Marseille-Amiens SC | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Caen-Paris Saint Germain | $0-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Saint Etienne-Lille | $5-0$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Dijon-Angers | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |

Table 11. Week actual outcomes versus model outcomes for Bundesliga from Germany.

| Home Team -Away Team | x-y | Actual Result | Model Result | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hamburg -Mönchengladbach | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Bayern Münch -Stuttgart | $1-4$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Bayer Leverkusen -Hannover 96 | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Freiburg-Augsburg | $2-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Mainz 05-Werder Bremen | $1-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Wolfsburg-Köln | $4-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Hoffenheim-Borussia Dortmund | $3-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Hertha Berlin-RB Leipzig | $2-6$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Schalke 04-Eintracht Frankfurt | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |

Table 12. Week actual outcomes versus model outcomes for Serie A from Italy.

| Home Team Away Team | $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}$ | Actual Result | Model Result | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Juventus -Hellas Verona | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Genoa -Torino | $1-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Napoli -Crotone | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Udinese -Bologna | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Cagliari -Atalanta | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |
| Chievo -Benevento | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| SPAL -Sampdoria | $3-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Milan -Fiorentina | $5-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Sassuolo -Roma | $0-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Lazio -Inter | $2-3$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |

Table 13. Week actual outcomes versus model outcomes for La Liga from Spain.

| Home Team Away Team | $\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}$ | Actual Result | Model Result | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Celta Vigo-Levante | $4-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Leganes-Real Betis | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Malaga-Getafe | $0-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Sevilla-Deportivo Alaves | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |
| Las Palmas-Girona | $1-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Villarreal-Real Madrid | $2-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Valencia-Deportivo La Coruna | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Athletic Bilbao-Espanyol | $0-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Atletico Madrid-Eibar | $2-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |
| Barcelona-Real Sociedad | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |

Table 14. Week actual outcomes versus model outcomes for Super League from Turkey.

| Home Team- Away Team | x-y | Actual Result | Model Result | Result |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Akhisarspor -Osmanlispor FK | $2-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Yeni Malatyaspor -Kayserispor | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | False |
| Gençlerbirigigi -Bursaspor | $1-0$ | Under 2.5 goal | Under 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Aytemiz Alanyaspor- Antalyaspor | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Trabzonspor -Karabükspor | $3-0$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Beşiktaş -Sivasspor | $5-1$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Fenerbahçe -Atiker Konyaspor | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
| Göztepe -Galatasaray | $0-1$ | Under 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | False |
| Medipol Başakşehir- Kasımpaşa | $3-2$ | Over 2.5 goal | Over 2.5 goal | Correct |
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