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Abstract. The aim of this work was to describe and study the relationship between anthropometric and conditional factors of under-21 high-performance beach volleyball players according to playing position. The sample consisted of 5 male teams (5 blockers and 5 defenders) belonging to Spanish men’s national beach volleyball team or participants in international tournaments. Anthropometric profile was assessed following the guidelines proposed by ISAK. The tests performed to assess conditional factors were: vertical jump (SJ, CMJ and ABK), 5- and 10-m sprint (S5m and S10m), agility test (AT) and overhead medicine ball throw in a standing position (OTSP) and on knees position (OTKP). Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare blockers and defenders and Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was used to determine the relationships between anthropometric and conditional variables. The results showed that U21 Spanish beach volleyball players had lower values for height and body weight than international players of the same category. Regarding playing position, blockers showed higher values of height, weight, muscle mass and bone weight than defenders (p<0.05). The somatotype for blockers and defenders were classified as ecto-mesomorph. Relationships have been found between anthropometric variables (height, weight, bone, muscle and fat) and conditional factors. The conditional tests did not show significant differences between blockers and defenders except those which required to mobilize an external weight, in which case blockers showed a better performance.
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Resumen: El objetivo del presente trabajo fue describir y estudiar la relación existente entre el perfil antropométrico y los factores condicionales de los jugadores de voleibol de playa de alto rendimiento en la categoría sub-21 en función de la posición de juego. La muestra consistió en 5 equipos (5 bloqueadores y 5 defensores) pertenecientes al equipo nacional de voleibol de playa masculino o participantes en torneos internacionales. El perfil antropométrico se evaluó siguiendo las pautas propuestas por ISAK. Las pruebas realizadas para evaluar los factores condicionales fueron: salto vertical (SJ, CMJ y ABK), sprint de 5 y 10 m (S5m y S10m), prueba de agilidad (AT) y lanzamiento de balón medicinal de pie (OTSP) y en posición de rodillas (OTKP). La prueba U de Mann-Whitney se aplicó para comparar los datos obtenidos de los bloqueadores y defensores, mientras que el coeficiente de correlación de Pearson (r) se utilizó para determinar las relaciones entre las variables antropométricas y el rendimiento del juego. Los jugadores españoles sub-21 mostraron valores más bajos en variables como el peso y la altura cuando fueron comparados con jugadores internacionales de la misma categoría. Los bloqueadores presentaron valores más elevados en cuanto a la altura, peso, masa muscular y peso óseo que los defensores (p<0.05). El somatotipo tanto para los bloqueadores como para los defensores se clasificó como ecto-mesomorfó. Se encontraron relaciones entre las características antropométricas (altura, el peso, masa ósea, masa muscular y componente graso) y los factores condicionales. Las pruebas de rendimiento no mostraron diferencias significativas entre posiciones de juego, excepto en aquellas en las que se tuvo que movilizar una carga externa, en cuyo caso los bloqueadores mostraron mejores resultados.
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Introduction

Beach volleyball is considered an intermittent sport that alternates brief periods of high intensity with longer periods of moderate and low intensity or pauses (Magalhães, Inácio, Oliveira, Ribeiro, & Ascensão, 2011). The field measurements, game rules, number of players, instability of surface and weather conditions make it necessary to carry out beach volleyball skills at a high intensity to achieve success (Magalhães et al., 2011; Medeiros, Palao, Marcelino, & Mesquita, 2014; Palao, Valadès, Manzanares, & Ortega, 2014). Compared to volleyball indoor, more effort should be made to minimize the effect of force absorption by a sandy court and participate more actively in the game due to the smaller playing area (Koch & Tilp, 2009). Others aspects, such as competitive level, playing position and age category influenced physical demands (Medeiros, Marcelino, Mesquita, & Palao, 2014). Furthermore, physical demands can also be affected by temporal characteristics like rally duration that increases the number of efforts exerted by players (Giatsis & Papadopoulou, 2003).

Anthropometrical characteristics and motor performance variables are decisive factors for volleyball talent selection (Tsoukos et al., 2019). Several studies have reported that anthropometric characteristics and morphological parameters such as height, weight and percentage of body fat are correlated with higher beach volleyball performance both for senior (Palao, Gutierrez, & Frideres, 2008) and junior categories (de Faria Pastore, de Azevedo Ferreira, da Costa, & João, 2016; Quiroga, Samiento, Palomino, Rodriguez, & Garcia, 2014). Some studies have reported differences in physical demands, anthropometric and technical-tactical characteristics when considering the player’s role (Jimenez-Olmedo & Penichet-Tomás, 2017; Jimenez-Olmedo, Pueo, Penichet-Tomás, Chinchilla Mira, & Pérez Turpin, 2017), gender (Koch & Tilp, 2009), level and age (Belém, Malheiros Caruzzo, Andrade do Nascimento Junior, Lopes Vieira, & Fiorese Vieira, 2014; Medeiros, Marcelino, Mesquita, & Palao, 2017).

Defensive tactics are the result of the coordination actions...
between blockers and diggers to defend the attack of the opponent (Jiménez-Olmedo & Penichet-Tomás, 2017). The player’s role in teams with defensive specialization is directly associated with different performance profiles although it does not happen the same with no defensive specialization (Kilary & Shewman, 2000; Palao et al., 2008). According to the performance during the match, blockers may execute a higher number of jumps both to block every attack of the opponent and realize their own attack, so a high stature is important to perform both actions (Giatisis, Tili, & Zetou, 2011; Medeiros et al., 2014). Conversely, defenders are usually shorter with a great capacity of displacement in sand, normally to defend the field zones that blockers leaves free or the balls that surpass the block (Natali, Ferioli, La Torre, & Bonato, 2017; Schläppi-Lienhard & Hossner, 2015). Moreover, there is a an alternative tactical system without defensive specialization, where both players block and dig. These players showed intermediate values of height compared to blockers and defenders (Palao et al., 2008) although physical demands compared to specialist players remain unknown. In view of this, Miliæ et al. (2017) highlighted the importance to know the anthropometric profile and conditional factors according to playing position and level of expertise.

Beach volleyball information is currently available about senior category. However, in recent years some studies have begun to evaluate performance capabilities in junior categories (de Faria Pastore et al., 2016; Medeiros et al., 2017; Medeiros et al., 2010) because of the impossibility of comparing data of different age categories (Medeiros, Marcelino, et al., 2014). In this way, Quiroga et al. (2014) described the anthropometric profile of Spanish beach volleyball players in different age categories although they didn’t report data about playing and ranking positions, and conditional factors. Thus, the aim of this work was to describe and study the relationship between anthropometric and conditional factors of under-21 high-performance beach volleyball players according to playing position.

Material and methods

Subjects

Five national sub-elite teams participated in the study, consisting of 10 male participants of high-performance beach volleyball players in the category under 21 belonging to national team (Spanish men’s national beach volleyball team) or participants in international tournaments were selected: 5 blockers (19.8 ± 1.3 years) and 5 defenders (19.0 ± 1.2 years).

Measurements took place at the beginning of the competition period. The requirements to participate in the present study were: training regularly 5-7 times per week, 3 years competing in international tournaments and 2 years in the National Circuit «Madison Beach Volley Tour».

All the players were previously informed about the research aims, experimental protocol and procedures of the study and voluntary gave their informed written consent to participate. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Board of the University of Alicante and the protocol was written in accordance with the standards established by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Procedures

Anthropometric profile

The International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) protocol was used to determine the anthropometric profile (Stewart, Marfell-Jones, Olsds, & Ridder, 2011) and the somatotype was determine following Health-Carter’s method (Carter, 1975). All measurements performed were measured by the same appraiser, certified anthropometrist Level 2 by the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK). The technical measurement error was within the recommended ranges.

Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with a body composition analyzer (Tanita BC 545-N) and height using an stadiometer to the nearest 1 mm. Skinfolds were measured using a calliper with a constant independent pressure of 10 g/mm² and reading to the nearest 1 mm. Diameters were measured using a pachymeter to the nearest 1 mm and perimeters using a non-stretchable anthropometric tape to the nearest 1 mm. All instruments were calibrated in advance to avoid errors in the measurement.

The registered variables were: height, weight, 8 Skinfolds (triceps, subcapsularis, biceps, iliac crest, suprailiac, abdomen, thigh and leg), 3 diameters (bi-humeral, bi-femoral and by-styloid) and 6 perimeters (relaxed arm, contracted arm, waist, hip, thigh and leg). All measurements were carried out on the right side of the body.

Body composition was calculated from equations described in the consensus of kinanthropometry of GREC (Alvero et al., 2010) for male athletes. The equations used for this purpose were as follows: Fat weight by Carter’s equation (1982), bone weight by Rocha’s equation (1975) and muscle mass by Lee’s equation (2000). Conditional tests were carried out in the sand except for vertical jump tests and 1 RM tests. Two sessions of familiarization were held for all exercises, even though most of them are common tools in yearly training. Each player made 3 attempts with a rest of 3 minutes in order to avoid fatigue. The best attempt was used for the study.

Sprint performance in sand

Athletes performed two different types of sprint: 5-m and 10-m sprint with three registration data points through photocells (RaceTime2 Light radio; Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). Sprint time was recorded to the nearest 0.01 seconds. Test-retest demonstrated high intra-session reliability of the 5-m sprint (ICC 0.902; CV 2.8) and 10-m sprint (ICC 0.916; CV 2.1).

Agility test in sand

T-test was administered from the proposal of Semenick (1990) with an ICC 0.846 and CV 2.5. T-test time was measured to the nearest 0.01 seconds.

Vertical Jump

Squat Jump (SJ), Countermovement Jump (CMJ) and Abalakov jump (ABK) were used to evaluate the jumping ability of beach volleyball players. For this purpose, a jump mat (Chronojump-Boscosystem, Barcelona, Spain) was used. The three types of jump showed a high intra-session reliability SJ (ICC 0.968; CV 3.2), CMJ (ICC 0.956; CV 2.8), ABK (ICC 0.977; CV 1.8).

Overhead Medicine Ball Throw

The explosive power was assessed with two types of medicine ball throws: Overhead medicine ball Throw from Standing Position (OTSP) (ICC 0.979; CV 2.6) and Overhead...
medicine ball Throw from Knees Position (OTKP) (ICC 0.989; CV 3.9). Athletes were instructed to throw a 5 kg medicine ball overhead as far forward as possible (Kawamori & Haff, 2004). Throwing distance was measured to the nearest 1 cm.

One repetition maximum was determined according to procedures described by Kraemer & Fry (1995). 1RM was calculated for bench press and half squat exercises. Each 1RM attempt was separated by 4 minutes of rest.

**Statistical Analyses**

Basic descriptive statistics by playing position (mean and standard deviation) were carried out for a description of the sample. Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to determine whether the quantitative variables fulfill the criteria of normality and U de Mann-Whitney for the comparison between blockers and defenders. The level of significance was set at 5%.

Effect size was calculated using Hedges’ g formula for blockers and defenders. The Somatotype graphic (Figure 1) shows that blockers showed higher height and weight values than defenders (p<0.05). The rest of the variables analyzed did not provide significant differences among playing position although some variables like waist perimeter tend to significance.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the anthropometric profile depending on the playing position. Blockers showed higher height and weight values than defenders (p<0.05). The rest of the variables analyzed did not provide significant differences among playing position although some variables like waist perimeter tend to significance.

Values for body composition (fat, muscle and bone) were expressed in kilograms and percentage. The blockers presented higher values for all variables although results were only significant for bone mass variable (Table 2).

The somatotype graphic (Figure 1) shows that blockers and defenders had an ecto-mesomorph somatotype (2.01, 4.59, 2.83) and (2.28, 4.38, 3.14), respectively. Consequently, both playing position showed very similar somatotype, where mesomorphy was dominant and ectomorphy was higher than endomorphy.

Conditional variables are shown in Table 3. Comparison of blockers and defenders showed that differences were only significant for the variable of OTSP. The rest of variables showed no significance values although the variables in which an additional weight must be mobilized show a tendency to significance.

Table 4 show the correlation between anthropometric and conditional variables. The analyses of correlation showed that most of the anthropometric variables are correlated with each other, especially height with weight. A high correlation is also shown between diameters of the body and anthropometric characteristics, in particular with the diameter of the wrist. In the case of conditional variables, medicine ball throws (OTSP and OTKP) and RM tests (RMSQ and RMBP) were highly correlated with anthropometric variables. The rest of variables in which an additional weight was not necessary to be moved showed low correlation.

**Discussion**

There is little literature regarding the anthropometric and conditional factors of young beach volleyball players. Thus, the values of senior categories are usually taken as a reference despite being inappropriate due to the impossibility of comparing the data of different age categories (Medeiros et al., 2014).
Table 4
Correlation coefficients (r) between anthropometric characteristics and conditional tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>BML</th>
<th>PR Relaxed arm</th>
<th>PR Contracted arm</th>
<th>PR Waist</th>
<th>PR Hip</th>
<th>PR Thigh</th>
<th>D. Bi-muscular</th>
<th>D. Bi-styloidal</th>
<th>Muscle mass (kg)</th>
<th>B. Fat mass (kg)</th>
<th>B. Fat</th>
<th>B. Bones</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **p<0.05, ***p<0.01; A—average values; B—Blocks; D—Defenders; M—Mean; BMI—Body mass index; SF—Skinfold; PR—Pliometric; D—Diameter; RD—Standard deviation; SF—Skinfold; m—Blocks; ti—10m; AJ—Anaerobic jump; OTSP—Overhead speed jump; OTSKP—Overhead skill jump; STP—Standing throw; OTSP—Overhead speed jump; STP—Standing throw; **p values in parentheses refer to significant differences between groups.
percentage of body fat due to its relation with jump performance (Pérez-López, Sinovas, Álvarez-Valverde, & Valades, 2015). In the present study, the weight values (A = 78.5 kg, B = 84 kg and D = 73 kg), were lower compared to international senior category (A = 89 kg, B = 92 kg and D = 86 kg) (Palao et al., 2008) and U21 world championship 2017 participants (A = 82 kg, B = 92 kg and D = 86 kg) (FIVB, 2017). Comparing our results to other studies carried out with Spanish beach volleyball players, Quiroga et al. (2014) found lower height and weight values for the same category (<2.70 cm, 2.69kg) and higher values for Spanish senior category (>1.34 cm, 4.9 kg), although this study didn’t distinguish between playing position.

Beach volleyball players as volleyball indoor players have a low percentage of body fat regardless playing position. This fact could be observed in the study of Garrido-Chamorro, Sirvent-Belando, González-Lorenzo, Blasco-Lafarga, & Roche (2012) in which elite athletes of different disciplines were compared. The results of body fat percentage found in the present study (8.32% for blockers and 8.14% for defenders) were similar to the results reported for the same category (8.4% - 9.16%) (Quiroga et al., 2014). Considering player position in junior categories, blockers have higher values of body fat than defenders (de Faria Pastore et al., 2016). This fact also occurs in the present study although the difference was non-significant.

The somatotype of players influence sports performance (Mielgo-Ayuso, Calleja-González, Clemente-Suárez, & Zourdós, 2015). Consistent with the above, the results of the present study showed that high values of endomorphy seem to impair performance in jump and displacement skills. Conversely, high values of mesomorphy seem to facilitate a greater force production for displacing an external load.

The somatotype of the players revealed similar profile regardless of playing position. Blockers and defenders were classified as ecto-mesomorph. These results are similar to those found by Quiroga et al. (2014) for U21 beach volleyball players although the values of mesomorphy were lower to our own. On the other hand, Martínez & Sanz (2012) reported a meso-endomorph somatotype in university players of the same age. The fact that the athletes of this study showed larger mesomorphic values may be due to physical characteristics of the Spanish population, talent selection process, the way of training in young categories and competitive level.

A remarkable correlation was found between bone weight and bone diameters, particularly the bi-styloid diameter, with some conditional variables. These results make sense since the radiographic evaluation of hand-wrist is used to determine skeletal maturation (Chapman, 1972). Comparing the results obtained with others studies, Quiroga et al. (2014) reported lower values for the same category (5.88 cm) and similar values for senior category (5.97 cm) which may be due to the fact that participants in the present study could have an advanced maturation status.

The anthropometric variables that showed the highest correlation with conditional factors were height and weight, especially with conditional variables in which an external weight to the body had to be mobilized (overhead throws tests and RM tests) (Caruso et al., 2012). In this sense, D’Isanto, Di Tore, & Altavilla (2018) reported similar results, in which anthropometric characteristics like body mass and height contribute to athletes’ success in volleyball.

Conditional variables showed that there were no significant differences between blockers and defenders performance except for OTSP (19.27%). Besides, the variables that tended to show significant differences between playing positions were tests where athletes mobilized an external weight. Therefore, it is reasonable that blockers showed better values in these type of tests due to physical characteristics. Similarly, blockers showed stronger correlations between anthropometric characteristics and conditional tests than defenders possibly due to the greater physical demands required in blocker position and the advantages of blocker’s anthropometrical profile for beach volleyball skills (Palao et al., 2014).

In view of the results, it does not seem logical to keep the idea that defenders must be shorter than blockers with the purpose of having a better displacement on the court during defensive actions. In fact, blockers showed similar or even better results for conditional variables.

**Conclusion**

U21 Spanish beach volleyball players had lower values of height and body weight than international players of the same category. Considering playing position, blockers showed higher height and weight values than defenders. In the same way that volleyball indoor, beach volleyball players showed a low percentage of body fat regardless of the playing position. Likewise, these players showed an ecto-mesomorph somatotype both for blockers and defenders.

The conditional tests did not show significant differences between blockers and defenders except those which required to mobilize an external weight, in which case blockers showed a better performance.

The information showed in the present study offers reference values for beach volleyball coaches when it comes to carrying out the talent selection process.
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