Dear Author,

Please, note that changes made to the HTML content will be added to the article before publication, but are not reflected in this PDF.

Note also that this file should not be used for submitting corrections.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Acta Materialia xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Acta Materialia

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/actamat



Surface-induced vacancy loops and damage dispersion in irradiated Fe thin films

M.J. Aliaga^a, R. Schäublin^b, J.F. Löffler^b, M.J. Caturla^{a,*}

^a Dept. Física Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Fase II, Universidad de Alicante, Alicante E-03690, Spain ^b Laboratory of Metal Physics and Technology, Department of Materials, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: 14 15 Received 20 May 2015 16 Revised 21 July 2015 17 Accepted 28 August 2015 18 Available online xxxx 19 Keywords: 20 Molecular dynamics simulations 21 Ion irradiation 22

In situ transmission electron microscopy

23 Defects

24 25 Microstructure

ABSTRACT

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in situ ion implantation is a convenient way to study radiation damage, but it is biased by the proximity of the free surfaces of the electron transparent thin sample. In this work this bias was investigated by performing irradiation of Fe in thin foil and bulk form with ions of energies between 50 keV and 100 keV using molecular dynamics simulations. The damage resulting from the subsequent displacement cascades differs significantly between the two sample geometries. The most remarkable difference is in the resulting (100) vacancy loops. Both their size and frequency are much greater in thin films, with loops reaching 4 nm in size. This is due to an imbalance between the number of vacancies and self-interstitials produced, since the faster self-interstitials can escape to the surfaces and remain there as ad-atoms. In addition, the self-interstitial clusters are smaller for thin foils and there is a larger dispersion of the induced damage in terms of defect number, defect clustering and defect morphology. The study discusses the impact of these results on the study of radiation effects during in situ experiments.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Acta Materialia Inc.

42

5 6

12

1. Introduction 43

44 Ion implantation is a common way to produce defects in materials in a controlled manner, in terms of particle type and energy, 45 irradiation dose, dose rate, and temperature. It is therefore a very 46 valuable tool for investigating radiation effects in materials with 47 48 a high degree of control of all involved variables [1]. The damage produced by implantation can be characterized using transmission 49 electron microscopy (TEM), which provides information about the 50 irradiation-induced defects, their number density, size, and possi-51 52 bly their type for those defects that are larger than about 1 nm [2]. In some facilities, such as JANNuS at CEA in France [3] or the 53 IVEM-Tandem Facility at Argonne National Laboratory [4], it is pos-54 55 sible to perform ion irradiation experiments in situ in a TEM, thus allowing observation while irradiating [5]. This technique is being 56 used to validate simulation models of radiation effects to predict 57 58 the damage produced by the 14 MeV neutrons expected in future 59 fusion reactors [1], with a particular focus on ferritic materials 60 because of their good resistance to irradiation relative, for instance, 61 to austenitic stainless steels.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: mj.caturla@ua.es (M.J. Caturla).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2015.08.063

1359-6454/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Acta Materialia Inc.

The difficulty of extrapolating the results of such in situ exper-62 iments to the effects of radiation in bulk materials was recognized 63 long ago [6]. This difficulty arises because, to be able to use con-64 ventional TEM (CTEM) for the characterization of defects via 65 diffraction contrast, the sample must be thin enough to minimize 66 electron absorption and inelastic scattering that blur the image, 67 but thick enough to reduce the effect of the free surfaces, implying 68 a thickness of at least a few tens of nanometers but less than about 69 80 nm [7]. Free surfaces have indeed an impact on the elastic fields 70 of defects because of the so-called image forces, which alter the 71 configuration of the defects, and their interaction and migration. 72 Surfaces affect the irradiation-induced microstructure also simply because defects produced in their vicinity can annihilate there if the defects migrate to the free surfaces. It is known experimentally 75 that in the case of ferritic steels irradiation generates $1/2 \langle 111 \rangle$ and 76 (100) dislocation loops [8]. Because the activation energy for 77 migration of $1/2 \langle 111 \rangle$ loops along $\langle 111 \rangle$ directions is low, as 78 shown both experimentally [9] and in computer simulations 79 [10,11], they migrate rapidly and can thus easily meet a surface 80 where they will disappear. Note that if the foil normal is oriented 81 close to a (111) or (100) direction all 1/2 (111) loops can disap-82 pear at surfaces, while only half of them will escape if the orienta-83 84 tion of the foil is (110) [12]. The nature, vacancy or self-interstitial, of the loops observed after irradiation at low doses is controversial 85 due to the difficulty of analyzing them when they are small. For

73

74

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

M.J. Aliaga et al. / Acta Materialia xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

larger sizes (beyond a few nanometers) obtained at higher doses, they have been identified as interstitial loops [13-16]. At low doses but with heavy ions of low energy, large $\langle 100 \rangle$ vacancy loops located close to the surface have also been identified [13,16].

The damage produced by energetic recoils in bulk bcc Fe has been extensively studied by different research groups [17–20] using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations; for a review, see Ref. [21]. There is a good apparent understanding of the formation of interstitial clusters. For instance, recent simulations by Calder et al. [22] have shown how large interstitial clusters are produced in the early stages of the collision cascade in bulk Fe due to the interaction between shock waves. Sand et al. [23] have also shown that a scaling law can be obtained for the size of self-interstitial clusters as a function of recoil energy in bulk tungsten. However, much less is known regarding vacancy clustering and the effect of surfaces in bcc metals, which is relevant to thin foil irradiation.

103 The effect of free surfaces in fcc metals has been studied by sev-104 eral groups. The work performed in the 1990s by Ghaly and Aver-105 back [24–26] revealed how the presence of the surface can change the morphology of the damage produced by a collision cascade 106 107 with respect to the bulk. Later, Nordlund et al. [25] showed that 108 in Cu and Ni new mechanisms of defect production occur when damage is close to a surface. In bcc materials, recent MD simula-109 tions in bcc Mo revealed the formation of large vacancy loops just 110 111 below the surface [27] and Osetsky et al. have described the evolu-112 tion of cascades close to the surface in bcc Fe [28].

113 In this work we focus on the differences between irradiation in Fe thin film and bulk material which has not been studied in detail 114 115 up to now. In particular we focus on the formation of vacancy clus-116 ters, and their orientation, size and frequency. For this comparison 117 we study the early stage of the damage produced by recoils of energies between 50 keV and 100 keV in thin films and bulk sam-118 ples of bcc Fe at 0 K using MD simulations with 2 different empir-119 ical interatomic potentials. We assess and compare the number of 120 121 vacancies and interstitials, and the size and morphology of their 122 clusters immediately after the cool-down of the displacement cas-123 cade in the thin foil and bulk irradiation conditions, in order to 124 identify the impact of the free surfaces. We intend to understand. 125 in particular, whether in Fe thin foils vacancy loops of sizes visible 126 in TEM could be formed directly in the cascade. We also discuss the 127 various implications of extrapolating TEM in situ irradiation analysis to model radiation effects in bulk materials. 128

129 2. Methodology

130 The parallel MD code MDCASK developed at LLNL [29] was used 131 for the calculations. Two different types of interatomic potentials 132 were considered for comparison: one developed by Dudarev and 133 Derlet [30] and one by Ackland et al. [31], cited respectively as 134 DD and AM. Both potentials were modified for the high energy-135 short range interactions according to the procedure used in [32]. These potentials were also used previously to study cascade dam-136 age in bulk samples [33]. They were selected because they repro-137 138 duce fairly well the stability of different point defects as obtained by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The cluster size 139 140 distribution obtained with these potentials also seems to reproduce experimental observations better than other potentials [33]. 141

Simulations were performed in bcc Fe at constant volume. Two 142 143 types of calculations were performed: one in a crystalline thin film oriented along a (001) direction, and the other inside a crystal, 144 145 quoted as 'bulk', with (001) axes. The crystal thin foil is con-146 structed with periodic boundary conditions along x and y axes, 147 while both surfaces along the *z* direction were free. An energetic 148 Fe atom was launched from the outside of the crystal towards 149 the surface with the selected implantation angle and energy. For

the bulk crystal periodic boundary conditions were applied in all150directions and one atom near the top of the simulation box was151selected as the high-energy atom, or primary knock-on atom152(PKA), and was given the selected angle and energy.153

In order to minimize defect migration and to focus on the effect 154 of surfaces on defect production, the temperature in all simulations 155 was kept low, close to 0K. This was achieved by means of a thermal 156 bath located at the border of the simulation box. The thermal bath 157 consisted of two atomic layers where the velocity of the atoms was 158 scaled every time step to correspond to the desired temperature of 159 0 K. Calculations were followed for a period sufficient to reach a 160 constant value for the number of defects produced. In this way 161 simulated time amounts to about 20-40 ps depending on the case. 162 Between 14 and 30 simulations were performed for each condition 163 studied in order to obtain statistically significant results. The inci-164 dent angle, i.e., the angle of the incoming energetic ion with 165 respect to a line perpendicular to the surface (which would corre-166 spond to the polar angle in a spherical coordinate system) is kept 167 constant, while the azimuthal angle in that same coordinate sys-168 tem is varied via increasing it by 10° for each different case. The 169 initial random distribution of velocities of the atoms in the simula-170 tion box is also different for each case. Two energies, 50 keV and 171 100 keV, were considered, and two incidence angles, 10° and 22°, 172 for each energy. We should mention that the critical angle for 173 channeling according to the Lindhard expression [34] is 21° for 174 50 keV and 15° for 100 keV ions. The simulation conditions are 175 summarized in Table 1. The simulation volume for most conditions 176 was 180 $a_0 \times 180 \ a_0 \times 180 \ a_0$, where $a_0 = 2.8665 \text{ Å}$ is the lattice 177 parameter for the Fe potentials considered here, which corre-178 sponds to a cube of about 50 nm a side. This size is comparable 179 to the thickness of the thin films that are used experimentally in 180 TEM in situ irradiations [12,13]. 181

The analysis of the resulting damage was first conducted using the Wigner–Seitz cell method, which gives the number of vacancies and self-interstitials in the crystal. Secondly, once point defects were identified, their clusters were established using another method, which considers that two defects belong to the same cluster when the distance between them is between the first and second nearest neighbor of the bcc Fe lattice. Finally, the radius *R* of a loop with *N* defects was calculated according to the relationship [35] $R = a_0 \sqrt{\frac{N}{21/2}}$.

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

3. Cascade damage: defect type and size

We first present results for the thin foil geometry. Fig. 1 shows 192 the damage microstructure of the Fe thin film 25 ps after launching 193 the energetic ion for two different runs (with different azimuthal 194 angles) under the same condition, i.e. 0 K with a 50 keV Fe atom 195 at an incidence angle of 10° and with the AM potential. Green 196

Table 1

Irradiation conditions. The table shows the number of runs for each energy of the Fe ion in dependence of incidence angle, DD or AM interatomic potential, and thin film or bulk sample geometry used. The sample thickness is 51.4 nm except for * and **, where it is 45.7 nm and 70 nm respectively.

Energy (keV)	Incidence angle (°)	Thin foil Number of cascades performed		Bulk Number of cascades performed	
		DD	AM	DD	AM
50	10	14*	14	14	14
	22	17	30	14	14
100	10	20	20	-	-
	22	20	30	-	14**

Please cite this article in press as: M.J. Aliaga et al., Acta Mater. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2015.08.063

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

M.J. Aliaga et al. / Acta Materialia xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Snapshot of the Fe thin film microstructure 25 ps after launching a 50 keV Fe ion at 10° incidence angle and at 0 K for two different azimuthal angles, 10° in (a) and 80° in (b), and initial velocity distributions (a and b). Green/light spheres: vacancies, red/dark spheres: interstitials, yellow spheres: surface atoms. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

197 spheres mark the location of vacancies, while red spheres repre-198 sent self-interstitials. The arrow indicates the approximate initial location of the energetic atom. Surface atoms are also represented 199 200 in the figure with yellow spheres. In Fig. 1(a) the damage consists of isolated interstitials and vacancies, two neighboring relatively 201 large clusters of 23 and 27 interstitials and two significantly larger 202 203 clusters of 108 and 148 vacancies. The total number of vacancies in 204 this case is 448, while the total number of self-interstitials is 140. 205 79% of the vacancies are in clusters and 57% of them are in clusters 206 with more than 55 defects, which corresponds to a loop of about 1 nm in radius. 58% of the self-interstitials are in clusters but no 207 cluster with more than 55 interstitials was found. As can be 208 observed in the figure, most of the damage is located within 209 210 12 nm of the surface, while the displacement cascade reached a maximum depth of 20 nm. Note that there are 308 ad-atoms at 211 the free surface, as seen in Fig. 1(a). The number of missing self-212 interstitial atoms corresponds to these ad-atoms. 213

214 Fig. 1(b) exhibits a clear difference to Fig. 1(a) even though the initial condition is the same, except for the azimuthal angle and the 215 initial random distribution of velocities of the atoms in the simula-216 217 tion box. The difference in Fig. 1(b) consists of the absence of large 218 interstitial clusters and the larger size of the vacancy loops; there is 219 indeed one loop with 317 vacancies, much larger than any of those in Fig. 1(a). This is a trend we observed in the rest of the 220 conditions: when a large vacancy loop is produced then only small 221 interstitial clusters are obtained. 222

223 Fig. 2(a) shows the damage in an Fe thin film 20 ps after a 224 displacement cascade induced by a 100 keV Fe ion with a 10° inci-225 dence angle, with the AM potential. The total number of resulting 226 vacancies is 662, while the total number of self-interstitials is 187. 227 As in Fig. 1, a significant number of atoms end up at the surface as 228 ad-atoms (469), while 6 atoms are sputtered away. A large vacancy 229 loop of 480 defects can be observed right below the surface. Fig. 2 (b) shows a closer view of this large cluster. Its dimensions are 230 approximately 9 $a_0 \times 5$ $a_0 \times 14$ a_0 , or 3 nm × 1 nm × 4 nm³. It 231 takes the form of a rectangular parallelepiped with a (010) habit 232 233 plane. This rectangular shape correlates well with the calculations 234 by Gilbert et al. [36,37], showing that rectangular (100) vacancy 235 loops are energetically more stable than e.g. circular ones. Fig. 2 236 (c) shows a cross section of the specimen through the vacancy clus-237 ter, confirming the presence of a vacancy loop. The use of the RHFS rule gives a Burgers vector $\mathbf{b} = a_0[0-10]$ (Fig. 2(c)). Note that the presence of $\langle 100 \rangle$ vacancy loops following displacement cascades in Fe was already shown by Soneda et al. [19] and Kapinos [38], but in bulk specimens. They also indicated that their formation was rare, constituting only 1% of all clusters.

We now present results for the bulk geometry. Fig. 3 shows the damage in bulk Fe 30 ps after a 50 keV recoil with a 22° angle of incidence, with the AM potential. The differences in the damage distribution and configuration between the bulk and thin foil conditions are clearly seen when compared with Fig. 1. Note that as expected for the bulk the number of vacancies is the same as the number of self-interstitials, and equals 151. A cluster with 38 vacancies and a relatively large cluster above it with 37 interstitials are observed.

Fig. 4 shows the damage in bulk Fe 22 ps after a 100 keV recoil with an angle of 22° and the AM potential for two different runs. There are differences: in the first case (Fig. 4(a)) small vacancy and self-interstitial clusters are observed; in the second case (Fig. 4(b)) slightly larger vacancy clusters are found, together with a few small self-interstitial clusters. We should note that, according to previous studies by Stoller and others [39], the breakdown into sub-cascade for Fe occurs at around 20 keV. Both cases here exhibit sub-cascade formation, visible with the different branches in the cascade.

3.1. Statistical analysis

As mentioned above, several authors have already reported some large vacancy loops following displacement cascades in the bulk [19,33,38]. Our simulations indicate that the frequency of formation of these large vacancy loops is greater when damage is produced in thin films, as seen in Figs. 1 and 2. In order to quantify this effect, we performed a statistical analysis of the data, focusing on the impact of the two different interatomic potentials. The number of vacancies and self-interstitials as well as their percentage in clusters were calculated for all conditions. Table 2 provides the mean values of the number of point defects, Table 3 lists their clustering fraction, and Table 4 provides the ion range projected along the [001] direction (i.e. the one perpendicular to the surface) for each condition. These values were obtained by fitting the different histograms to either a lognormal or a Gaussian distribution.





Fig. 2. (a) Snapshot of the Fe thin film microstructure 20 ps after a 100 keV cascade at 0 K and at 10° incidence angle after 20 ps. (b) Closeup of the (100) large vacancy cluster. Green/light spheres: vacancies, red/dark spheres: interstitials, yellow spheres: surface atoms. (c) Cross section of the specimen through the (100) cluster showing all atoms with the RHFS circuit (in blue) used to identify its Burgers vector. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 3. Snapshot of the Fe bulk microstructure 30 ps after a 50 keV cascade at 0 K and at 22° incidence angle. Green/light spheres: vacancies, red/dark spheres: interstitials. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

277 We first present the results for the thin film geometry. It can be 278 seen in Table 2 that the number of self-interstitials is always lower 279 than the number of vacancies. As already noted above, the missing 280 self-interstitials correspond to atoms that have moved onto the 281 surface, remaining as ad-atoms, as seen in Figs. 1 and 2. The num-282 ber of atoms that are sputtered away is small. The maximum 283 occurs for the 50 keV Fe ion and 22° incidence with the AM potential and constitutes 6% of the total number of interstitials. Table 2 284 shows that when the energy increases from 50 keV to 100 keV the 285 damage exhibits an increase of between 50% and 200% for the 286 number of vacancies and of between 10% and 110% for interstitials. 287 Fig. 5 presents the scatter in the data. It shows the histograms of 288 289

the number of vacancies and self-interstitials obtained for the thin

foil. Results for the 50 keV Fe ion with an incidence angle of 10° are given in Fig. 5(a), and results for 100 keV and 22° incidence angle in Fig. 5(c). These were obtained with the AM potential. Fig. 5 (b) and (d) present the results for the DD potential for the 50 keV Fe ion and 10° incidence and the 100 keV Fe ion and 22° incidence, respectively. Several common features emerge from all of these cases.

It appears that the scatter in the number of defects from one run 297 to another under the same irradiation condition is extensive. Some 298 of the cases exhibit a significantly larger number of vacancies rel-299 ative to the average of 300-400 vacancies. For example, for the 300 condition of the 50 keV Fe ion, there is one case with close to 301 3000 vacancies (Fig. 5(a)), while for 100 keV and the same potential (Fig. 5(c)) one case has more than 1000 vacancies. Fig. 6 shows the resulting damage configuration for one of these cases exhibiting a large number of vacancies: that with the AM potential, 50 keV cascade and 10° incidence. This damage is significantly different from that shown in Figs. 1–3. Even though the damage appears as a large vacancy cluster, it actually consists of a dislocated volume of the crystal with a crystalline structure in the center, which corresponds to a short dislocation array similar to that obtained by Ghaly and Averback [23]. We should point out that these cases are rare when using the AM potential but more frequent with the DD potential. For 50 keV and 22° incidence, 60% of the vacancy clusters with more than 20 vacancies correspond to surface damage in the case of the DD potential, whereas this is only 7% for the AM potential. As seen in Fig. 5(a)–(d), even if the extreme cases with a very large number of vacancies are not considered, the spread in the number of vacancies is still large, varying from 100 up to 600, i.e. there is still a variation of between 50% and 100%.

We now present the results for the bulk geometry. Note that the slight differences between the mean value of vacancies and selfinterstitials (see Table 2) result from an inaccuracy in the method of calculating defects when large clusters are formed. In bulk cas-323 cades the number of defects produced does not seem to depend on 324 the recoil direction. This applies to both interatomic potentials. In 325 the case of the DD potential, the numbers of vacancies are 135 and 326 129 for recoil angles of 10° and 22°, respectively. The values 327 obtained for the AM potential are slightly higher: 159 and 164 328

290

291

292

293

294

295

ARTICLE IN PRESS



345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375



Fig. 4. Snapshot of the Fe bulk microstructure following 100 keV cascades at 0 K and at an incidence angle of 22° for two different azimuthal angles and initial velocity distributions (a and b). Case (a) shows the formation of small clusters only, while larger vacancy type clusters appear in case (b). Green/light spheres: vacancies, red/dark spheres: interstitials. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 2

Average number of vacancies/interstitials following the displacement cascade in bcc Fe at 0 K, depending on the irradiation and simulation condition.

Energy (keV)	Incidence angle (°)	Thin foil (number o interstitial	Thin foil (number of vacancies/ interstitials)		Bulk (Number of vacancies/ interstitials)		
		DD potential	AM potential	DD potential	AM potential		
50	10 22	173/135 130/125	190/172 237/160	135/125 129/122	159/164 164/168		
100	10 22	257/148 380/267	450/350 364/285	-	- 333/334		

respectively for the same angles. These values are consistent with 329 330 those obtained by Björkas [33], with 130 Frenkel pairs with the AM 331 potential and 131 with the DD potential, with calculations per-332 formed for random recoil directions and 300 K. The larger number 333 of defects obtained in our work compared to the values of Björkas 334 et al. could be due to the higher temperature used by the latter, 335 because in this case more recombinations would be expected. For 336 100 keV recoils the number of vacancies that we obtain is 333. Stoller [38] reported 330 Frenkel pairs for the same recoil energy 337 but calculated using a different interatomic potential. It should 338 be noted that the scatter in the data, or the difference in the total 339 number of point defects between runs for the same condition, is at 340 most 5%, which is less than that observed in the thin film (Fig. 5). 341 As seen in Table 3, for the bulk material the percentage of 342

343 344

vacancies and interstitials in clusters of any size is very similar despite the different angles of incidence, and this is also true for

Table 3

Energy

(keV)

50

100

Incidence

Percentage of vacancies/interstitials in clusters following the displacement cascade in bcc Fe at 0 K, depending on the irradiation and simulation condition.

Thin foil

the two potentials studied, with values between 38% and 45% of vacancies in clusters and between 32% and 53% for selfinterstitials in clusters. Note, however, that the fraction of interstitials in clusters for the DD potential is slightly larger than the fraction of vacancies in clusters. In the case of thin films, the fraction of vacancies in clusters is much larger than the fraction of self-interstitials in clusters in all cases. In addition, the increase in incident angle from 10° to 22° increases the clustering of vacancies by 13-30%.

The examples of the damage produced in thin foils (Figs. 1 and 2) and in the bulk (Figs. 3 and 4) visually indicate that in thin films, compared to the bulk, larger vacancy clusters and smaller self-interstitial clusters are produced. Fig. 7 shows the cluster size distribution obtained for the 50 keV cascades and 22° incidence angle with the AM potential for thin films and bulk samples. Fig. 7(a) and (b) give the size distributions of clusters of vacancies and interstitials, respectively. They show clearly that thin film irradiation produces much larger vacancy clusters and slightly smaller interstitial ones than bulk material irradiation, with an average size of 140 vacancies and 30 interstitials for thin foil and 44 vacancies and 40 interstitials for the bulk. The clusters in the thin foil reach sizes that are visible in TEM.

Table 4 gives the mean value of the range of the initial energetic atom for the different conditions, excluding channeling cases. For the 50 keV ion in the Fe thin film with an incidence angle of 10° the mean value is 20 nm for the AM potential and 21 nm for the DD potential. Both have a standard deviation of 12 nm. The value of the range obtained from the usual SRIM code [40] for this energy and angle is 18 nm. Note that SRIM does not account for the crystalline structure of the target. For the 22° impact angle and the DD potential the ion range increases by as much as 200% when their

Table 4

Ion range, in nm, depending on the irradiation and simulation condition. High channeling cases are not included in the average.

angle (°)	(% in clusters vacancies/ interstitials)		(% in clusters vacancies/ interstitials)		_						
					Energy (keV)	Incidence angle (°)	Thin foil Ion range in nm		Bulk Ion range in nm		
	DD potential	AM potential	DD potential	AM potential			DD potential	AM potential	DD potential	AM potential	
10 22	52/34 68/42	55/38 62/38	40/53 38/52	39/32 45/43	50	10 22	21 7	20 11	10 12	11 8	
10 22	67/44 53/35	42/37 54/38	-	- 46/99	100	10 22	22 21	26 27	-	- 32	

Please cite this article in press as: M.J. Aliaga et al., Acta Mater. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2015.08.063

Bulk

ARTICLE IN PRESS



Fig. 5. Distribution of the number of point defects (vacancies and self-interstitials) in Fe thin film following (a) a 50 keV Fe ion, 10° incidence angle and the AM potential; (b) the same condition as in (a) but with the DD potential; (c) a 100 keV Fe ion in thin films, 22° incidence angle and the AM potential; and (d) the same condition as in (c) but with the DD potential.



Fig. 6. (a) Microstructure resulting from a 50 keV cascade in Fe thin film at 0 K and at a 10° incidence angle, with the AM potential illustrating the extension of the surface damage into the thin film; (b) image of the same cascade, slightly tilted to show the damage directly at the surface. Green/light spheres: vacancies, red/dark spheres: interstitials, yellow spheres: surface atoms. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

376 energy is increased from 50 keV to 100 keV. As expected, the mean value of the ion range decreases when the incidence angle 377 increases. Fig. 8 shows the distribution of the ion range obtained 378 379 for the 50 keV ion in the Fe thin film (Fig. 8(a) and (b)) and in

the bulk (Fig. 8(c) and (d)), for all cases. Fig. 8(a) corresponds to 380 an incidence angle of 10° with both the AM potential and DD potential. As for the number of point defects, there is a wide spread in the values obtained, with some ions reaching only 5 nm while

M.J. Aliaga et al. / Acta Materialia xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 7. Cluster size distribution of (a) vacancies and (b) self-interstitials comparing bulk cascades with those of thin films for 50 keV and 22° incidence angle with the AM potential.



Fig. 8. Distribution of ion ranges for the two interatomic potentials studied (AM and DD) and for (a) 50 keV cascades in thin films and 10° incidence angle, (b) 50 keV cascades in thin films and 22° incidence angle, (c) 50 keV cascades in bulk and 10° incidence angle, and (d) 50 keV cascades in bulk and 22° incidence angle.

others cross the whole sample thickness. In some cases the implanted ion is backscattered after colliding with the surface. Fig. 8(b), presenting the results for 50 keV ions at 22° incidence angle, again shows extensive scatter in the ion range, in particular for the AM potential. The ion range is on average 11 nm for the AM potential, with a standard deviation of 8 nm, and 7 nm for the DD potential, with a standard deviation of 2 nm.

Fig. 8(c) and (d) show the range distribution of the 50 keV Fe ion in bulk Fe for incidence angles of 10° and 22°, respectively. The ion range distribution is narrower than for the thin film.

391

392

393

However, some channeling can be observed (particularly in the 394 case of 10° implantation), as expected from the Lindhard relation-395 ship [34], which leads to a greater ion range. As seen in Table 4, 396 the mean values for the range obtained with the DD potential 397 are similar for the two incidences studied: 10 nm with a standard 398 deviation of 5 nm at 10° incidence and 12 nm with a standard 399 deviation of 8 nm at 22° incidence. Similar values are obtained 400 with the AM potential: 11 nm with a standard deviation of 401 9 nm at 10° incidence and 8 nm with a standard deviation of 402 3 nm at 22° incidence. 403 5 September 2015

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

8

M.J. Aliaga et al./Acta Materialia xxx (2015) xxx–xxx

404 **4. Comparison to experimental observations**

405 The first observations of dislocation loops formed in iron by 406 self-irradiation were performed by Masters [6] and further work was done in the 1980s by Robertson et al. [41,42]. In ultrahigh-407 purity (UHP) Fe these loops are mostly of (100) type [12–16]. 408 For high doses (>2 \times 10¹⁸ ions m⁻²) they are considered to be of 409 410 interstitial type [14,15]. However, the nature of the loops is diffi-411 cult to assess when they are smaller than 5 nm. Jenkins et al. 412 [14] showed the formation of $a_0(100)$ vacancy loops in Fe close 413 to the surface after irradiation performed with Ni⁺, Ge⁺, Kr⁺, Xe⁺ 414 and W⁺ and energies between 40 keV and 240 keV. More recently, 415 Yao et al. [13] studied dislocation loops induced by 30 keV Ga⁺ ions in Fe-11%Cr, which produced damage within 10 nm from the sur-416 face. They were able to determine that the loops (at least those 417 closest to the surface) are of vacancy type. 418

For comparison with this experimental evidence we simulated a 419 420 30 keV Ga⁺ ion implanted in an α -Fe matrix. The AM interatomic potential was used for Fe-Fe interactions, while the interaction 421 between the Ga⁺ ion and the Fe atoms was calculated using a pure 422 423 repulsive potential, the so-called Universal potential described in 424 [43]. In this way the damage produced by this ion as it travels 425 through the lattice is described well. Fig. 9 shows the defects pro-426 duced by the 30 keV Ga ion after 17 ps. The total number of vacan-427 cies in this case is 799 and the total number of interstitials is 107. A 428 large ad-atom island at the surface with 627 ad-atoms is seen. The 429 formation of a large (100) vacancy loop close to the surface is also clearly observed. It has 692 vacancies and is approximately 15 430 431 $a_0 \times 9 a_0 \times 21 a_0$, or $4 \text{ nm} \times 3 \text{ nm} \times 6 \text{ nm}$. This cluster is compara-432 ble to those observed experimentally by Yao et al. [13], who per-433 formed these experiments with Fe-11%Cr. As shown, however, in 434 MD calculations by Malerba et al. [44] the damage produced in 435 the cascade in α -Fe and FeCr alloys is not significantly different. 436 The only difference is in the self-interstitial loops, which in FeCr 437 alloys can be a mixture of Fe and Cr atoms.

438 We should point out that experiments by Robertson et al. [41,42] using low-energy Fe ions (50 keV and 100 keV) in Fe show 439 440 yields for the formation of loops much lower than those found in 441 the simulations presented here. A probable cause for this discrep-442 ancy is the difference in time scales between simulations and 443 experiments. The simulations have been performed for tens of picoseconds. For longer time scales, it is, however, conceivable that 444 445 vacancy loops close to the surface are able to climb and disappear 446 by recombination, while self-interstitial clusters may coalesce and 447 form larger loops, resulting in a yield lower than the one obtained in simulations. On the other hand, one should also keep in mind that for loops smaller than 1 nm the contrast in CTEM is reduced and the image size saturates (because of the diffraction-limited resolution), making the observation of loops more difficult, or impossible [45], which may also explain the lower yields observed in the experiment. 438

5. Conclusions

The calculations presented here show that the damage produced by ion implantation in Fe thin film using 50 keV and 100 keV ions is significantly different from that produced in bulk Fe by recoils of the same energy. In thin films, results show the formation of $\langle 100 \rangle$ vacancy loops with sizes visible in the TEM. This results from the imbalance in the number of vacancies with respect to self-interstitials, due to the trapping of the latter at the surface where they remain as ad-atoms.

Statistical analysis reveals a large dispersion in the defects pro-463 duced: while bulk results present a narrow dispersion in terms of 464 the total number of defects or the percentage of defects in clusters, 465 in thin foil the total number of defects varies significantly from one 466 cascade to another, as does the morphology of the damage 467 produced. Two types of structures were identified in the thin foil. 468 On the one hand, there are those exhibiting small self-interstitial 469 clusters and large vacancy clusters right below the surface in the 470 form of large (100). On the other hand, there are structures pre-471 senting an array of dislocations and ad-atoms. The latter are, how-472 ever, rare. Both the AM and DD potentials induce equivalent results 473 in terms of damage, although morphologically the DD potential 474 produces more frequent surface damage of the type shown in Fig. 6. 475

A larger fraction of vacancies in clusters are found in thin films 476 than in the bulk, independently of the energy, angle of incidence or 477 interatomic potential used. These vacancy clusters are also larger. 478 The inverse behavior is observed for self-interstitials: in most 479 cases, the fraction of self-interstitials in clusters is higher in the 480 bulk and their sizes are larger than in the thin film. Increasing 481 the energy from 50 keV to 100 keV shows the formation of 482 sub-cascades in the case of bulk irradiation, resulting in smaller 483 self-interstitial clusters for the higher energy. Sub-cascade forma-484 tion at 100 keV in the thin film can be seen only in some cases. 485

The formation of large $\langle 100 \rangle$ vacancy loops directly in the cascade revealed here for Fe thin film agrees well with experiments. However, the nature of these loops has been experimentally identified only in the case of irradiation of Fe–11%Cr with Ga⁺ ions of lower energy (30 keV) [13], or irradiation of Fe with heavy ions



Fig. 9. Microstructure resulting from a cascade in an Fe thin film at 0 K induced by a 30 keV Ga ion at 22° incidence angle.

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574 575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

M.J. Aliaga et al./Acta Materialia xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

(40–240 keV) [16]. Our simulations show that these loops also
form when irradiating with Fe ions of 50 keV and 100 keV. These
loops are, however, smaller than those produced in the Ga irradiation experiment at lower energy, and are therefore presumably
more difficult to observe and analyze experimentally.

In previous works [33,35] it is shown that the initial damage in 496 the cascade together with defect mobilities define how damage 497 will grow with dose. This has consequences for the modeling of 498 irradiation effects. In the quest to develop models that are able 499 to describe neutron damage in the bulk, ion implantation experi-500 ments using thin films are often used for validation. Our results 501 502 show that one should carefully account for the effect of free surfaces in these models. 503

504 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Drs. A. Prokhodtseva, M. Hernández-505 Mayoral, Z. Yao and S. Dudarev for fruitful discussions. Simulations 506 were carried out using the computer cluster of the Dept. of Applied 507 Physics at the UA, the HPC-FF supercomputer of the Jülich 508 509 Supercomputer Center (Germany) and the Helios supercomputer 510 at Rokkasho (Japan). MJA thanks the UA for support through an institutional fellowship. The research leading to these results is 511 partly funded by the European Atomic Energy Community's 512 (Euratom) Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under 513 514 Grant agreement No. 604862 (MatISSE project) and in the framework of the EERA (European Energy Research Alliance) Joint Pro-515 gramme on Nuclear Materials and the Generalitat Valenciana 516 PROMETEO2012/011. This work has been carried out within the 517 518 framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 519 2014-2018 under Grant agreement No. 633053. The views and 520 opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the 521 522 European Commission.

523 References

527

530

531

532

533

534

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

- [1] J.L. Boutard, A. Alamo, R. Lindau, M. Rieth, Fissile core and tritium-breeding blanket: structural materials and their requirements, C. R. Phys. 9 (2008) 287.
 [2] S.I. Zinkle, G.E. Jee, M.K. Miller, S.J. Pennycook, Z.-L. Wang, Advances in
 - [2] S.J. Zinkle, G.E. Ice, M.K. Miller, S.J. Pennycook, Z.-L. Wang, Advances in microstructural characterization, J. Nucl. Mater. 386–388 (2009) 8–14.
- 528 [3] <<u>http://jannus.in2p3.fr</u>/>. 529 [4] C W Allen L J Funk F A
 - [4] C.W. Allen, L.L. Funk, E.A. Ryan, New instrumentation in Argonne's HVEMtandem facility: expanded capability for in situ ion beam studies, in: Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc., vol. 396, 1996, p. 641.
 - [5] Y. Matsukawa, S.J. Zinkle, One-dimensional fast migration of vacancy clusters in metals, Science 318 (2007) 959.
 - [6] B.C. Masters, Dislocation loops in irradiated iron, Nature 200 (1963) 254.
- [7] W.M. Stobbs, C.H. Sworn, The weak beam technique as applied to the determination of the stacking-fault energy of copper, Philos. Mag. 24 (1971) 1365.
 [8] R. Schaublin, I. Henry, Y. Dai, Helium and point defect accumulation: (i)
 - [8] R. Schaublin, J. Henry, Y. Dai, Helium and point defect accumulation: (i) Microstructure and mechanical behaviour, C. R. Phys. 9 (2008) 389–400.
 - [9] K. Arakawa, K. Ono, M. Isshiki, K. Mimura, M. Uchikoshi, H. Mori, Observation of the one-dimensional diffusion of nanometer-sized dislocation loops, Science 318 (2007) 956.
 - [10] N. Soneda, T. Diaz de la Rubia, Defect production, annealing kinetics and damage evolution in alpha-Fe: an atomic-scale computer simulation, Philos. Mag. A 78 (1998) 995.
 - [11] Yu.N. Osetsky, D.J. Bacon, A. Serra, B.N. Singh, S.I. Golubov, Stability and mobility of defect clusters and dislocation loops in metals, J. Nucl. Mater. 276 (2000) 65.
 - [12] A. Prokhodtseva, B. Décamps, R. Schäublin, Comparison between bulk and thin foil ion irradiation of ultra high purity Fe, J. Nucl. Mater. 442 (2013) S786– S789.
 - [13] Z. Yao, M. Hernández Mayoral, M.L. Jenkins, M.A. Kirk, Heavy-ion irradiations of Fe and Fe–Cr model alloys Part 1: Damage evolution in thin-foils at lower doses, Philos. Mag. 88 (2008) 2851.
 - [14] M.L. Jenkins, Z. Yao, M. Hernández-Mayoral, M.A. Kirk, Dynamic observations of heavy-ion damage in Fe and Fe–Cr alloys, J. Nucl. Mater. 389 (2009) 197– 202.

- [15] M. Hernández-Mayoral, Z. Yao, M.L. Jenkins, M.A. Kirk, Heavy-ion irradiations of Fe and Fe–Cr model alloys Part 2: Damage evolution in thin-foils at higher doses, Philos. Mag. 88 (2008) 2881.
- [16] M.L. Jenkins, C.A. English, B.L. Eyre, Heavy-ion damage in alpha Fe, Nature 263 (1976) 400–401.
 [17] D.J. Bacon, A.F. Calder, F. Gao, Defect production due to displacement cascades
- in metals as revealed by computer, J. Nucl. Mater. 251 (1997) 1. [18] R.E. Stoller, G.R. Odette, B.D. Wirth, Primary damage formation in bcc iron, J.
- Nucl. Mater. 251 (1997) 49-60.
 [10] N. Sonda, S. Libino, T.D. da la Pukia Vacanzu large formation in DCC IIOII, J.
- [19] N. Soneda, S. Ishino, T.D. de la Rubia, Vacancy loop formation by 'cascade collapse' in alpha-Fe: a molecular dynamics study of 50 keV cascades, Philos. Mag. Lett. 81 (2001) 649.
- [20] E. Zarkadoula, S.L. Daraszewicz, D.M. Duffy, M.A. Seaton, I.T. Todorov, K. Nordlund, M.T. Dove, K. Trachenko, The nature of high-energy radiation damage in iron, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25 (2013) 125402.
- [21] L. Malerba, Molecular dynamics simulation of displacement cascades in alpha-Fe: a critical review, J. Nucl. Mater. 351 (2006) 28–38.
- [22] A.F. Calder, D.J. Bacon, A.V. Barashev, Yu.N. Osetsky, On the origin of large interstitial clusters in displacement cascades, Philos. Mag. 90 (2010) 863.
- [23] A.E. Sand, S.L. Dudarev, K. Nordlund, High-energy collision cascades in tungsten: dislocation loops structure and clustering scaling laws, Eur. Phys. Lett. 103 (2013) 46003.
- [24] M. Ghaly, R.S. Averback, Effect of viscous-flow on ion damage near solidsurfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 364.
- [25] K. Nordlund, J. Keinonen, M. Ghaly, R.S. Averback, Coherent displacement of atoms during ion irradiation, Nature 398 (1999) 49.
- [26] K. Nordlund, J. Keinonen, M. Ghaly, R.S. Averback, Recoils, flows and explosions: surface damage mechanisms in metals and semiconductors during 50 eV–50 keV ion bombardment, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 148 (1999) 74–82.
- [27] S.V. Starikov, Z. Insepov, J. Rest, A.Y. Kuksin, G.E. Norman, V.V. Stegailov, A.V. Yanikin, Radiation-induced damage and evolution of defects in Mo, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 104109.
- [28] Yu.N. Osetsky, A.F. Calder, R.E. Stoller, How do energetic ions damage metallic surfaces? Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci., in press.
- [29] T. Diaz de la Rubia, M.W. Guinan, New mechanism of defect production in metals: a molecular-dynamics study of interstitial-dislocation-loop formation in high-energy displacement cascades, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 2766.
- [30] S. Dudarev, P. Derlet, A 'magnetic' interatomic potential for molecular dynamics simulations, J. Phys: Condens. Matter 17 (2005) 1-22.
- [31] G.J. Ackland, M.I. Mendelev, D.J. Srolovitz, S. Han, A.V. Barashev, Development of an interatomic potential for phosphorus impurities in alpha-iron, J. Phys: Condens. Matter 16 (2004) S2629.
- [32] C. Björkas, K. Nordlund, Comparative study of cascade damage in Fe simulated with recent potentials, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 259 (2007) 853– 860.
- [33] C. Björkas, K. Nordlund, M.J. Caturla, Influence of the picosecond defect distribution on damage accumulation in irradiated alpha-Fe, Phys. Rev. B 85 (2012) 024105.
- [34] L.-P. Zheng, Z.Y. Zhu, Y. Li, F.O. Goodman, An improved critical angle equation for channeling, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 268 (2010) 120–122.
- [35] M.J. Caturla, N. Soneda, E. Alonso, B.D. Wirth, T.D. de la Rubia, J.M. Perlado, Comparative study of radiation damage accumulation in Cu and Fe, J. Nucl. Mater. 276 (2000) 13.
- [36] M.R. Gilbert, S.L. Dudarev, P.M. Derlet, D.G. Pettifor, Structure and metastability of mesoscopic vacancy and interstitial loop defects in iron and tungsten, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 (2008) 345214.
- [37] M.R. Gilbert, Z. Yao, M.A. Kirk, M.L. Jenkins, S.L. Dudarev, Vacancy defects in Fe: comparison between simulation and experiment, J. Nucl. Mater. 386–388 (2009) 36–40.
- [38] V.G. Kapinos, Y.N. Osetskii, P.A. Platonov, The mechanism of nucleation of vacancy loops with Burgers vectors (100) in bcc metals, J. Nucl. Mater. 173 (1990) 229.
- [39] R.E. Stoller, in: R.J.M. Konings (Ed.), Comprehensive Nuclear Materials, vol. 1, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012, p. 293.
- [40] J.F. Ziegler, J.P. Biersack, The stopping and range of ions in matter, SRIM-2003, Ó1998, 1999 by IB77M co.
- [41] I.M. Robertson, M.A. Kirk, W.E. King, Formation of dislocation loops in iron by self-ion irradiations at 40 K, Scr. Met. 18 (1984) 317.
- [42] M.A. Kirk, I.M. Robertson, M.L. Jenkins, C.A. English, T.J. Black, J.S. Vetrano, The collapse of defect cascades to dislocation loops, J. Nucl. Mater. 149 (1987) 21; Kirk, et al., ASTM Spec. Tech. Pub. 955 (1987) 48.
- [43] J.P. Biersack, J.F. Ziegler, Refined universal potentials in atomic-collisions, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 194 (1982) 93.
- [44] L. Malerba, D. Terentyev, P. Olsson, R. Chakarova, J. Wallenius, Molecular dynamics simulation of displacement cascades in Fe–Cr alloys, J. Nucl. Mater. 329–333 (2004) 1156.
- [45] R. Schäublin, A. Almazouzi, Y. Dai, Yu.N. Osetsky, M. Victoria, Quantitative analysis of CTEM images of small dislocation loops in Al and stacking fault tetrahedral in Cu generated by molecular dynamics simulation, J. Nucl. Mater. 276 (2000) 251.