Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rafaela Rosário, University of Minho, Portugal

REVIEWED BY

Yingkai Yang, Southwest University, China Naomi Kakoschke, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE

Miriam Sánchez-SanSegundo miriam.sanchez@ua.es Ana Zaragoza-Martí ana.zargoza@ua.es

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutritional Epidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 29 April 2022 ACCEPTED 31 October 2022 PUBLISHED 21 November 2022

CITATION

Berbegal M, Tomé M, Sánchez-SanSegundo M, Zaragoza-Martí A and Hurtado-Sánchez JA (2022) Memory function performance in individuals classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight. *Front. Nutr.* 9:932323. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.932323

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Berbegal, Tomé, Sánchez-SanSegundo, Zaragoza-Martí and Hurtado-Sánchez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Memory function performance in individuals classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight

Marina Berbegal¹, Mario Tomé¹,

Miriam Sánchez-SanSegundo¹*, Ana Zaragoza-Martí^{2,3}* and José Antonio Hurtado-Sánchez²

¹Department of Health Psychology, Faculty of Health Science, University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain, ²Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Science University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain, ³Alicante Institute for Health and Biomedical Research (ISABIAL-FISABIO Foundation), Alicante, Spain

Evidence accumulated to date about the relationship between cognitive impairments and adults who are overweight and obese suggests that excess weight has a great impact on memory function. Nevertheless, most of the literature has focused only on studying the influences on working memory and episodic memory. This study aimed to examine the potential associations of clinical and anthropometric measures [body mass index (BMI), WHR, body fat, visceral fat, muscle mass, and hypertension] with six memory domains, including contextual memory, short-term visual memory, shortterm memory, non-verbal memory, short-term phonological memory, and working memory, in a sample of 124 individuals classified as overweight (n = 33), obese (n = 53), and normal weight (n = 38). The results obtained showed that, after controlling for employment situations, people classified as obese had poorer short-term phonological memory and working memory than those with normal weights. Bivariate correlations showed that measures of weight, BMI, waist-hip ratio index, body fat, and visceral fat were inversely associated with memory function. However, muscle mass was not a significant predictor of memory function. Higher systolic blood pressure was also associated with worse memory function. The study provides evidence of the importance of adiposity in health and memory function.

KEYWORDS

overweight, obesity, normal weight, memory function, adiposity

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) (1), overweight and obesity are conditions defined as an excess or abnormal accumulation of fat that can be harmful to health. Being overweight or obese depends on body mass index (BMI) classification, where a score of over 25 is considered overweight, and that over 30 is obese. The prevalence of overweight and obesity has tripled in the last three decades, turning into an important world health problem. In 2016, more than 1.900 billion adults were overweight and more than 650 million were obese (2). According to the European Health Interview Survey, the prevalence of overweight and obesity in Europe has increased dramatically over the past decades in many regions (3). In Spain, the proportions of men and women who were overweight were 44.9 and 30.6%, respectively, while the prevalence of obesity was 16.5% for males and 15.5% for females (4).

Epidemiological studies have shown a clear association between overweight and obesity and the occurrence of chronic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus (5), musculoskeletal disorders (6), high blood pressure, cardiovascular diseases (7), and cancer (8). Furthermore, it has been found that eating habits characterized by the intake of fat and refined foods not only have a negative impact on physical health but also contribute to greater cognitive decline and the appearance of neurodegenerative pathologies, including cognitive impairment and dementia (9–11).

Recent studies have shown a bidirectional relationship between obesity and cognitive function. Detrimental associations between anthropometric measures of obesity (e.g., BMI or waist circumference) and some cognitive domains were reported (12). Nevertheless, alterations in neuropsychological processes, such as poor performance in attention, memory, or executive functions, can also have an impact on behaviors prone in individuals with obesity (e.g., appetite dysregulation, decision-making, poor dietary choices, and a tendency toward uncontrolled eating) (13). The literature shows that memory is essential for food-related decision-making and has a great impact on appetite control and weight gain (13), especially working memory (14, 15) and episodic memory (16). This bidirectional relationship between obesity and cognitive function shows two pathways. The present study is focused on the first, which is the association between anthropometric measures of obesity and neurocognitive performance. Recent researchers have reported that cerebral inflammation produced by the accumulation of adipose tissue, activation of the immune system, and gray matter atrophy could be some of the mechanisms involved in this relationship (17-19).

In connection with these findings, executive functions and memory are two domains that are affected by a high level of BMI (15). The executive functions (EFs) constitute a set of cognitive capacities necessary to control and selfregulate an individual's behavior (20). The EFs include cognitive processes, which are cognitive flexibility, monitoring, inhibition, planning, working memory, and processing speed (21). This set of cognitive abilities allows us to organize, integrate and manipulate information acquired, giving us the ability to make decisions, create, anticipate, and plan future goals (22). The literature has demonstrated that EFs can be affected by the accumulation of adipose tissue in different organs, tissues, and systems of the body (23). A previous meta-analysis of 72 studies demonstrated that higher BMI contributed to the appearance of deficits in the cognitive abilities of inhibition, decision-making, working memory, planning, and cognitive flexibility (23).

Furthermore, recent research on brain dysfunction in individuals who are overweight or obese reported that excess weight had a greater effect on memory function (13), which is a cognitive process through which information is encoded, stored, and retrieved (24). According to the literature, there exist several types of memory based on classification criteria. Regarding recall time, immediate memory, working memory, shortterm memory, and long-term memory can be distinguished. However, referring to the voluntariness of memory, implicit memory, unconscious process memory, explicit memory, episodic, and semantic voluntary memory can be differentiated (25). The evidence indicates that each type of memory and each process that we use has neural activations associated with different brain areas. The medial temporal cortex, the hippocampus, the prefrontal cortex, and the cerebellum are some of the zones involved in memory function (26). For example, a study about neural activity in low- and high-BMI participants reported that individuals with higher BMI had poorer activity in memory structures than those with normal weights (27). A study by Prickett et al. (28) also found that obesity was predictive of poorer performances in verbal memory and working memory. Other neuropsychological studies have demonstrated lower performances in visual memory, prospective memory, and verbal memory (29). Nevertheless, to date, the majority of studies have focused on the study of episodic and working memory, neglecting other essential memory types for correct day-to-day performance.

Since the great influence of BMI on memory has been demonstrated, it is important to study how the effect of excess fat affects memory subcomponents. For this reason, to expand the spectrum of memory functions, the present study aims to analyze the potential associations between clinical and anthropometric measures and memory subcomponents (contextual memory, short-term visual memory, short-term memory, non-verbal memory, and short-term phonological memory) in individuals classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight. We hypothesize that higher clinical and anthropometric measures are associated with poorer memory subcomponents in individuals classified as obese and overweight compared to adults with normal weight.

Materials and methods

Participants and procedure

The sample included a total of 124 male and female Spanish participants between the ages of 22 and 63 (M = 46.02 years;

SD = 9.31). The sample size was estimated with a power calculation using G*Power3 (30). Calculations of 80% power with an alpha of 0.05 suggested that 35 participants per group were needed to detect an effect with a medium effect size of 0.25. Participants were recruited by advertisements on the website of the Tech4Diet project: 4D modeling and visualization of the human body. The inclusion criteria were: (i) a BMI greater than 24.9 kg/m² [overweight ($25 \ge BMI < 30$) and obese (BMI \geq 30)] or (ii) a BMI smaller than 24.9 (normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI < 25), according to the BMI classification of the WHO; (iii) the ability to read and write fluently; and (iv) Spanish as a mother tongue. The exclusion criteria were (i) currently being or having been in dietetic-nutritional treatment supervised by a nutritionist in the last year; (ii) the presence of an endocrine-metabolic disorder (including thyroid, pituitary gland, and adrenal gland problems and metabolic syndrome); (iii) the presence of a previous history of neurological disease (e.g., stroke or Parkinson's disease) or a history of head trauma; (iv) the presence of a history of severe psychopathology according to the diagnostic criteria of the DSM-IV-TR; and (v) currently receiving psychiatric treatment. Initial participants were recruited from September to November 2020. Normal-weight participants were recruited in June 2021. Of the 126 initial volunteers, two were excluded from the study for having histories of endocrine-metabolic disorder. The final sample included 124 male and female participants classified as overweight, obese, or normal weight. All the measurements were conducted on one testing day. Additionally, all the participants completed a neuropsychological battery of executive function tests. Data were collected at ALINUA, a nutrition and food cabinet endorsed as a health center dependent on the Faculty of Health Sciences of the University of Alicante. The duration of neuropsychology sessions lasted approximately 40 min.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Alicante, as well as by the Ethics Committee of the Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de Alicante [ISABIAL (Health and Biomedical Research Institute of Alicante)] (CEIm: 180380). The study is also part of two ongoing research projects funded by the Ministry of Science and Innovation: "4D modeling and visualization of the human body for the improvement of adherence to dietary-nutritional treatment of obesity through low-cost technologies" (TIN2017-89069-R) and "Predictive models of the morphological evolution of the human body to improve adherence" (PID2020-119144RB-100). After participants were informed about the voluntary nature of their participation and the fact that they could withdraw from the study whenever they wanted and without consequences, informed consent was obtained from all the subjects involved.

Measures

Anthropometrics, body composition, and clinical parameters

A TANITA MC-780MA P digital weight scale (TANITA Corporation, Arlington Heights, IL, USA) and a 213 SECA portable stadiometer (SECA, Hamburg, Germany) were used to carry out the weight (0.1 kg precision), body fat (0.1 kg precision), visceral fat (cm), muscle mass (0.1 kg precision), and height (0.1 cm precision) measurements. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight/height squared (kg/m²). According to the WHO classification, we established the cut-off point for overweight as 24.99 kg/m², while obesity was defined as a BMI over 30 kg/m² and normal weight as 18.5–24.99 kg/m².

The waist and hip circumferences were measured using a flexible measuring tape (measurement precision, 0.1 cm). To ensure accurate results, all the measurements were performed twice, and the waist-hip ratio (WHR) value was calculated as the ratio of the waist to hip circumference.

Blood pressure (systolic and diastolic) was measured using an M7 Intelli IT blood pressure monitor (OMROM, M7, Corp., Kyoto, Japan). Capillary cholesterol (mg/dl), glucose (mg/dl), and triglyceride (mg/dl) concentrations were also examined with an Accutrend[®]Plus instrument using two drops of blood (15–40 μ l) collected from different fingers with a lancing device (Accuchek[®] Softclix[®] Pro, Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany).

Memory (cognitive function)

Memory was examined using the CogniFit General Cognitive Assessment (CAB). It is a computer-assessed neuropsychological test battery commonly used in protocols of cognitive skills research. The CogniFit neuropsychological battery has been widely used for clinical and research purposes (31) since the tests that it offers have been validated against various standard neuropsychological tests (32). Furthermore, scientific studies using CogniFit activities in healthy children, adults, and older people with the aim of improving cognitive function are numerous and have high methodological quality, giving CogniFit the highest level of empirical evidence (31, 33, 34). CogniFit scores range from 0 to 800 points, where high scores refer to increased cognitive performance. For scores between 0 and 200 (red), cognitive abilities are considered cognitive weaknesses. Patients with scores of 200-400 (yellow) are considered patients with cognitive abilities within what is expected for people of their age and gender, but they are still improvable. Higher scores in the range of 400-600 (green) mean that cognitive abilities with these scores are in good

condition. Cognitive abilities that show scores above 600 (green) are considered strengths or cognitive skills since they are in better condition than those of other people of the same sex and age. Scores on the six cognitive abilities¹ are assigned using weights previously derived from factor analyses performed on normative data and are standardized into Z-scores. In the present study, we used the memory measures of the General Cognitive Assessment (CAB). Specifically, we examined the following: contextual memory (ability to memorize and discriminate the real source of a specific memory), short-term visual memory (ability to temporarily retain a small amount of visual information), short-term memory (ability to retain a small amount of information to be used in a short period of time), non-verbal memory (ability to store and retrieve non-verbal information by nature), short-term phonological memory (ability to remember phonological information for a short period of time) and working memory (ability to temporarily store and handle information in order to perform complex cognitive tasks). CogniFit offers a wide battery of exercises designed not only to evaluate cognitive function but also to rehabilitate problems in memory or other cognitive functions with practice and cognitive training.

The following are the names and descriptions of the tasks in the cognitive training program (CAB):

- 1. *Numbers:* A series of numbers is displayed, from 2 to 10 digits. The task consists of memorizing them to exactly reproduce them later. *Working memory, short-term memory, and short-term phonological memory.*
- 2. *Three figures:* Three figures are shown for a short period of time. Subsequently, four possible trios of figures are shown. The task is to select the one that corresponds exactly to the first sequence shown. *Working memory and non-verbal memory.*
- 3. *Illuminated circles:* Circles light up in a specific order. The task is to exactly memorize the order and execute it when it is the individual's turn. *Short-term memory, working memory, non-verbal memory, and short-term visual memory.*
- 4. **Objects seen or heard:** This task requires sound. Objects are presented one after another. If it is the first time that an object appears as an image or its name is heard, the patient must press "not presented". If the object was last presented as an image, the patient presses "presented as an image", and if it was last heard, the patient presses "presented orally". *Contextual memory, working memory, and non-verbal memory.*
- Images and words: This task requires sound. For a short period of time, some objects appear one after another. Then some words appear, either written or heard. The

task consists of determining whether they were previously displayed by pressing the appropriate button (presented or not presented). At the end of each level, the exercise asks the patient to estimate how many questions were answered correctly. *Contextual memory and non-verbal memory*.

Data analysis

First, participants were classified into different groups considering their BMI. This classification was conducted following the BMI classification of the WHO. After group classification, differences in the prevalence of participants in each group depending on sociodemographic data (sex, marital status, educational level, and employment situation) were analyzed employing Chi-squared statistics, as well as ANOVA for age. Anthropometric, body composition, and clinical parameter comparisons between subjects classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight were evaluated using ANCOVAs and controlling for the effects of the employment situation. Furthermore, to identify the possible differences between groups in the different types of memory (contextual memory, short-term visual memory, short-term memory, non-verbal memory, short-term phonological memory, and working memory), ANCOVAs were conducted controlling for the effects of employment situation separately for each type of memory. Bonferroni correction was used in post hoc comparisons. A value of p < 0.05 was considered significant in all cases. Partial eta square was used as the effect size measure. Multiple clinical, anthropometric, and memory features were assessed, and Pearson's correlation was used to explore possible correlations among them. All the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, Version 24.0 (Armonk, NY, USA). The descriptive values were expressed as the mean and standard deviation (M and SD, respectively).

Results

Frequency and percentage of sociodemographic variables

The sociodemographic data are presented in **Table 1**. There were no significant differences between groups in sex, age, marital status, and educational level. The sample differed in terms of employment situation (p < 0.05), as shown in **Table 1**. Although small, the differences between groups in employment situation (p = 0.04) could be explained by the "unemployed" individuals, as in the obesity group there were 10, while in the others

¹ http://www.cognifit.com (accessed on 30 December 2021).

		Obese (<i>n</i> = 53)	Overweight $(n = 33)$	Normal weight (n = 38)	Þ
Sex	Male	19 (39.6%)	12 (25.0%)	17 (35.4%)	0.65
	Female	34 (44.7%)	21 (27.6%)	21 (27.6%)	
Age		48.43 (8.37)	45.82 (9.52)	42.84 (9.63)	0.45
Marital status	Single	6 (30.0%)	6 (30.0%)	8 (40.0%)	0.75
	Married	41 (46.1%)	23 (25.8%)	25 (28.1%)	
	Divorced	6 (40.0%)	4 (26.7%)	5 (33.3%)	
	Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Educational level	Non-studies/primary studies	6 (66.7%)	1 (11.1%)	2 (22.2%)	0.09
	Secondary studies	24 (54.5%)	9 (20.5%)	11 (25.0%)	
	University studies	23 (32.4%)	23 (32.4%)	25 (35.2%)	
Employment situation	Full-time/part-time job	43 (38.4%)	33 (29.5%)	36 (32.1%)	0.04*
	Unemployed	10 (83.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (16.7%)	
	Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	

TABLE 1 Frequency and percentage of sociodemographic characteristics for participants in each group.

*p < 0.05.

there were 0 and 2, showing a small difference between these groups.

Bivariate correlations

Bivariate correlations among weight, height, BMI, WHR, body fat, visceral fat, muscle mass, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides, contextual memory, short-term visual memory, short-term memory, non-verbal memory, short-term phonological memory, and working memory are presented in Table 2. Significant correlations were found between weight and shortterm memory (p = 0.03), non-verbal memory (p = 0.04), short-term phonological memory (p = 0.01), and working memory (p = 0.01). Height was associated with contextual memory (p < 0.01). In the case of BMI, significant correlations were found in short-term visual memory (p = 0.01), short-term memory (p < 0.01), non-verbal memory (p < 0.01), shortterm phonological memory (p < 0.01) and working memory (p < 0.01). In WHR, correlations were associated with two memory types: short-term memory (p = 0.04) and working memory (p = 0.03). Body fat was found to have significant correlations with short-term memory (p < 0.01), non-verbal memory (p = 0.03), short-term phonological memory (p < 0.01), and working memory (p < 0.01). Visceral fat had significant correlations with short-term memory (p = 0.03), short-term phonological memory (p < 0.01), and working memory (p < 0.01). Finally, systolic blood pressure was associated with short-term visual memory (p = 0.03), short-term memory (p = 0.03), non-verbal memory (p = 0.01), and working memory (p = 0.01). The bivariate correlations were small or moderate, ranging from.18 to.34.

Differences in anthropometrics, body composition, and clinical parameters between individuals classified as overweight, obese and normal weight

Table 3 presents differences in anthropometrics, body composition, and clinical parameters among the participants. The obese group had higher weight [BMI: F(2) = 116.17; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.65$], higher WHR [BMI: F(2) = 23.97; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.28$], higher body fat [BMI: F(2) = 67.05; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.52$], higher visceral fat [BMI: F(2) = 60.44; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.50$], higher muscle mass (kg) [BMI: F(2) = 6.09; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.09$], higher systolic blood pressure [BMI: F(2) = 21.24; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.26$], and diastolic blood pressure [BMI: F(2) = 31.35; p < 0.01; $\eta^2 = 0.34$] than those classified as overweight and normal weight. No significant intergroup differences were found in height or the clinical parameters of glucose, cholesterol, and triglycerides. The means and standard deviations for each group, as well as *post-hoc* analyses, are presented in **Table 3**.

Differences in memory between individuals classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight

Differences in the types of memory among participants classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight are presented in **Table 4**. There were no significant intergroup differences in contextual memory, short-term visual memory, short-term memory, and non-verbal memory. However, individuals with normal weights demonstrated better short-term phonological memory [BMI: F (3) = 6.00; p < 0.01; η^2 = 0.09]

TABLE 2	Bivariate correlations between clinical and anthropometric measures and memory.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1. Weight (Kg)	1	0.411**	0.892**	0.586**	0.554**	0.770**	0.507**	0.504**	0.517**	0.064	0.012	0.134	0.012	-0.129	-0.186*	-0.185*	-0.217*	-0.231*
		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.479	0.891	0.137	0.893	0.154	0.039	0.040	0.015	0.010
2. Height (m)		1	-0.025	0.269**	-0.293**	0.181*	0.588**	0.222*	0.047	-0.048	-0.014	0.012	0.252**	0.103	0.134	0.112	0.136	0.150
			0.785	0.003	0.001	0.044	0.000	0.013	0.603	0.599	0.874	0.892	0.005	0.255	0.137	0.215	0.133	0.097
3. BMI			1	0.497**	0.751**	0.742**	0.272**	0.453**	0.545**	0.104	0.042	0.156	-0.129	-0.213^{*}	-0.294**	-0.277**	-0.323**	-0.347
				0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.249	0.645	0.083	0.153	0.017	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000
4. WHR				1	0.186*	0.626**	0.470**	0.545**	0.370**	0.163	-0.085	0.139	0.029	-0.152	-0.180^{*}	-0.174	-0.139	-0.195
					0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.070	0.351	0.123	0.746	0.092	0.046	0.054	0.125	0.030
5. Body fat					1	0.494**	-0.203^{*}	0.171	0.379**	0.060	0.095	0.097	-0.088	-0.148	-0.237**	-0.192^{*}	-0.300**	-0.234
						0.000	0.024	0.057	0.000	0.508	0.296	0.285	0.331	0.100	0.008	0.033	0.001	0.009
6. Visceral fat						1	0.296**	0.451**	0.438**	0.179*	0.059	0.129	-0.065	-0.110	-0.186^{*}	-0.145	-0.242**	-0.292
							0.001	0.000	0.000	0.047	0.517	0.152	0.475	0.225	0.039	0.108	0.007	0.001
7. Muscle mass (Kg)							1	0.384**	0.252**	0.067	-0.055	0.044	0.138	-0.010	-0.012	-0.002	-0.032	-0.044
								0.000	0.005	0.459	0.545	0.624	0.126	0.916	0.894	0.984	0.728	0.628
8. Systolic blood pressure								1	0.756**	0.258**	0.012	0.074	-0.042	-0.191^{*}	-0.195^{*}	-0.222^{*}	-0.146	-0.229
									0.000	0.004	0.892	0.416	0.645	0.034	0.030	0.013	0.106	0.010
9. Diastolic blood pressure									1	0.139	-0.019	0.101	0.111	-0.118	-0.151	-0.14	-0.157	-0.119
										0.122	0.837	0.264	0.219	0.192	0.095	0.121	0.081	0.188
10. Glucose										1	0.178*	0.264**	-0.122	-0.12	-0.143	-0.088	-0.101	-0.15
											0.048	0.003	0.178	0.185	0.112	0.330	0.265	0.095
11. Cholesterol											1	0.062	-0.032	0.120	0.107	0.168	0.027	0.024
												0.492	0.723	0.184	0.238	0.062	0.767	0.791
12. Triglycerides												1	0.006	0.035	0.057	0.06	0.057	0.016
													0.950	0.702	0.533	0.508	0.533	0.862
13. Contextual memory													1	0.389**	0.382**	0.556**	0.234**	0.797*
														0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.000
14. Short-term visual memory														1	0.889**	0.904**	0.352**	0.569*
															0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
15. Short-term memory															1	0.841**	0.719**	0.686
																0.000	0.000	0.000
16. Non-verbal memory																1	0.390**	0.720
																	0.000	0.000
17. Short-term phonological memory																	1	0.586*
																		0.000
18. Working memory																		1

p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001.

Berbegal et al.

Frontiers in Nutrition

Variables	Obese (<i>n</i> = 53)	Overweight $(n = 33)$	Normal weight $(n = 38)$	Post-hoc	Þ	η^2
Clinical measures	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Weight (kg)	99.28 (14.98)	76.94 (10.00)	62.74 (8.97)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01***	0.65
Height (m)	166.47 (8.82)	166.06 (9.46)	166.32 (7.83)	OB > N, OV N > OV	0.51	0.01
WHR ¹	0.91 (0.10)	0.87 (0.08)	0.79 (0.08)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01***	0.28
Body fat	38.21 (6.30)	30.99 (6.62)	21.12 (7.18)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01***	0.52
Visceral fat	13.63 (4.99)	8.94 (4.10)	4.32 (2.49)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01***	0.50
Muscle mass (Kg)	55.06 (9.34)	51.30 (8.96)	49.77 (10.03)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01**	0.09
Systolic blood pressure	131.09 (13.81)	126.97 (16.30)	111.68 (15.48)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01***	0.26
Diastolic blood pressure	86.60 (8.45)	82.15 (9.99)	71.94 (8.21)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	<0.01***	0.34
Glucose	90.91 (14.60)	82.79 (20.86)	79.08 (21.08)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	0.69	0.00
Cholesterol	201.58 (31.57)	207.61 (38.79)	198.74 (43.06)	OV > OB, N OV > N	0.61	0.00
Triglycerides	262.28 (153.63)	235.00 (105.49)	228.84 (188.95)	OB > OV, N OB, OV > N OB > N	0.58	0.00

TABLE 3 Means and standard deviations in clinical and anthropometric measures.

¹WHR, waist–hip ratio. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

and working memory [BMI: F (3) = 3.37; p = 0.02; $\eta^2 = 0.05$] than those classified as obese and overweight. The means and standard deviations of each group for memory, as well as *post-hoc* analyses, are presented in **Table 4**.

Discussion

The present study aimed to identify the influence of clinical and anthropometric measures in six memory domains. In particular, we examined neuropsychological performances in contextual memory, short-term visual memory, short-term memory, non-verbal memory, short-term phonological memory, and working memory in adults classified as obese, overweight, and normal weight. Participants classified as overweight and obese performed with similar levels of cognitive functions in every type of memory domain. Participants with normal weight showed similar memory function results, with the exception of short-term phonological memory and working memory, for which those presenting obesity obtained worse scores.

Short-term phonological memory, which is one of the registers of sensorial memory, is defined as the ability to remember phonological information that we receive from an environment for a short period of time (35). It is registered in the primary auditory cortex, which is located in the temporal lobe; it is involved in auditory and language processing, and it is also responsible for memory functions and the management of emotions (35). The short-term phonological memory store extends to several brain areas, most of them located in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), since this is where executive control takes place and attentional control is monitored (36). Our results suggest that a higher BMI was related to lower memory performance in individuals who are obese. In addition, working

Variables	Obese (<i>n</i> = 53)	Overweight $(n = 33)$	Normal weight $(n = 38)$	Post-hoc	p	η^2
Memory	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Contextual memory	463.51 (189.38)	534.15 (164.43)	450.34 (154.96)	OV > OB, N OB > N	0.13	0.03
Short-term visual memory	394.87 (243.50)	448.88 (221.17)	442.16 (224.09)	OV > N, OB N > OB	0.48	0.01
Short-term memory	399.04 (223.51)	460.64 (177.59)	493.05 (189.80)	N > OV, OB N, OV > OB N > OB	0.08	0.04
Non-verbal memory	374.17 (180.93)	416.64 (162.08)	438.66 (168.67)	N > OV, OB N, OV > OB N > OB	0.26	0.02
Short-term phonological memory*	363.70 (181.82)	415.55 (160.62)	487.61 (157.81)	N > OV, OB N, OV > OB N > OB	<0.01**	0.09
Working memory*	360.66 (161.25)	434.55 (127.84)	444.13 (132.43)	N > OV, OB N, OV > OB N > OB	0.02*	0.05

TABLE 4 Means and standard deviations in memory subcomponents for each group.

M, mean; SD, standard deviation; OV, overweight; OB, obesity; *N*, normal weight. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

memory, which is defined as the ability to temporarily store and handle the information to perform complex cognitive tasks, was found to be affected in those with higher BMIs. Studies with functional magnetic resonance imaging have shown how the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex plays an essential role in working memory. This area acts as a mediator between information from posterior sensory areas and the limbic system, thus integrating and providing feedback between sensory and emotional information with the purpose of organizing behavior to achieve a specific goal (37). The association between obesity and lower memory performance found in our study is in line with previous studies that have suggested that working memory is frequently affected by higher BMI (15, 23, 27). Some mechanisms may explain these results. In particular, recent research reported that the accumulation of adipose tissue as a result of being overweight and obesity produced chronic inflammation in organisms that were able to disrupt the structure of essential organs, such as the brain, producing a significant impact on cognitive functions (10). An increased BMI was associated with gray matter atrophy in the temporal, frontal, and occipital cortices, as well as the thalamus and midbrain (38). In particular, previous studies involving patients with dementia and laboratory studies in rodents have related obesity to structural and metabolic changes in the hippocampus (39-41), which is directly involved in memory processes. Furthermore, structural modifications in the prefrontal cortex caused by the activation of the immune system due to inflammatory processes were associated with working memory impairments (42). A recent study about eating behavior reported that lower working memory was associated with a loss of control in eating behavior and the choice of highly calorie-dense foods, particularly with higher snack food and fat intakes (43). Thus, a deficit in working memory may lead to impulsive, excessive, and less flexible eating behavior (44). However, there is limited literature about the implications of short-term phonological memory and BMI. A recent meta-analysis by Cheke et al. (27) found that the volume of the temporal lobe in patients with adiposity was lower due to cerebral atrophy (45, 46). Due to short-term phonological memory being located in the primary auditory cortex, a lower temporal lobe volume may lead individuals who are obese and overweight to worse short-term phonological memory performance.

The results of the present study suggest that weight, BMI, WHR index, body fat, and visceral fat were inversely associated with memory function. However, muscle mass was not a significant predictor of memory function. Evidence from independent studies showed a negative association between anthropometric measures (weight, BMI, and WHR) and cognitive performance (47). Recent cross-sectional evaluations have also demonstrated how increased WHR and visceral adiposity are associated with reduced cognitive scores (48-51). Studies in obese rodents and individuals have found considerable evidence for reduced memory performance (52, 53). In longitudinal studies of patients classified as normal weight, overweight, and obese, higher levels of BMI have been related to hippocampal atrophy and cortical thinning (54, 55). For example, Debette et al. (56) found that WHR was associated with changes in the total brain volume. Evidence also suggests that higher levels of body fat might produce adverse effects on health, including cognitive and neuroanatomical changes. A study by Nyberg et al. (53) with

10.3389/fnut.2022.932323

a sample of 581 healthy individuals found that higher body fat was negatively related to subcortical and hippocampal volume and memory. Furthermore, visceral fat is considered an important risk factor in the development of resistance to insulin and is present in various stages of obesity-induced hippocampal dysfunction, which is a brain area involved in memory processes (57). Research has demonstrated that memory processes are of critical importance, as they have a great impact on appetite regulation and weight gain (43). Memories of specific recent eating episodes play an important role in directing food choices and influencing when and how much a person eats. Interrupting memory processes may lead to overconsumption and obesity since it is the remembered experience rather than the actual experience that is more strongly associated with future choices (44). In the present study, WHR and visceral fat were as strong predictors as body fat for memory function. These results might indicate that visceral fat and WHR, apart from increasing the adipose tissue surrounding the intra-abdominal organs (58), might also have a significant impact on organs, such as the brain. There is consistent evidence that suggests body fat plays a more direct role in the brain, rather than visceral fat and WHR (15, 59). This suggests that it is important to study the adiposity continuum and to use complementary measures rather than only BMI. Interestingly, higher systolic blood pressure in participants was negatively associated with worse memory function. These results might indicate that hypertension, which has been associated with an increased risk of cognitive decline (60, 61), may play a direct role in memory. This phenomenon is thought to occur because hypertension disrupts the structure and function of cerebral blood vessels and leads to ischemic damage to white matter regions, which are critical for cognitive function (60). Evidence from previous studies found an association between hypertension and memory deficit (62).

There are several limitations in the current study that suggest areas for future research. First, the study was crosssectional, precluding the establishment of causal inferences. Second, researchers must be careful when generalizing and interpreting the findings, as we used a small sample size from a single city in Spain, and the effect sizes were small. Third, the participants in our study were voluntarily recruited from the community; therefore, these individuals might be more highly motivated to lose weight and less resistant to change than the general community. Fourth, CogniFit evaluates cognitive domains that may not fit different memory classifications, neglecting memory subtypes that may also be relevant. The evaluation and analysis of memory functions entail great complexity since the domains of memory, while distinct, still share similar functional and structural pathways in the brain, and it is unclear why or how some domains are expected to differ while others are not. Further investigations are needed to clarify these questions, and it would be helpful to use additional neuropsychological tests to measure other interesting types of memory function. As suggested in previous studies, there is a bidirectional relationship between obesity and cognitive function since impaired cognition can hinder eating selfregulation and obesity can generate changes at the neurological level (14). Memory is a domain that can be affected by higher BMI, but it is also possible that deficits in memory may lead individuals to worse dietary choices. While looking for evidence, it was difficult to assess the relevance of previous neuroscientific findings to understanding shortterm phonological memory function in obesity. Therefore, further investigations are needed to understand the neural mechanisms underlying short-term phonological memory deficits in obese individuals. Regarding the relationship between anthropometric measures (weight, BMI, body fat, WHR, and visceral fat) and memory, the literature shows diverse results, so deeper investigations are required. Furthermore, recent studies demonstrated that hypertension produced an increased risk of cognitive decline (61). However, there is still a lack of consistent findings on the impact of hypertension on memory function, so future studies should test this hypothesis. Finally, as far as we know, this is one of the first studies conducted in Spain assessing different types of memory function between individuals classified as overweight, obese, and normal weight. Despite these limitations, this study provides evidence of the importance of adiposity in health and memory function since the findings serve to strengthen this association, as well as claim and propose the importance of cognitive functions in clinical nutritional processes. The presence of a cognitive stimulation protocol that has the objective of preventing dysfunction in premature cases and recovering performance in more advanced cases is considered to be essential. For this reason, it would also be interesting to analyze whether, through an anti-inflammatory nutritional dietary protocol (e.g., the Mediterranean diet) (63), cognitive dysfunctions caused by obesity-induced inflammation can be reversed.

Conclusion

The results provided evidence of the influence of anthropometric measures on memory function in individuals classified as obese, overweight, and normal weight. In particular, our findings suggested the importance of examining the independent roles of body fat, visceral fat, and WHR in the memory function of participants considered overweight and obese, since it has been demonstrated that the accumulation of fat in different regions of the body might suggest different memory impairments.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Alicante, and also by the Ethics Committee of the Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de Alicante [ISABIAL (Health and Biomedical Research Institute of Alicante)] (CEIm: 180380). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MB: conceptualization, formal analysis, data curation, and writing—original draft preparation. MB, MS-S, AZ-M, and MT: methodology and writing—review and editing. MB, MT, MS-S, AZ-M, and JH-S: investigation. MB and MT: resources. JH-S: supervision. MS-S and AZ-M: funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was partially funded by the Spanish Government (TIN2017-89069-R and PID2020-119144RB-100).

Acknowledgments

This project was part of a doctoral thesis (M.B.B.).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. World Health Organization. World Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Geneva: World Health Organization (2004).

2. World Health Organization. *Obesity and Overweight*. Geneva: World Health Organization (2021).

3. Eurostat. Europe Prevalence: Health determinants: Body Mass Index. Luxembourg: Eurostat (2019).

4. Stadistic National Institute. *Health Determinants: Overweight, Consumption of Fruit and Vegetables, type of Breastfeeding, Physical Activity.* Kolkata: Stadistic National Institute (2020).

5. Shai I, Jiang R, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Colditz GA, et al. Ethnicity, obesity, and risk of type 2 diabetes in women: a 20-year follow-up study. *Diabetes Care.* (2006) 29:1585–90. doi: 10.2337/dc06-0057

6. Jiang L, Tian W, Wang Y, Rong J, Bao C, Liu Y, et al. Body mass index and susceptibility to knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Joint Bone Spine*. (2012) 79:291–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2011.05.015

7. Wormser D, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Wood AM, Pennells L, Thompson A, et al. Separate and combined associations of body-mass index and abdominal adiposity with cardiovascular disease: collaborative analysis of 58 prospective studies. *Lancet.* (2011) 377:1085–95. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60105-0

8. Lauby-Secretan B, Scoccianti C, Loomi D, Grosse Y, Bianchini F, Straif K. International agency for research on cancer handbook working group. body fatness and cancer–viewpoint of the IARC working group. *N Engl J Med.* (2016) 375:794–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsr1606602

9. Drigny J, Gremeaux V, Dupuy O, Gayda M, Bherer L, Juneau M, et al. Effect of interval training on cognitive functioning and cerebral oxygenation in obese patients: a pilot study. *J Rehabil Med.* (2014) 46:1050–4. doi: 10.2340/16501977-1905

10. Miller AA, Spencer SJ. Obesity and neuroinflammation: a pathway to cognitive impairment. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2014) 42:10–21. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi. 2014.04.001

11. Pedditizi E, Peters R, Beckett N. The risk of overweight/obesity in mid-life and late life for the development of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Age Ageing.* (2016) 45:14–21. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afv151

12. Dye L, Boyle NB, Champ C, Lawton C. The relationship between obesity and cognitive health and decline. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2017) 76:443–54. doi: 10.1017/S0029665117002014

13. Higgs S, Spetter MS. Cognitive control of eating: the role of memory in appetite and weight gain. *Curr Obes Rep.* (2018) 7:50–9. doi: 10.1007/s13679-018-0296-9

14. Hartanto A, Yong JC, Toh WX. Bidirectional associations between obesity and cognitive function in midlife adults: a longitudinal study. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:2343. doi: 10.3390/nu11102343

15. Sánchez-SanSegundo M, Zaragoza-Martí A, Martin-Llaguno I, Berbegal M, Ferrer-Cascales R, Hurtado-Sánchez JA. The Role of BMI, body fat mass and visceral fat in executive function in individuals with overweight and obesity. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:2259. doi: 10.3390/nu13072259

16. Hartanto A, Yong JC. Measurement matters: higher waist-to-hip ratio but not body mass index is associated with deficits in executive functions and episodic memory. *Peer J.* (2018) 6:e5624. doi: 10.7717/peerj.5624

17. Izaola O, de Luis D, Sajoux I, Domingo JC, Vidal M. Inflammation and obesity (lipoinflammation). *Hosp Nutr.* (2015) 31:2352–8. doi: 10.3305/nh.2015.31.6.8829

 Fernández-Andújar M, Morales-García E, García-Casares N. Obesity and gray matter volume assessed by neuroimaging: a systematic review. *Brain Sci.* (2021) 11:999. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11080999 19. De Heredia FP, Gómez-Martínez S, Marcos A. Obesity, inflammation and the immune system. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2012) 71:332–8. doi: 10.1017/S0029665112000092

20. Lezak MD. The problem of assessing executive functions. *Int J Psychol.* (1982) 17:281–97. doi: 10.1080/00207598208247445

21. Bauermeister JJ. Hyperactive, Impulsive, Distracted: Do You Know Me?: A Guide to Attention Deficit Disorder for Parents, Teachers and Professionals. New York, NY: Guildford Publication (2008).

22. Alcaraz-Ortíz MR, Ramírez-Flores D, Palafox-López GI, Reyes-Hernández JU. The cognitive deficits is associated with higher body mass index. *Spec Res Health Sci.* (2015) 18:33–8.

23. Yang Y, Shields GS, Guo C, Liu Y. Executive function performance in obesity and overweight individuals: a meta-analysis and review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2018) 84:225–44. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.11.020

24. Baddeley A. Human Memory. Practice and Theory (Internet). Madrid: Mc Graw-Hill (1999).

25. Schacter DL, Tulving E. *Memory systems*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press (1994).

26. Squire LR, Knowlton BJ. Memory, hippocampus and brain systems. In: Gazzaniga MS editor. *The Cognitive Neurosciences*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press (1995).

27. Cheke LG, Bonnici HM, Clayton NS, Simons JS. Obesity and insulin resistance are associated with reduced activity in core memory regions of the brain. *Neuropsychology.* (2017) 96:137–49. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.01.013

28. Prickett C, Stolwyk R, O'Brien P, Brennan L. Neuropsychological functioning in mid-life treatment-seeking adults with obesity: a cross-sectional study. *Obes Surg.* (2018) 28:532–40. doi: 10.1007/s11695-017-2894-0

29. Walø-Syversen G, Kvalem IL, Kristinsson J, Eribe IL, Rø Ø, Brunborg C, et al. Visual, verbal and everyday memory 2 years after bariatric surgery: poorer memory performance at 1-year follow-up. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 11:607834. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.607834

30. Erdfelder E, Faul F, Buchner A. G*POWER: A general power analysis program. *Behav Res Methods Instr Comput.* (1996) 28:1-11.

31. Shah TM, Weinborn M, Verdile G, Sohrabi HR, Martins RN. Enhancing Cognitive Functioning in Healthly Older Adults: a systematic review of the clinical significance of commercially available computerized cognitive training in preventing cognitive decline. *Neuropsychol Rev.* (2017) 27:62–80. doi: 10.1007/s11065-016-9338-9

32. Horowitz-Kraus T, Breznitz Z. Can the error detection mechanism benefit from training the working memory? A comparison between dyslexics and controls—An ERP study. *PLoS One.* (2009) 4:e7141. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0007141

33. Tapia JL, Rocabado F, Duñabeitia JA. Cognitive estimation of speed, movement and time across the lifespan. *J Integr Neurosci.* (2022) 21:10. doi: 10. 31083/j.jin2101010

34. Conesa PJ, Duñabeitia JA. Effects of computer-based training on children's executive functions and academic achievement. *J Educ Res.* (2021) 114:562–71. doi: 10.1080/00220671.2021.1998881

35. Cognifit. *Short-term Phonological Memory*. (2022). Available online at: https: //www.cognifit.com/es/habilidad-cognitiva/memoria-fonologica-a-corto-plazo (accessed Mar 16, 2022).

36. Miller EK, Cohen JD. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annu Rev Neurosci. (2001) 24:167–202. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167

37. Jodar M. Executive functions of the frontal lobe. *Rev Neurol.* (2004) 39:178–82. doi: 10.33588/rn.3902.2004254

38. Shefer G, Marcus Y, Stern N. Is obesity a brain disease? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2013) 37:2489-503. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.07.015

39. Cope EC, LaMarca EA, Monari PK, Olson LB, Martinez S, Zych AD, et al. Microglia play an active role in obesity-associated cognitive decline. *J Neurosci.* (2018) 38:8889–904. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0789-18.2018

40. Hao S, Dey A, Yu X, Stranahan AM. Dietary obesity reversibly induces synaptic stripping by microglia and impairs hippocampal plasticity. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2016) 51:230–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2015.08.023

41. Ottino-González J, Jurado MA, García-García I, Caldú X, Prats-Soteras X, Tor E, et al. Allostatic load and executive functions in overweight adults. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* (2019) 106:165–70. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.04. 009

42. Whitelock V, Nouwen A, Van den Akker O, Higgs S. The role of working memory sub-components in food choice and dieting success. *Appetite*. (2018) 124:24–32. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.043

43. Dohle S, Diel K, Hofmann W. Executive functions and the self-regulation of eating behavior: a review. *Appetite*. (2018) 1:4–9. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.041

44. Higgs S. Cognitive processing of food rewards. Appetite. (2016) 104:10-7. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.003

45. Group BDC. Total and regional brain volumes in a population-based normative sample from 4 to 18 years: the NIH MRI Study of Normal Brain Development. *Cereb Cortex.* (2012) 22:1–12. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhr018

46. Weise CM, Thiyyagura P, Reiman EM, Chen K, Krakoff J. Fat-free body mass but not fat mass is associated with reduced gray matter volume of cortical brain regions implicated in autonomic and homeostatic regulation. *Neuroimage*. (2013) 64:712–21. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.09.005

47. Elias MF, Goodell AL, Waldstein SR. Obesity, cognitive functioning and dementia: back to the future. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2012) 30:113–25. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2011-111175

48. Anand SS, Friedrich MG, Lee DS, Awadalla P, Després JP, Desai D, et al. Canadian Alliance of Healthy Hearts and Minds (CAHHM) and the Prospective Urban and Rural Epidemiological (PURE) study investigators. evaluation of adiposity and cognitive function in adults. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2022) 5:e2146324. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.46324

49. Kesse-Guyot E, Andreeva VA, Touvier M, Jeandel C, Ferry M, Hercberg S, et al. SU.VI.MAX 2 Research Group. overall and abdominal adiposity in midlife and subsequent cognitive function. *J Nutr Health Aging.* (2015) 19:183–9. doi: 10.1007/s12603-014-0508-2

50. Ozato N, Saitou S, Yamaguchi T, Katashima M, Misawa M, Jung S, et al. Association between visceral fat and brain structural changes or cognitive function. *Brain Sci.* (2021) 11:1036. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11081036

51. Jurdak N, Lichtenstein AH, Kanarek RB. Diet-induced obesity and spatial cognition in young male rats. *Nutr Neurosci.* (2008) 11:48–54. doi: 10.1179/147683008X301333

52. Zeki Al Hazzouri A, Haan MN, Whitmer RA, Yaffe K, Neuhaus J. Central obesity, leptin and cognitive decline: the Sacramento Area Latino Study on Aging. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. (2012) 33:400–9. doi: 10.1159/000339957

53. Nyberg CK, Fjell AM, Walhovd KB. Level of body fat relates to memory decline and interacts with age in its association with hippocampal and subcortical atrophy. *Neurobiol Aging.* (2020) 91:112–24. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2019.10. 005

54. Cherbuin N, Sargent-Cox K, Fraser M, Sachdev P, Anstey KJ. Being overweight is associated with hippocampal atrophy: the PATH Through Life Study. *Int J Obes.* (2015) 39:1509–14. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2015.106

55. Walhovd KB, Storsve AB, Westlye LT, Drevon CA, Fjell AM. Blood markers of fatty acids and vitamin D, cardiovascular measures, body mass index, and physical activity relate to longitudinal cortical thinning in normal aging. *Neurobiol Aging*. (2014) 35:1055–64. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.11.011

56. Debette S, Seshadri S, Beiser A, Au R, Himali JJ, Palumbo C, et al. Midlife vascular risk factor exposure accelerates structural brain aging and cognitive decline. *Neurology*. (2011) 77:461–8. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e318227b227

57. Stranahan AM. Visceral adiposity, inflammation, and hippocampal function in obesity. *Neuropharmacology*. (2022) 205:108920. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm. 2021.108920

58. Lezak MD, Howieson DB, Loring DW, Fischer JS. Neuropsychological Assessment. Oxford: Oxford University Press (2004).

59. Cárdenas D, Madinabeitia I, Vera J, De Teresa C, Alarcón F, Jiménez R, et al. Better brain connectivity is associated with higher total fat mass and lower visceral adipose tissue in military pilots. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:610. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-57345-3

60. Iadecola C, Yaffe K, Biller J, Bratzke LC, Faraci FM, Gorelick PB, et al. Impact of hypertension on cognitive function: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*. (2016) 68:67–94. doi: 10.1161/HYP. 00000000000003

61. Rapp SR, Gaussoin SA, Sachs BC, Chelune G, Supiano MA, Lerner AJ, et al. Effects of intensive versus standard blood pressure control on domain-specific cognitive function: a substudy of the SPRINT randomized controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol.* (2020) 19:899–907. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30319-7

62. Gąsecki D, Kwarciany M, Nyka W, Narkiewicz K. Hypertension, brain damage and cognitive decline. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* (2013) 15:547–58. doi: 10.1007/s11906-013-0398-4

63. Loughrey DG, Lavecchia S, Brennan S, Lawlor BA, Kelly ME. The impact of the mediterranean diet on the cognitive functioning of healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Adv Nutr.* (2017) 8:571–86. doi: 10.3945/an. 117.015495